council on environmental quality

102 monitor

environmental impact statements

Volume 7, No. 4
May, 1977

PRESIDENT CARTER DELIVERS ENVIRONMENTAL MESSAGE

The complete text of the President's message to Congress is reprinted beginning on page 2.

CEQ TO HOLD HEARINGS ON NEPA AND EIS REFORM

CEQ will hold public hearings on reforming the implementation of the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA), with special emphasis on the environmental impact statement process, on June 6-8, 1977, in Washington, D.C. Turn to page 30.

NEPA EXECUTIVE ORDER ISSUED

Executive Order 11991 was issued on May 24, 1977, authorizing CEQ to issue regulations to Federal agencies for the implementation of the procedural provisions of the National Environmental Policy Act. The text of the Executive Order appears on pages 35-40.

STATE EIS CONTACT LIST UPDATED

An updated list of State EIS process contacts appears on pages 37-40.

CONTENTS

Environmental Message	2
NEPA and EIS Reform Hearings	30
NEPA Executive Order	35
State EIS Contact List	37
State-County Index of EISs Filed with CEQ During April, 1977	41
Agency Index of EISs Filed with CEQ During April, 1977	47
Statements Filed During April, 1977	52
Cummulative Summary	101
EPA Listings	102
Subscription Information	122

MAY 23, 1977

Office of the White House Press Secretary

THE WHITE HOUSE

TO THE CONGRESS OF THE UNITED STATES:

This message brings together a great variety of programs. It deals not only with ways to preserve the wilderness, wildlife, and natural and historical resources which are a beautiful and valued part of America's national heritage: it deals also with the effects of pollution, toxic chemicals, and the damage caused by the demand for energy. Each of these concerns, in its own way, affects the environment; and together they underscore the importance of environmental protection in all our lives.

Americans long thought that nature could take care of itself -- or that if it did not, the consequences were someone else's problem. As we know now, that assumption was wrong: none of us is a stranger to environmental problems.

Industrial workers, for example, are exposed to disproportionate risks from toxic substances in their surroundings. The urban poor, many of whom have never had the chance to canoe a river or hike a mountain trail, must nevertheless endure each day the hazardous effects of lead and other pollutants in the air.

I believe environmental protection is consistent with a sound economy. Previous pollution control laws have generated many more jobs than they have cost. And other environmental measures whose time has come -- measures like energy conservation, reclamation of stripmined lands, and rehabilitation of our cities -- will produce still more new jobs, often where they are needed most. In any event, if we ignore the care of our environment, the day will eventually come when our economy suffers for that neglect.

Intelligent stewardship of the environment on behalf of all Americans is a prime responsibility of government. Congress has in the past carried out its share of this duty well -- so well, in fact, that the primary need today is not for new comprehensive statutes but for sensitive administration and energetic enforcement of the ones we have. Environmental protection is no longer just a legislative job, but one that requires -- and will now receive -- firm and unsparing support from the Executive Branch.

In this spirit, I am presenting the following program to build upon Congress' admirable record:

Actions to control pollution and protect health

- A coordinated attack on toxic chemicals in the environment
- Effective implementation of the Toxic Substances Control Act
- Accelerated development of occupational health standards to protect workers
- Strong legislation to improve air quality
- A $45 billion, 10-year program to build sewage facilities
- Accelerated study of economic incentives to encourage recycling

Actions to assure environmentally sound energy development

- Support for amendments to improve the Outer Continental Shelf Lands Act
- Revision of the OCS leasing schedule
- More participation by states in the current OCS program
- Accelerated identification of health and environmental effects of new energy technologies
- A nationwide evaluation of the availability of water for energy development
- Support for strong national stripmining legislation
- Reform of the federal coal leasing program

Actions to improve the urban environment

- Commitment to neighborhood preservation and conservation
- Use of historic buildings for federal offices where appropriate
- An improved and expanded urban homesteading program

Actions to protect our natural resources

- A comprehensive review of water resources policy
- Executive Orders to direct federal agencies to refrain from supporting development in floodplains and wetlands
- Support for the program regulating dredge spoil disposal in wetlands
- $50 million over the next five years to purchase wetlands to protect waterfowl habitat
- Development of legislation to replace the anachronistic Mining Law of 1872 with one that establishes a leasing system for hardrock minerals
- A comprehensive review of the Cooperative Forestry Program
- An Executive Order strengthening protection of public lands from the uncontrolled use of off-road vehicles

Actions to preserve our national heritage

- An accelerated five year, $759 million program to develop new and existing parks
- Development of legislation to establish a National Heritage Trust to protect places of cultural, historic, and ecological value
- Support for designating major additions to the Park, Forest, Wildlife Refuge, and Wild and Scenic River Systems in Alaska
- Four new wilderness proposals and enlargement of five others submitted by previous Administrations
- Accelerated preparation of new wilderness proposals for Alaska and the East and initiation of a vigorous new wilderness program on Bureau of Land Management lands
- Eight new Wild and Scenic Rivers and proposals to study 20 others

- Legislation to deauthorize the Cross Florida Barge Canal and to study adding the Oklawaha River to the Wild and Scenic River System
- Three new National Scenic Trails

Actions to protect wildlife

- A $295 million five-year program to rehabilitate and improve the Wildlife Refuge System
- Improved protection for nongame wildlife
- An accelerated effort to identify habitat critical to the survival and recovery of endangered species
- An Executive Order restricting the introduction of potentially harmful foreign plants and animals into the United States

Actions which affirm our concern for the global environment

- A major interagency study to assess potential global environmental changes and their impact on the United States
- An offer to assist interested nations in dealing with population problems
- Inclusion of environmental considerations in assistance programs of the Agency for International Development
- Support for a number of international environmental agreements
- A prohibition on commercial whaling in the U.S. 200-mile fisheries zone

Actions to improve implementation of environmental laws

- An Executive Order directing the Council on Environmental Quality to issue regulations reducing paperwork in the environmental impact statement process
- Development of legislation to create one consolidated environmental grant program
- A review of environmental coordination legislation to reduce overlapping and conflicting requirements.

I. POLLUTION AND HEALTH

At the beginning of this decade, the United States embarked on a long overdue program to reduce pollution and make our environment healthier and more livable. My Administration fully supports the progressive legislation that has been enacted. We are particularly committed to strong measures to protect our most important resource -- human health -- from the increasingly apparent problem of hazardous substances in the environment.

° We plan to improve enforcement of our pollution control laws.

° We intend to make increased use of economic incentives to achieve our environmental goals.

° We will seize opportunities to reduce pollution by conserving resources.

° We will work with state and local governments to make sure that the job of controlling pollution is properly planned and does not stop with the promulgation of regulations in Washington.

° We will make every effort to see that regulation of a problem in one medium -- such as water -- does not create new environmental problems in another medium -- such as air.

° And we will squarely face emerging environmental problems so that they can be dealt with effectively without an atmosphere of crisis.

TOXIC CHEMICALS

The presence of toxic chemicals in our environment is one of the grimmest discoveries of the industrial era. Rather than coping with these hazards after they have escaped into our environment, our primary objective must be to prevent them from entering the environment at all.

At least a dozen major federal statutes, implemented by seven different agencies, address this problem in various ways. With the enactment last year of the Toxic Substances Control Act, no further comprehensive federal legislation should be necessary. Now we must inaugurate a coordinated federal effort to exclude these chemicals from our environment.

I am therefore instructing the Council on Environmental Quality to develop an interagency program (1) to eliminate overlaps and fill gaps in the collection of data on toxic chemicals, and (2) to coordinate federal research and regulatory activities affecting them.

The Toxic Substances Control Act enables the federal government, for the first time, to gather the information on chemical substances needed to determine their potential for damaging human health and the environment, and to control them where necessary to protect the public. My FY 1978 budget provides nearly $29 million -- a threefold increase over Fiscal 1977 -- for the Environmental Protection Agency to implement this important Act.

I have instructed the Environmental Protection Agency to give its highest priority to developing 1983-best-available-technology industrial effluent standards which will control toxic pollutants under the Federal Water Pollution Control Act, and to incorporate these standards into discharge permits. My Administration will be seeking amendments to this Act, including revision of Section 307(a), to permit the Environmental Protection Agency to move more decisively against the discharge of chemicals potentially injurious to human health.

Finally, I have instructed the Environmental Protection Agency to set standards under the Safe Drinking Water Act which will limit human exposure to toxic substances in drinking water, beginning with potential carcinogens.

THE WORKPLACE

Each year, environmental hazards in the workplace cause at least 390,000 new cases of disease and perhaps as many as 100,000 deaths. Regulation of toxic chemicals will reduce this toll, but other steps are also necessary to protect worker health and to assure adequate compensation to those who suffer from occupational diseases.

In the past, implementation of the Occupational Safety and Health Act of 1970 has emphasized safety and too often resulted in unnecessary and burdensome regulations. Yet at the same time the federal response to health problems has been unconscionably slow. Under my Administration OSHA will develop and enforce effective standards for occupational health without repeating the excesses of the past. We will continue to work with the Congress to strengthen the Coal Mine Health and Safety Act and the Metallic and Nonmetallic Mine Safety Act, and we are now implementing administrative reforms to improve standard-setting procedures, enforcement, and penalty collection.

In addition, my Administration will explore and develop proposals to supplement federal occupational health regulations, and will examine the full range of reforms that might be undertaken by the appropriate levels of government to assure adequate compensation for occupationally-induced diseases.

AIR POLLUTION

Clean air is essential to the health and welfare of all Americans. Although we have made considerable progress, much remains to be done before we have fully achieved our ambient air quality goals.

My Administration has already submitted to the Congress certain amendments to the Clean Air Act. I support:

- Strong provisions to prevent the deterioration of air quality in already clean areas;

- A program to protect visibility in our national parks and wilderness areas;

- Strong enforcement tools, including economic penalties to eliminate the benefits of delay or noncompliance;

- The use of "best available control" technologies in all new facilities;

- Strict controls on coal-burning plants to insure that they meet air quality standards;

- Emission limitations for automobiles which help meet clean air goals but allow achievement of strict fuel economy standards;

- State implementation of inspection and maintenance programs in seriously polluted areas to ensure that automobiles continue to meet emission control requirements while in use.

In addition, I have instructed the Administrator of the Environmental Protection Agency to review his agency's regulations controlling new industrial growth in areas now violating air quality health standards and to recommend to me and to the Congress a fair and effective policy for meeting these standards in the future. Adoption of new legislative provisions in this area should await the results of this review.

WATER QUALITY

The nation has made considerable progress in cleaning up its waters under the program set forth in the 1972 amendments to the Federal Water Pollution Control Act, but much remains to be done in order to achieve the Act's goal of fishable and swimmable waters. Three areas deserve particular attention:

(1) To help control pollution from municipal sewage systems -- an effort that currently involves 10,000 projects in planning or under construction -- we need substantial additional funding. I have already asked the Congress to authorize the expenditure of $4.5 billion in each of the next ten years for municipal waste water treatment facilities and to allow a one-year extension in the September 1977 deadline for the obligation of construction grant funds. But at the same time we need to be sure that sewage projects supported by federal money do not create additional environmental problems, and that they are designed to encourage water conservation as well as water treatment. We also must ensure that the systems are operated properly once they have been built; that there is an effective pretreatment program to remove harmful industrial wastes from these systems; and that we are carefully considering alternative solutions, particularly in smaller communities, so that we can be sure of building the projects which are economically and environmentally most effective.

(2) I have already asked the Congress to increase the funds available for the Section 208 Planning Program, which helps place water quality management in the hands of state and local government, where much of the job of prevention and abatement must actually be done. This Section of the 1972 Amendments provides a means for addressing the increasingly serious problem of pollution caused by runoff from agriculture, mining, forestry, and urban streets. To make it operate effectively, tough state and local plans addressing these problems must be completed and implemented as soon as possible.

(3) Finally, I will be submitting further water quality amendments for your consideration in the current session. They will include provisions to make pollution unprofitable as well as illegal by imposing penalties on firms that have failed to abate their pollution on schedule; provisions to make law enforcement more stringent; and provisions necessary to ensure that actions are taken in accord with water quality management plans.

SOLID WASTE

"Solid wastes" are the discarded left-overs of our advanced consumer society. This growing mountain of garbage and trash represents not only an attitude of indifference toward valuable natural resources, but also a serious economic and public health problem.

The Resource Conservation and Recovery Act, passed in 1976, gave the Environmental Protection Agency the authority it needs to regulate hazardous wastes and to assure the safe disposal of other residues. Now it is important to move

beyond the symptoms and address two principal causes of the solid waste problem: excessive packaging and inadequate use of recycled materials.

The Act requires the EPA to undertake, through an Interagency Resource Conservation Committee, a two-year study of ways to encourage waste reduction, recycling, and resource recovery with financial incentives like solid waste disposal charges, refundable deposits on containers, federal procurement of recycled materials, and excise taxes for litter clean-up. I am asking the Committee to accelerate its study and within six months present to me its first recommendations which are to address the use of solid waste disposal charges (levies on materials and products which reflect the costs associated with their ultimate disposal).

In addition, I am taking several actions to encourage resource conservation within the federal government. In the White House itself, recycled paper will be used wherever practicable as soon as present stocks of paper have been exhausted. I am instructing the Administrator of the General Services Administration and the heads of other appropriate federal agencies to institute a waste paper recycling program wherever practicable by the end of this calendar year. I am also instructing the GSA to revise its paper-product specifications to encourage the purchase of more recycled paper.

PEST MANAGEMENT

For several decades, chemical pesticides have been the foundation of agricultural, public health, and residential pest control. Approximately 1400 different chemicals are used in pesticide products, and some of these, as we have begun to discover, impose an unacceptable risk to our health and our environment.

To improve the safety and effectiveness of pest management, I am recommending two measures -- one a short-term legislative step, and the other a long-term change in the way we approach this problem.

(1) I am asking the Administrator of the Environmental Protection Agency to work with the Congress in enacting an amendment to the Federal Insecticide, Fungicide, and Rodenticide Act which would allow the EPA to regulate directly these 1400 active chemical ingredients, rather than the 40,000 different commercial products which contain them in varying amounts. This change will help speed the registration of safe and desirable pest control compounds, and it will permit swifter revocation of registration for those which pose unwarranted risks.

(2) I am instructing the Council on Environmental Quality, at the conclusion of its ongoing review of integrated pest management in the United States, to recommend actions which the federal government can take to encourage the development and application of pest management techniques which emphasize the use of natural biological controls like predators, pest-specific diseases, pest-resistant plant varieties, and hormones, relying on chemical agents only as needed.

II. ENERGY AND THE ENVIRONMENT

In countless ways, our environment is affected by the production, distribution, and use of energy. Some of these -- for example, the need to control oil tanker pollution and the need to restrict plutonium technology -- I have already discussed in specific messages to the Congress. Others are implicit in my energy proposals, since the act of reversing our present wasteful energy practices will itself have many beneficial consequences for the environment. The transition to renewable energy sources, particularly solar energy, must be made. But it will take time. Meanwhile we should satisfy our energy needs from existing sources, both fossil and nuclear, in a safe and environmentally acceptable way. In particular, my Energy Plan outlined a number of steps this Administration will take to deal with domestic nuclear safety and spent fuel storage: an improved inspection program; mandatory reporting of all mishaps and failures; development of improved siting criteria; a review of the entire licensing process; and a review of the Energy Research and Development Administration's radioactive waste management program. The decisions we make about energy in the next few years will influence the environment of our country for generations.

THE OUTER CONTINENTAL SHELF

The oil and gas under federal ownership on the outer continental shelf must be developed in an orderly manner, reconciling the nation's energy needs with the fullest possible protection of the environment.

Amendments to the OCS Lands Act now being considered by the Congress, with provisions proposed by the Administration, will provide important new authority to the Secretary of the Interior. I urge expeditious passage of legislation to regulate the outer continental shelf, and in particular I favor provisions which would:

- Permit full evaluation of the effects of oil production, and cancel leases or terminate operations when harm or damage to the environment outweighs the advantage of continued operations;

- Improve consultation with states and communities to assure that they have a real role in decisions which affect them;

- Require industry to use the best available economically achievable safety and pollution control technology in operations on the outer continental shelf.

In addition to new legislation, certain administrative steps should be taken in this area. The first is to assess the size and scheduling of the OCS program. The Secretary of the Interior has already revised this program through 1978 to reflect reasonable production objectivee as well as the various environmental considerations in each OCS region.

As the Secretary now proceeds to reevaluate the longer-term OCS program, I have directed him to work closely with the Governors of affected coastal states to guarantee that proposals for the timing and sequence of offshore lease sales are reasonable, not only in a technological sense but also in economic, social, and environmental respects. Because the Alaskan outer continental shelf is particularly sensitive and controversial, I am directing him to give special emphasis to it. I have also asked him to work closely with the Secretary of Commerce as she identifies potential marine sanctuaries in areas where leasing appears imminent.

To obtain fuller knowledge about the environmental impact of leasing and production, and to increase participation by the states in the process of decision, I have further directed the Secretary of the Interior to:

- Establish an OCS Information Clearinghouse to receive inquiries about federal OCS activity;

- Develop regulations, operating orders, and lease provisions specifying the information required from industry about both the offshore and onshore impacts of prospective development;

- Facilitate cooperative planning among industry, the Interior Department, the Department of Transportation, and the states for lease development, pipeline locations, pipeline standards, and onshore facilities;

- Establish procedures for compliance with the National Environmental Policy Act in connection with development plan approvals.

Because OCS activities should be administered in the most orderly way possible, I am directing the Secretary of the Interior to study carefully the prospect of reorganizing his Department's management of these valuable resources.

He and the Administrator of the Environmental Protection Agency should also coordinate their respective regulatory activities to ensure that exploration and development are not delayed by procedural confusion.

COAL

As our nation increasingly turns to coal as a replacement for our dwindling supplies of oil and gas, we must be sure that we will not fall short of the goals we have established to protect human health and the general environment.

Essential to environmentally sound use of coal is the expeditious passage of strong national strip mine legislation. My Administration has submitted to the Congress amendments by which alluvial valley floors, prime agricultural lands, and the mountains and valleys of Appalachia can be protected. These improvements, together with the comprehensive reclamation, administrative and enforcement provisions of the bill, will allow increased reliance on coal without sacrificing the environment. I urge final approval of this legislation in its strongest form. The Department of Interior has already begun preparations to implement the bill when it becomes law.

In the near term the switch to coal must be accomplished with currently available technology. In my Energy Plan I recognized that pollution control technology for direct combustion of coal is not fully adequate and directed that federal research be increased in certain key areas.

In the longer term, we must be sure that technological advances, such as conversion of coal to synthetic fuels, do not create undue environmental and health risks.

Accordingly, I am directing the Administrators of the Energy Research and Development Administration and the Environmental Protection Agency, and the Secretary of Health, Education, and Welfare to establish a joint program to identify the health and environmental effects of each advanced technology that is the subject of federal research and development.

I am also directing the Administrators of the Energy Research and Development Administration and the Environmental Protection Agency jointly to develop procedures for establishing environmental protection standards for all new energy technologies. These procedures should be agreed upon within one year.

Because extensive use of coal requires huge amounts of water, I am directing the Secretary of the Interior to prepare

a nationwide evaluation of the water supply needs and availability for development of various energy resources. He should prepare his report in cooperation with the Water Resources Council, the Administrator of the Energy Research and Development Administration, and other federal agencies.

The newly enacted Coal Leasing Amendments and the Federal Land Management and Policy Act provide the Secretary of the Interior with the necessary authority to carry out environmentally sound, comprehensive planning for the public lands. His duty now is to implement an affirmative program for managing coal lands and associated resources in a manner that fully protects the public interest and respects the rights of private surface owners.

To accomplish this, I am directing the Secretary of the Interior to manage the coal leasing program to assure that it can respond to reasonable production goals by leasing only those areas where mining is environmentally acceptable and compatible with other land uses. Specifically:

- The Secretary of the Interior, using environmental reviews, coal assessments, and indications of market interest, should determine which lands are appropriate to offer for lease.

- Land use plans should be completed before a decision to offer specific tracts for sale.

- No tract should be leased unless the Secretary is satisfied that the environmental impact of mining would be acceptable and that the federal government will receive a fair market value for the lease.

In response to concern about the large numbers of non-producing federal coal leases in the Western states, I am directing the Secretary of the Interior to scrutinize the existing leases (and applications for preference right leases) to determine whether they show prospects for timely development in an environmentally acceptable manner. He should take whatever steps are necessary to deal with non-producing and environmentally unsatisfactory leases and applications. These may include the following:

- Exchange of environmentally unsatisfactory leases or applications for environmentally acceptable coal lands of equivalent value;

- Reassessment of the basis for granting or denying preference right leases;

- Submission of legislation to authorize the condemnation of outstanding rights upon payment of reasonable compensation, if necessary to prevent environmental damage.

III. THE URBAN ENVIRONMENT

The National Environmental Policy Act speaks of the need to assure every American safe and healthful surroundings in which to live and work. For many of our citizens in urban areas, this goal is still remote.

Many existing environmental protection programs directly benefit the cities, of course, because that is where most of our pollution problems are. For example, controls on the emission of lead from automobile exhaust are of serious concern to inner-city residents. And as water pollution programs make rivers and streams cleaner, there will be more recreational opportunities in urban areas.

City life need not be a discouraging struggle against adversity; it can be an enriching experience. But it will take more than stopping pollution to make our cities the congenial, livable places they ought to be.

To halt the degradation of the urban environment and to conserve and develop its rich historic and physical resources, the place to begin is with the neighborhoods themselves. In the past, wholesale destruction and rebuilding have led to a spurious "renewal" that destroys the sense of human community on which a city's vigor depends. By redirecting the resources of the federal government into neighborhood preservation and conservation, we can begin the work of intelligently restoring our cities. These proposals are part of that program:

- I am directing all federal agencies to assess their programs to ensure that they take advantage of our rich architectural heritage wherever possible, for example by locating federal offices in historic buildings and by supporting projects that are compatible with and contribute to the local cultural and historic environment.

- I have instructed the Secretary of Housing and Urban Development to expand the urban homesteading program by making available more and better-quality houses; by ensuring that adequate rehabilitation assistance is available to participants; and by coordinating the program more closely with other federal, state, and community efforts.

IV. NATURAL RESOURCES

Protection of this country's natural resources depends in large part on the actions, leadership, and example of the federal government. Too often our water, wetlands, minerals, timber, and other resources have been managed imprudently, in disregard of sound environmental principles. Too often, narrow economic interests have enjoyed undue influence over the use of our public domain. As a result

the resources which belong to all our citizens have sometimes been carelessly squandered.

My Administration is committed to a policy of effective stewardship of our public lands and natural resources. That policy includes conservation, multiple use of resources, and a reluctance to disrupt natural ecosystems. At a time when it has become apparent that we can no longer afford the waste and misuse of any natural resources, the need for these steps is clear.

WATER RESOURCES POLICY

One of the pressing domestic issues facing this Administration and this Congress is the establishment of a national water resources management policy.

I believe that it is essential to confine the public works efforts of the water development agencies to projects that can meet such defensible criteria as economic efficiency, safety, environmental protection, and fair distribution of project benefits. I have already recommended to the Congress a number of cost-saving adjustments in our water resource programs. Beyond that, we need comprehensive reform of water resources policy, with water conservation as its cornerstone.

I am therefore directing the Office of Management and Budget, the Council on Environmental Quality, and the Water Resources Council to conduct, in consultation with the Congress and with the public, a review of the present federal water resource policy. I have requested them to complete this joint study within six months and to present me with concrete recommendations for policy coordination and reform.

In addition, as a first step in redirecting present practices, I am today issuing an Executive Order designed to minimize the potential loss of human life and property from floods. This Order directs all appropriate federal agencies to refrain from supporting, funding, or issuing permits and licenses to new construction projects located in floodplains unless the agency determines that no practicable alternative location exists.

WETLANDS

The important ecological function of coastal and inland wetlands is well known to natural scientists. The lasting benefits that society derives from these areas often far exceed the immediate advantage their owners might get from draining or filling them. Their destruction shifts economic and environmental costs to other citizens -- often in other states -- who have had no voice in the decision to alter them.

We are losing wetlands at the rate of some 300,000 acres per year. While many of the individual developments which have caused this loss may once have been appropriate -- and some still may be -- we must now protect against the cumulative effects of reducing our total wetlands acreage. For these reasons, I am proposing a concerted federal effort to protect our wetlands. This includes the following steps:

(1) The federal government will no longer subsidize the destruction of wetlands. I am today issuing an Executive Order directing all appropriate federal agencies to refrain from giving financial support to proposed developments in wetlands unless the agency determines that no practicable alternative sites exist.

(2) I support implementation of the Federal Water Pollution Control Act program which regulates the filling and disposal of dredged materials in all U.S. waters or associated wetlands. This important program is essential to wetlands protection, but it should be carried out in a way that avoids undue federal regulation. The present program exempts normal farming, ranching, and forestry practices, and it allows for general permits that do not tie up individuals in unnecessary red tape. These provisions have my support. My forthcoming amendments to the Federal Water Pollution Control Act will include proposals to improve wetlands protection and to authorize the states to assume responsibility for carrying out major portions of this program.

(3) To protect and sustain waterfowl for recreational enjoyment, I am proposing a budget increase of $50 million over the next five years to purchase wetlands, and I have already included in both the FY 1977 and FY 1978 budgets another $10 million for this purpose. I also urge the Congress to enact legislation increasing the price of migratory bird conservation and hunting stamps (the so-called "duck" stamp) so that additional revenue will be available for waterfowl habitat acquisition.

COASTAL BARRIER ISLANDS

Coastal barrier islands are a fragile buffer between the wetlands and the sea. The 189 barrier islands on the Atlantic and Gulf Coasts are an integral part of an ecosystem which helps protect inland areas from flood waves and hurricanes. Many of them are unstable and not suited for development, yet in the past the federal government has subsidized and insured new construction on them. Eventually, we can expect heavy economic losses from this shortsighted policy.

About 68 coastal barrier islands are still unspoiled. Because I believe these remaining natural islands should be protected from unwise development, I am directing the Secretary of the Interior, in consultation with the Secretary of Commerce, the Council on Environmental Quality, and state and local officials of coastal areas, to develop an effective plan for protecting the islands.

His report should include recommendations for action to achieve this purpose.

MARINE SANCTUARIES

Existing legislation allows the Secretary of Commerce to protect certain estuarine and ocean resources from the ill-effects of development by designating marine sanctuaries. Yet only two sanctuaries have been established since 1972, when the program began.

I am, therefore, instructing the Secretary of Commerce to identify possible sanctuaries in areas where development appears imminent, and to begin collecting the data necessary to designate them as such under the law.

MINING

For more than a century, the development of hardrock minerals on the public lands has been governed by the Mining Law of 1872. This law once served an important purpose: encouraging settlement of the West and rapid development of mineral wealth there. But it is now outdated and inadequate. It fails to set forth clear authority for establishing environmental standards, and in some cases has allowed mining operations to degrade the water, soil, and air. It ignores the need for balanced management of resources and it has permitted the public's mineral resources to be developed without any royalty return to the federal treasury.

Therefore I am today instructing the Secretary of the Interior to prepare, for submission to the Congress, legislation to replace the Mining Law of 1872 with one more suited to contemporary needs. Among its provisions should be these: (1) a leasing system for publicly owned hardrock minerals; (2) explicit federal discretionary authority over mineral exploration and development on the public lands; (3) strict standards for environmental protection and for reclamation of mined areas; (4) a requirement for approval of operation and reclamation plans before mining can begin; (5) royalties for use of public lands and mineral resources; and (6) the integration of mining into land use plans being developed for the public lands.

FOREST MANAGEMENT

Since the passage in recent years of landmark legislation -- the Forest and Rangelands Renewable Resources Planning Act, and the National Forest Management Act -- the greatest challenge remaining to American forestry is to improve the condition and production of small private forest holdings. Various federal programs have, in the past, encouraged better management of these 296 million acres, which account for three-fifths of America's total productive forests. Now it is time to consider whether they are accomplishing their purpose.

Consequently, I am asking the Secretary of Agriculture to undertake a comprehensive study of the Cooperative Forestry Program. This study should consider the need for measures to:

° Assure that forestry programs support national environmental goals;

° Assure that assistance to non-federal forest owners will emphasize interdisciplinary planning and multiple use management;

° Improve the capability of private forest lands to meet the nation's need for wood;

° Prevent and control pollution, fires, and insects and diseases that damage forests, using environmentally sound methods, such as biological pest controls;

° Improve and maintain fish and wildlife habitats, particularly those that are critical for threatened and endangered species;

° Strengthen planning and management capabilities of state and local forestry agencies.

The study should also examine the desirability of providing financial assistance to state forestry agencies on the basis of state forestry plans rather than through the present fragmented approach.

OFF-ROAD VEHICLES

While off-road vehicles provide enjoyment and recreation for many, their indiscriminate use poses a threat to our public lands. Uncontrolled, they have ruined fragile soils, harassed wildlife, and damaged unique archaeological sites.

In 1972, federal agencies began to control the use of such vehicles on the public lands under Executive Order 11644. While these regulations have achieved some measure of protection for the soil, vegetation, and other values of the public

lands, environmental damage has continued in certain areas. Accordingly, I am today amending this Executive Order to exclude off-road vehicles from certain portions of the public lands where their use has caused (or seems likely to cause) considerable environmental damage.

V. THE NATIONAL HERITAGE

In its land and its history, a nation finds the things which give it continuity. By preserving places that have special natural, historical, cultural, and scientific value, we can ensure that our children and grandchildren have a chance to know something of the America that we -- and our ancestors -- simply took for granted.

More than 300 such places have already been set aside in our National Park System. I have already demonstrated my support for the National Park Service by submitting to the Congress an accelerated, five-year, $759 million program to develop new and existing parklands, and to increase the park staff so that these irreplaceable resources can be better protected and seen by more visitors.

This step, though clearly needed, must be supported by a thorough re-examination of existing federal programs dealing with our natural and historic heritage. These have proliferated in a way that serves neither efficiency nor effectiveness, and they have sometimes impeded the preservationist efforts of states and private citizens. I believe we should establish a comprehensive federal program, to be known as the National Heritage Trust, to identify, acquire, and protect these resources; to provide for rapid acquisition of the most significant and endangered areas and examples of natural ecosystems; to protect areas already within federal jurisdiction; and to coordinate federal programs within states and private citizens more effectively. I have directed the Secretary of the Interior to develop a National Heritage Trust proposal within 120 days.

ALASKA

While my National Heritage Trust proposal will focus on small but important areas, the Congress now has an opportunity of historic dimensions to conserve large unspoiled sections of the American wilderness in Alaska. Under the terms of the Alaska Native Claims Act, the Congress is to consider designation of major additions to the National Park, Forest, Wildlife Refuge, and Wild and Scenic River Systems. We can double the size of the Wildlife Refuge and the Park Systems, as well as add to the Forest and Wild and Scenic River Systems, at no acquisition cost. No conservation action the 95th Congress could take would have more lasting value than this. But if Congress fails to act by December 1978, the opportunity

will be automatically lost. In the near future, my Administration will submit detailed recommendations for these Alaskan lands.

WILDERNESS

When the Congress passed the Wilderness Act in 1964, it established a landmark of American conservation policy. The National Wilderness Preservation System created by this Act must be expanded promptly, before the most deserving areas of federal lands are opened to other uses and lost to wilderness forever. I endorse, and in some cases am proposing to expand, all of the more than 24 million acres of wilderness proposals submitted to the Congress by previous Administrations.

Among the proposed areas I will recommend to enlarge are the following:

- Idaho and Salmon River Breaks Wilderness, Idaho;
- Guadalupe Escarpment Wilderness, New Mexico and Texas;
- Beartooth - Absaroka Wilderness, Montana and Wyoming;
- Grand Canyon National Park, Arizona;
- Kenai Moose Range, Alaska.

I am submitting new wilderness proposals for:

- Arches National Park, Utah;
- Canyon Lands National Park, Utah;
- Capitol Reef National Park, Utah;
- Buffalo National River, Arkansas.

I will soon submit a proposal to designate as wilderness portions of Gulf Island National Seashore in Mississippi and Florida, and I will give early attention to other wilderness proposals, including portions of the Oregon Dunes National Recreation Area, Oregon, and Aravaipa Canyon, Arizona, which would be the first wilderness area on Bureau of Land Management lands. In addition, I support the objectives of the Endangered Wilderness legislation now pending before Congress. Members of my Administration have recently testified in favor of immediate wilderness designation or protective study designation for all areas in this bill.

I recognize the special need to preserve wilderness east of the Rockies and in Alaska, and I am directing that federal agencies expedite the preparation of wilderness proposals for these areas.

Finally, I have directed the Secretary of the Interior to initiate a vigorous wilderness program for the Bureau of Land Management under the new Federal Land Management and Policy Act of 1976.

WILD AND SCENIC RIVERS

To date only 19 free-flowing rivers, totalling 1,655 miles, have been designated as part of the National Wild and Scenic Rivers System. Another 31 rivers have been identified as worthy of study for designation in the future.

We must identify as quickly as possible the best remaining candidates for inclusion in the Wild and Scenic Rivers System before they are dammed, channelized, or damaged by unwise development along their banks. As a first step, therefore, I am proposing legislation to add segments of eight rivers, totalling 1303 miles, to the system:

- Bruneau River, Idaho;
- Pere Marquette River, Michigan;
- Dolores River, Colorado;
- Rio Grande River, Texas;
- Salmon River, Idaho;
- Skagit River, Washington;
- Upper Delaware River, Pennsylvania and New York;
- Upper Mississippi River, Minnesota.

I further propose to designate twenty river segments for study as potential additions to the National Wild and Scenic Rivers System:

- Gila River, New Mexico;
- Green River, Utah;
- Illinois River, Arkansas;
- North Fork of the Kern River, California;
- Shenandoah River, Virginia and West Virginia;
- Sweetwater River, Wyoming;
- Cacapon River, West Virginia;
- Columbia River, Washington;
- Guadalupe River, Texas;
- John Day River, Oregon;
- Loxahatchee River, Florida;
- Niobrara River, Nebraska;
- Tangipahoa River, Louisiana and Mississippi;
- Yellowstone River, Wyoming and Montana;
- Ogeechee River, Georgia;
- Salt River, Arizona;
- Wenatchee River, Washington;
- Gulkana River, Alaska;
- Delta River, Alaska;
- Madison River, Montana.

I am also transmitting to the Congress reports on the Gasconade River in Missouri and the Penobscot River in Maine. After thorough study, both of these rivers have been found to qualify for inclusion in the National System with the recommendation that they be administered by the states. I am

pleased at the number of states taking positive action to protect their natural resources and assure them that any proposals to add State-administered rivers to the National System will be sympathetically considered by the Secretary of the Interior.

I am also submitting legislation to the Congress to withdraw authority for future construction of the Cross-Florida Barge Canal, to extend the boundaries of the Ocala National Forest to protect the Oklawaha River, and to authorize study of the Oklawaha River for possible designation as a Wild and Scenic River. Enactment of this legislation will put an end to the long controversy over this ill-advised project. I am also directing the Secretary of Agriculture, the Secretary of the Army, and other appropriate federal agencies, in cooperation with the State of Florida, to recommend ways to dispose of canal lands and structures, as well as ways to restore the Oklawaha River portion of the project area.

NATIONAL TRAILS

In 1968 Congress passed the National Trails System Act, the purpose of which was to designate and preserve a national system of recreational and scenic trails. Nine years later only two have been designated: the Appalachian Trail and the Pacific Coast Trail. Other unprotected trails on public lands have in the meantime become unusable. In some areas almost half the once-available trail mileage has been lost.

To restore and broaden the National Trails System, I am submitting legislation to designate three new Scenic Trails:

- a Continental Divide Scenic Trail of 3100 miles along the Rocky Mountains crest from Canada to Mexico;
- a North Country Scenic Trail of 3200 miles from eastern New York to North Dakota; and
- a Potomac Heritage Scenic Trail of 847 miles along the Potomac River from Chesapeake Bay to West Virginia and Pennsylvania.

In the near future I will also submit legislation to amend the National Trails System Act by adding a new category -- Historic Trails -- and I will give early attention to submitting trails in this new category.

WILDLIFE

When explorers and settlers from Europe first came to America, they were astonished at the richness and variety of wildlife in our lands and waters. Faced with such abundance, for years most Americans have valued only game species of wildlife. Our allocation of federal funds for wildlife research and management still reflects this outdated view. Ninety-seven out of every hundred federal wildlife dollars are devoted to the less than one percent of vertebrate species that are hunted or fished for commerce or sport. To the rest, little attention

has been given, even though they are part of the biological system that sustains us. To correct this imbalance, I am directing the Secretary of the Interior (1) to encourage states to apply existing federal-aid funds to the management of all species of wildlife, and (2) to propose other measures to improve the conservation of nongame wildlife.

Our Nation's public lands and waters support a rich wildlife resource which we hold in trust for all Americans, now and in the future. My Administration will assure that this public trust -- for all wildlife -- is adequately and effectively executed.

The public's interest in wildlife specifically includes predators, which have in the past sometimes been regarded as competitors for livestock or game, leading to their destruction (and in the case of some large predator species, to their extermination). Because we now realize the importance of the role that predators play in various ecosystems, our goal should be not to destroy them but to reduce the occasion for their conflict with livestock. My Administration will continue to support the existing Executive Order which prohibits the routine use of poisons for killing predators on the public lands. If control is necessary, it should focus on the individual predators causing the problem -- not the species as a whole.

Many land and water development projects cause extensive damage to fish and wildlife habitat. My Administration will ensure timely implementation of the mitigation features required by the Fish and Wildlife Coordination Act to make up for such losses. Furthermore, to hasten the protection of threatened and endangered species, I am directing the Secretaries of Commerce and Interior to coordinate a government-wide effort, as required by the Endangered Species Act of 1973, to identify all habitat under Federal jurisdiction or control that is critical to the survival and recovery of these species. The purpose of this program is to avoid the possibility that such habitats will be identified too late to affect federal project planning. Major projects now underway that are found to pose a serious threat to endangered species should be reassessed on a case-by-case basis.

In the past 150 years, hundreds of foreign wildlife species, both plant and animal, have been introduced into the natural ecosystems of the United States. Many of these have been highly detrimental to public health, agriculture, and native wildlife.

Consequently, I am today issuing an Executive Order restricting the introduction of exotic animals and plants into the United States and prohibiting their establishment on lands and waters managed by the federal government. In addition, I am directing the Secretaries of Agriculture and the Interior to develop further legislation to deal with the importation of exotic species.

The many laws which have recently been enacted to conserve our Nation's wildlife pose the risk of creating a confusing system of overlapping and conflicting requirements. Therefore, I am directing the Council on Environmental Quality to consult with the states and executive agencies and report to me in six months on the steps that should be taken to simplify, coordinate, and codify the body of law affecting wildlife conservation.

Finally, I have asked the Congress to increase the 1978 Fish and Wildlife Service Budget by $23 million for environmental research, migratory bird protection, and aid to the states for endangered species. Because the maintenance and staffing of our national system of wildlife refuges has been sadly neglected for the past decade, I have also proposed a budget increase of $295 million for rehabilitation, habitat improvement, and development of this system during the next five years.

REDWOOD NATIONAL PARK

Congress created Redwood National Park in 1968 to protect a magnificent stand of the world's tallest trees. Subsequently, however, logging and roadbuilding on adjacent private land have created severe erosion problems in the Redwood Creek Watershed. My Administration has proposed to the Congress that 48,000 carefully chosen acres be added to the Park, allowing us to protect existing parklands and to add to the Park additional stands of redwoods. This proposal would also provide for rehabilitation of the Redwood Creek Watershed.

Recognizing that further expansion of the Park might adversely affect the economies of nearby communities, I have directed the Secretaries of Commerce and Labor to develop a joint program to cushion the effects of temporary unemployment in the Redwood National Park area.

VI. THE GLOBAL ENVIRONMENT

Environmental problems do not stop at national boundaries. In the past decade we and other nations have come to recognize the urgency of international efforts to protect our common environment.

As part of this process, I am directing the Council on Environmental Quality and the Department of State, working in cooperation with the Environmental Protection Agency, the National Science Foundation, the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, and other appropriate agencies, to make a one-year study of the probable changes in the world's population, natural resources, and environment through the end of the century. This study will serve as the foundation of our longer-term planning. I am also asking the Council on Environmental Quality, with the cooperation of the Department of State, to ensure that we achieve the best possible coordi-

nation of the international environmental programs within the Executive Branch.

WORLD POPULATION

Rapid population growth is a major environmental problem of world dimensions. World population increased from three to four billion in the last 15 years, substantially cancelling out expansion in world food production and economic growth of the same period.

Without controlling the growth of population, the prospects for enough food, shelter, and other basic needs for all the world's people are dim. Where existence is already poor and precarious, efforts to obtain the necessities of life often degrade the environment for generations to come.

It is, of course, up to each nation to determine its own policies, but we are prepared to respond promptly and fully to all requests for assistance in population and health care programs. At my direction, the Department of State and the Agency for International Development stand ready to cooperate through international organizations, through private voluntary organizations, or through direct contacts with other governments.

ENVIRONMENTAL CONSIDERATIONS IN ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT ASSISTANCE

Whether to try to prevent or undo environmental damage is a decision each country must make for itself. But I am convinced that in the long run, development programs that are environmentally sound will yield the most economic benefits. To encourage the adoption of such programs, I have taken these steps:

(1) I have instructed the Secretary of State, the Administrator of AID, and other concerned federal agencies to ensure full consideration of the environmental soundness of development projects under review for possible assistance.

(2) I have asked the Administrator of AID to make available to developing countries assistance in environment and natural resources management. Such assistance could help developing countries design environmentally sound projects, regardless of the source of funding for a particular project.

INTERNATIONAL ENVIRONMENTAL AGREEMENTS

A number of federal agencies, under the guidance of the Council on Environmental Quality, are engaged in international conservation activity. I am directing my Administration to expand this effort, giving particular attention to the following areas:

(1) The Endangered Species Convention is now in effect. The next step is to implement the treaty effectively. The United States has begun efforts to prohibit trade in endangered species of plants and animals, and will encourage similar steps by other nations.

(2) Pursuant to the Antarctic Treaty, I am today submitting legislation to Congress to provide for special protection of the flora and fauna of Antarctica. Because this remote, unpopulated region greatly influences the stability of the entire earth's oceans and atmosphere, its unique environment must be preserved.

(3) The Convention for Nature Protection and Wildlife Preservation in the Western Hemisphere was signed by Franklin D. Roosevelt in 1940, but has yet to be carried out fully and systematically. I propose to the countries of the Americas that we now implement this Convention through the offices of the Organization of American States. I urge the development of a Pan-American Migratory Species Treaty, and the convening of an Inter-American Conference on the Management of Western Hemisphere Renewable Natural Resources. The United States has already offered to host the Conference.

(4) I soon will send to the Senate for ratification the Treaty for the Conservation of Migratory Birds and Their Environment, which we signed with the Soviet Union last November. This treaty -- and the legislation I will submit to implement it -- will establish new authority to conserve the habitat necessary to the survival of these birds.

SAVING THE WHALES

Sharing the worldwide public concern about the condition of marine mammals, especially whales, I have directed the Secretary of Commerce, with the foreign policy guidance of the Secretary of State to:

- Prohibit commercial whaling within our 200-mile fishery zone;

- Pursue negotiations within the International Whaling Commission for a stronger international conservation regime for whales and other cetaceans, affording protection for them throughout their range;

- Maintain firm U.S. support for a ten-year worldwide moratorium on the commercial killing of whales; and

- Report to me within 60 days any actions by other countries that have diminished the effectiveness of the International Whaling Commission's conservation program.

VII. IMPROVING GOVERNMENT

The foregoing proposals, along with others which will follow in the coming years, constitute the most far-reaching environmental program ever put forward by any administration. My support for them is resolute, and it is personal. But belief in these goals does not necessarily mean uncritical belief in the federal government's present methods for achieving them. In the past, our environmental policies have not always used the most practical tools, nor the least costly; they have sometimes imposed burdensome bureaucratic regulations where economic incentives could have done the job as well; and they have occasionally ignored the need for different solutions in different areas of our diverse country. There is room for improvement.

1. Implementation of the National Environmental Policy Act

This Act is best known for requiring federal agencies to prepare environmental impact statements before taking actions having significant environmental effects. In the seven years since its passage, it has had a dramatic -- and beneficial -- influence on the way new projects are planned. But to be more useful to decision-makers and the public, environmental impact statements must be concise, readable, and based upon competent professional analysis. They must reflect a concern with quality, not quantity. We do not want impact statements that are measured by the inch or weighed by the pound.

Accordingly, I am today issuing an Executive Order directing the Council on Environmental Quality to issue regulations requiring all federal agencies to meet these criteria and the provisions of Section 102(2) of the National Environmental Policy Act in the impact statements they prepare and to establish a procedure for referring to the Council differences among agencies concerning implementation of the National Environmental Policy Act.

2. Coordination within the Federal Government

Various programs within the Environmental Protection Agency provide funds to state and local government for planning, training, monitoring, enforcement, and research in pollution control. They are presently authorized under different pieces of legislation, funded by different offices within the agency, and entail different procedures for allocation of their funds. In the near future I will submit legislation to the Congress designed to bring these programs together into one comprehensive environmental grant program.

The problem of uncoordinated policy is, unfortunately, not confined to any single federal agency. Today, before any federal agency can construct a new project, or grant funds to local or state governments, or issue a permit to a private party, it must comply with more than a score of different environmental review requirements. I have directed the Council on Environmental Quality to examine the present federal environmental review requirements and to recommend specific measures, including legislation, to clarify and integrate them in a way compatible with my broader proposals for governmental reorganization.

I am also directing the Council on Environmental Quality to establish an interagency task force to review present environmental monitoring and data programs, and to recommend improvements that would make these programs more effective.

3. Relations with Local Governments, Industry and the Public

My Administration will, in a variety of ways, move to simplify the delivery of federal programs and encourage a greater degree of local control. There are almost five hundred separate federal programs, most of which have separate planning requirements, providing tens of billions of dollars in assistance to state and local government. My Administration will support measures to bring these programs under a consistent, comprehensive policy, and to give state and local areas more influence over federal actions which affect them.

My Administration will also promote better cooperation between government and industry to solve some of our serious remaining pollution problems. I have directed the Administrator of the Environmental Protection Agency to meet with representatives of major industrial groups and develop a joint government-industry research program for unsolved pollution problems.

Finally, my Administration will support efforts to lower the barriers, financial and otherwise, against active public involvement in decisions that affect the quality of the environment. The surest way to sustain our environmental laws is to encourage citizens to hold the government accountable for enforcing and obeying them.

JIMMY CARTER

THE WHITE HOUSE,

May 23, 1977.

# # # # #

COUNCIL ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

NATIONAL ENVIRONMENTAL POLICY ACT

AND

ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENT

REFORM

The Council on Environmental Quality will hold public hearings on reforming the implementation of the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA), with special emphasis on the environmental impact statement process.

TIME AND PLACE

The hearings will be held on June 6-8, 1977, in Washington, D.C. in Room 2008, New Executive Office Building (located on 17th Street, N.W., between Pennsylvania Avenue and H Street).

PRESIDENT'S ENVIRONMENTAL MESSAGE

In his Environmental Message, President Carter stated that NEPA:

"... is best known for requiring federal agencies to prepare environmental impact statements before taking actions having significant environmental

effects. In the seven years since its passage, it has had a dramatic -- and beneficial -- influence on the way new projects are planned. But to be more useful to decision-makers and the public, environmental impact statements must be concise, readable, and based upon competent professional analysis. They must reflect a concern with quality, not quantity. We do not want impact statements that are measured by the inch or weighed by the pound.

Accordingly, I am today issuing an Executive Order [E.O.11991, 42 FR 26967] directing the Council on Environmental Quality to issue regulations requiring all federal agencies to meet these criteria and the provisions of Section 102(2) of the National Environmental Policy Act in the impact statements they prepare and to establish a procedure for referring to the Council differences among agencies concerning implementation of the National Environmental Policy Act."

SCOPE OF HEARINGS

The Council invites all interested persons to assist us

in fulfilling the President's mandate. Without in any way limiting your suggestions, the Council looks forward to considering your views on solutions to such problem areas as:

1. Concentrating on real issues instead of paper production. How to concentrate less on paperwork and more on substance in implementing NEPA. How to focus on real alternatives and on mitigation measures that offer decisionmakers real choices.

2. Streamlining the process. How to integrate policy and project planning with review and analysis of environmental matters and with the preparation and use of impact statements. How to build upon prior environmental reviews.

3. Eliminating conflicts and duplication. How to identify potential conflicts between agencies early in planning and decisionmaking. How to ensure prompt division of responsibilities for environmental reviews and impact statements among agencies. How to eliminate duplication of environmental reviews among federal and state agencies. How to resolve interagency conflicts on significant environmental issues using administrative means.

4. Content of CEQ regulations. What are the most important requirements that must be consistent across the entire federal government. What should be included in CEQ's regula-

tions. What kinds of specific instructions should CEQ give on the implementation of NEPA.

5. Other problems. Other problem areas include impartial preparation, application to legislative proposals, insuring that mitigation is carried out, monitoring, consideration of social and economic factors, and environmental impacts outside of the United States caused by federal agency action.

The Council also intends to propose measures to implement the substantive requirements of the National Environmental Policy Act. Your views on this subject will also be appreciated.

FORMAT

The hearings will be structured as follows:

Monday, June 6

10 a.m.-12 noon -- Review of impartial studies of NEPA

1:30 p.m.-4:30 p.m. -- Environmental and Conservation groups

Tuesday, June 7

9:30 a.m.-11 a.m. -- State and local governments

11 a.m.-12:30 p.m. -- Labor

1:30 p.m.-4:30 p.m. -- Business and industry

Wednesday, June 8

10 a.m.-12 noon -- Scientific and technical

1:30 p.m.-4:30 -- Other members of the public

PARTICIPATION IN HEARINGS

The Council invites all interested persons to attend any or all of the public hearing. We also invite written presentations, whether or not you will be able to testify or attend. The hearing record will close on June 15, 1977, and written comments should be submitted before that date. Please address all correspondence to NEPA Hearing Record, Council on Environmental Quality, Executive Office of the President, 722 Jackson Place, N.W., Washington, D.C 20006. Although the Council has been and will continue to seek the views of federal agencies and welcomes their attendance at the hearing, federal agency officials are requested not to submit written comments at this time. If you have any questions, please contact Nick Yost, Acting General Counsel at 202-382-6173.

EXECUTIVE ORDER

RELATING TO PROTECTION AND ENHANCEMENT
OF ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

By virtue of the authority vested in me by the Constitution and statutes of the United States of America, and as President of the United States of America, in furtherance of the purpose and policy of the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969, as amended (42 U.S.C. 4321 et seq.), the Environmental Quality Improvement Act of 1970 (42 U.S.C. 4371 et seq.), and Section 309 of the Clean Air Act, as amended (42 U.S.C. 1857h-7), it is hereby ordered as follows:

Section 1. Subsection (h) of Section 3 (relating to responsibilities of the Council on Environmental Quality) of Executive Order No. 11514, as amended, is revised to read as follows:

"(h) Issue regulations to Federal agencies for the implementation of the procedural provisions of the Act (42 U.S.C. 4332(2)). Such regulations shall be developed after consultation with affected agencies and after such public hearings as may be appropriate. They will be designed to make the environmental impact statement process more useful to decisionmakers and the public; and to reduce paperwork and the accumu-

lation of extraneous background data, in order to emphasize the need to focus on real environmental issues and alternatives. They will require impact statements to be concise, clear, and to the point, and supported by evidence that agencies have made the necessary environmental analyses. The Council shall include in its regulations procedures (1) for the early preparation of environmental impact statements, and (2) for the referral to the Council of conflicts between agencies concerning the implementation of the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969, as amended, and Section 309 of the Clean Air Act, as amended, for the Council's recommendation as to their prompt resolution.".

Sec. 2. The following new subsection is added to Section 2 (relating to responsibilities of Federal agencies) of Executive Order No. 11514, as amended:

"(g) In carrying out their responsibilities under the Act and this Order, comply with the regulations issued by the Council except where such compliance would be inconsistent with statutory requirements.".

THE WHITE HOUSE

, 1977

STATE EIS PROCESS CONTACTS

I. STATES WITH COMPREHENSIVE STATUTORY REQUIREMENTS

CALIFORNIA
Norman E. Hill, Assistant to the Secretary for Resources, The Resources Agency, 1414 Ninth Street, Sacramento, California 95815 (Phone: 916-445-9134).

CONNECTICUT
Gregory Sharp, Director, Information and Education, Department of Environmental Protection, State Office Building, Room 114, Hartford, Connecticut 06115 (Phone: 203-566-3489).

HAWAII
Richard E. Marland, Director, Office of Environmental Quality Control, Office of the Governor, 550 Halekauwila Street, Room 301, Honolulu, Hawaii 96813 (Phone: 808-548-6915).

INDIANA
Ralph Pickard, Technical Secretary, Environmental Management Board, 1330 W. Michigan Street, Indianapolis, Indiana 46206 (Phone: 317-633-4420).

MARYLAND
Joseph Knapp, Administrator, Clearing House Review, Department of Natural Resources, Tawes State Office Building, Annapolis, Maryland 21401 (Phone: 301-269-3548).

MASSACHUSETTS
William Hicks, Director, MEPA Programs, Executive Office of Environmental Affairs, 100 Cambridge Street, Room 2000, Boston, Massachusetts 02202 (Phone: 617-727-7700).

MINNESOTA
Joe Sizer, Director, Environmental Planning, Environmental Quality Council, Capital Square Building, 550 Cedar Street, St. Paul, Minnesota 55101 (Phone: 612-296-2712).

MONTANA
Steven Perlmutter, Staff Attorney, Montana Environmental Quality Council, Capitol Station, Helena, Montana 59601 (Phone: 406-449-3742).

NEW YORK
Jane MaGee, SEQRA Coordinator, New York State Department of Environmental Conservation, 50 Wolf Road, Albany, New York 12233 (Phone: 518-457-6557).

NORTH CAROLINA
Robert Thayer Broili, Environmental Planning Consultant, Department of Natural and Economic Resources, P.O Box 17687, Raleigh, North Carolina 27611 (Phone: 919-733-2955).

SOUTH DAKOTA
Harold Lenhart, South Dakota Department of Environmental Protection, Foss Building, Pierre, South Dakota 57501 (Phone: 605-224-3351).

VIRGINIA
Reginald Wallace, Environmental Impact Statement Coordinator, Governor's Office, Council on the Environment, Eigth Street Office Building, Richmond, Virginia 23219 (Phone: 804-786-4500).

WASHINGTON
Peter R. Haskin, Environmental Review Section, Office of Comprehensive Programs, State of Washington Department of Ecology, Olympia, Washington 98504 (Phone: 206-753-6890).

WISCONSIN
Caryl Terrell, State WEPA Coordinator, Office of State Planning and Energy, State Capital, Madison, Wisconsin 53702 (Phone: 608-266-1718).

PUERTO RICO
Roberto Rexach, Executive Director, Environmental Quality Board. 1550 Ponce de Leon Avenue, 4th Floor, Santurce, Puerto Rico 19910 (Phone: 809-725-5140).

II. STATES WITH COMPREHENSIVE EXECUTIVE OR ADMINISTRATIVE ORDERS

MICHIGAN
Terry L. Yonker, Executive Secretary, Environmental Review Board, Department of Management and Budget, Lansing, Michigan 48913 (Phone: 517-373-0933).

NEW JERSEY
Lawrence Schmidt, Chief, Office of Environmental Review, Department of Environmental Protection, P.O. Box 1390, Trenton, New Jersey 08625 (Phone: 609-292-2662).

TEXAS
Charles D. Travis, Director, Governor's Budget and Planning Office, Executive Office Building, 411 W. 13th Street, Austin, Texas 78701 (Phone: 512-475-6156).

III. STATES WITH SPECIAL OR LIMITED EIS REQUIREMENTS

ARIZONA
Robert D. Curtis, Chief, Wildlife Planning and Development Division, Arizona Game and Fish Commission, 2222 W. Greenway Road, Phoenix, Arizona 85023 (Phone: 602-942-3000).

DELAWARE
a) David Hugg, Manager, Coastal Zone Program, Delaware Office of Management, Budget, and Planning, Dover, Delaware 19901 (Phone: 302-678-4271).
b)William Moyer, Wetlands Manager, Department of Natural Resources and Environmental Control, Division of Environmental Control, Dover, Delaware 19901 (Phone: 302-678-4761).

GEORGIA
Robert L. Austin, State Location Engineer, Division of Preconstruction, Department of Transportation, 2 Capitol Square, Atlanta, Georgia 30334 (Phone: 404-656-5312).

NEBRASKA
Robert O. Kuzelka, Comprehensive Planning Coordinator, Office of Planning and Programming, Box 94601, State Capital, Lincoln, Nebraska 68509 (Phone: 402-471-2414).

NEVADA
Ernest Gregory, Administrator, Environmental Protection Services, Department of Human Resources, 505 E. King Street, Room 600, Carson City, Nevada 89710 (Phone: 702-885-4730).

NEW JERSEY
a) David N. Kinsey, Chief, Office of Coastal Zone Management, New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection, P.O. Box 1889, Trenton, N.J. 08625 (Phone: 609-292-8262).

b) Thomas F. Hampton, Supervisor, Office of Wetlands Management, Division of Marine Services, Department of Environmental Protection, P.O. Box 1889, Trenton, New Jersey 08625 (Phone: 609-292-8202).

IV. CITY NEPA'S

BOWIE, MARYLAND
Bradford Pryce, Planning Director, Office of Planning and Community Development, City Hall, Bowie, Maryland 20715 (Phone: 301-262-6200).

NEW YORK CITY, NEW YORK
John Barovich, Office of Environmental Impact, New York Environmental Protection Administration of the City of New York, Room 2344, Municipal Building, New York, New York 10007 (Phone: 212-566-4107).

STATEMENT TITLE INDEX - BY STATE AND COUNTY

STATE	COUNTY	STATUS	STATEMENT TITLE	ACCESSION NUMBER	DATE FILED	ORIG AGENCY NUMBER
		DRAFT	Sulfur Dioxide, Occupational Exp. Standard	70479	04-15-77	DLAb
		DRAFT	Civil SST Aircraft, Noise Reg. & Type Certificatio	70481	04-18-77	DOT
		FINAL	1977 Gypsy Moth Suppression & Reg. Program	70521	04-26-77	USDA
Alabama	Conecuh	FINAL	Castleberry, Ala., Water Systems Improvement	70432	04-04-77	HUD
Alaska		DRAFT	Tongass N.F. Resource Allocation	70455	04-12-77	USDA
		DRAFT	OCS Oil & Gas Sale #46, W. Gulf of Alaska	70456	04-12-77	DOI
		FINAL	Deer Island Unit Plan, Gallatin N.F.	70500	04-22-77	USDA
		FINAL	Aquaculture Facilities, Hidden Falls Lake/Sandy Ba	70536	04-29-77	USDA
Arkansas		DRAFT	Ozark N.F. Timber Plan	70505	04-22-77	USDA
		FINAL	White Bluff Steam Electric Station	70473	04-14-77	COE
	Jefferson	FINAL	Pine Bluff Southeast Sanitary Sewer	70478	04-15-77	HUD
	Perry	FINAL	South Fourche Unit Plan, Quachita N.F.	70438	04-06-77	USDA
	Saline	FINAL	South Fourche Unit Plan, Quachita N.F.	70438	04-06-77	USDA
	Yell	FINAL	South Fourche Unit Plan, Quachita N.F.	70438	04-06-77	USDA
California		FINAL	Commercial Troll & Recreat'l Salmon Fisheries, FMP	70495	04-21-77	DOC
	several	DRAFT	Eldorado N.F. Timber Plan	70457	04-12-77	USDA
		DRAFT	USN Petrol Reserve #1, Crude Oil Transport	70497	04-21-77	USN
	Fresno	FINAL	Reedley Municipal Airport, California	70504	04-22-77	DOT
	Los Angeles	DRAFT	Carmelitos Housing Complex	70492	04-21-77	HUD
		DRAFT	Bunker Hill Elderly Housing Project	70538	04-29-77	HUD
	Marin	DRAFT	California Route 131 Improve, Tiburon	70464	04-12-77	DOT
	Monterey	DRAFT	N. Monterey County Facilities Plan	70499	04-22-77	EPA
	Nevada	DRAFT	Marysville Lake Project, Yuba River	70490	04-21-77	COE
	San Francisco	FINAL	San Francisco International Airport, Development	70512	04-25-77	DOT
	Siskiyou	FINAL	King Unit Plan, Klamath N.F.	70449	04-12-77	USDA
	Sutter	DRAFT	Marysville Lake Project, Yuba River	70490	04-21-77	COE
	Tulare	FINAL	Reedley Municipal Airport, California	70504	04-22-77	DOT
	Yuba	DRAFT	Marysville Lake Project, Yuba River	70490	04-21-77	COE
Colorado		DRAFT	Chemical Agent Identification Set Disposal	70470	04-13-77	USA
	Adams	DRAFT	Rocky Mt. Arsenal, Pilot Containment Operations	70511	04-22-77	USA
	Arapahoe	DRAFT	S. Santa Fe Drive, Florida-Church	70502	04-22-77	DOT
	Denver	DRAFT	S. Santa Fe Drive, Florida-Church	70502	04-22-77	DOT
	Eagle	DRAFT	Eagle Aspen Unit Plan, White River N.F.	70441	04-06-77	USDA

STATEMENT TITLE INDEX - BY STATE AND COUNTY

STATE	COUNTY	STATUS	STATEMENT TITLE	ACCESSION NUMBER	DATE FILED	ORIG AGENCY NUMBER
Colorado	Eagle	DRAFT	Upper Eagle Valley & Vail Wastewater Plan	70480	04-18-77	EPA
	Pitkin	DRAFT	Eagle Aspen Unit Plan, White River N.F.	70441	04-06-77	USDA
Florida	Broward	FINAL	State Road 5 (U.S. 1)	70483	04-19-77	DOT
	Franklin	DRAFT	Carrabelle Harbor Maintenance Dredging	70530	04-28-77	COE
	Nassau	DRAFT	Nassau Co. Beach Erosion Control	70525	04-27-77	COE
Georgia		FINAL	Wm. B. Hartsfield Atlanta International Airport	70484	04-20-77	DOT
	Several	DRAFT	Ft. Stewart Complex, Mission Change	70486	04-20-77	USA
	Appling	DRAFT	Edwin I. Hatch Nuclear Plant, Unit 2	70540	04-29-77	NRC
	Chatham	DRAFT	Isle of Hope Marina Expansion	70514	04-25-77	COE
Hawaii		DRAFT	FAP Rte. 56, Kalihiwai to Haena	70440	04-06-77	DOT
Idaho		FINAL	Big Game Habitat Improvement, N. Idaho	70423	04-01-77	USDA
	Cassia	FINAL	I-15W Raft River-Rockland Jct.	70503	04-22-77	DOT
	Idaho	FINAL	U.S. 95, Cox's Ranch - Goff Bridge	70427	04-01-77	DOT
	Jerome	FINAL	Jerome Wasterwater Facilities Project	70477	04-15-77	EPA
	Kootenai	DRAFT	Coeur d'Alene-East, Proj.I-90-1(35)16	70419	04-01-77	DOT
	Lincoln	DRAFT	Little Wood R. Flood Damage Reduction (2)	70424	04-01-77	COE
	Power	FINAL	I-15W Raft River-Rockland Jct.	70503	04-22-77	DOT
Illinois	Cook	DRAFT	Tunnel Component, Lower Des Plaines TARP	70429	04-01-77	EPA
	Du Page	DRAFT	Wheaton-Naperville Rd. Extension	70460	04-12-77	DOT
	Henry	DRAFT	F.A.R. 595, Ill. Rte. 2 - I-80	70418	04-01-77	DOT
	Jackson	FINAL	Carbondale, Illinois - Street Improvements	70436	04-06-77	HUD
	Rock Island	DRAFT	F.A.R. 595, Ill. Rte. 2 - I-80	70418	04-01-77	DOT
	Will	DRAFT	Wheaton-Naperville Rd. Extension	70460	04-12-77	DOT
Indiana	Clark	FINAL	Clark Co. Airport, Jeffersonville, Indiana	70537	04-29-77	DOT
	DeKalb	FINAL	State Route 3, Noble, DeKalb Counties	70453	04-12-77	DOT
	Mobile	FINAL	State Route 3, Noble, DeKalb Counties	70453	04-12-77	DOT
	Shelby	DRAFT	Shelbyville Municipal Airport Improvement	70524	04-27-77	DOT

FROM 04-01-77
TO 04-30-77

COUNCIL ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

05-07-77

STATEMENT TITLE INDEX - BY STATE AND COUNTY

STATE	COUNTY	STATUS	STATEMENT TITLE	ACCESSION NUMBER	DATE FILED	ORIG AGENCY NUMBER
Iowa	Black Hawk	DRAFT	I-380, Waterloo - Cedar Falls	70534	04-28-77	DOT
	Dallas	DRAFT	Des Moines 208 Waste Treatment	70468	04-13-77	EPA
	Polk	DRAFT	Des Moines 208 Waste Treatment	70468	04-13-77	EPA
	Warren	DRAFT	Des Moines 208 Waste Treatment	70468	04-13-77	EPA
	Woodbury	DRAFT	Lakeport Project, Lincoln Way - Gordon Drive	70522	04-27-77	DOT
Kansas	Linn	DRAFT	Middle Creek Watershed Project	70515	04-25-77	USDA
	Miami	DRAFT	Middle Creek Watershed Project	70515	04-25-77	USDA
Kentucky	Pulaski	FINAL	Ky 461, Pulaski and Rockcastle Counties	70489	04-21-77	DOT
	Rockcastle	FINAL	Ky 461, Pulaski and Rockcastle Counties	70489	04-21-77	DOT
Louisiana		DRAFT	Michoud Assembly Facility, New Orleans	70451	04-12-77	NASA
		DRAFT	1977 OCS Sale #45, Gulf of Mexico	70485	04-19-77	DOI
	Cameron	SUPPLE	West Hackberry Salt Dome - SPR (S-1)	70509	04-22-77	FEA
Maine		DRAFT	Loring AFB Closure (2)	70434	04-05-77	USAF
		FINAL	George's R. Maintenance Dredging, Thomaston	70508	04-22-77	COE
	several	FINAL	Coop Spruce Budworm Suppression - Maine 1977	70527	04-28-77	USDA
Maryland	Baltimore	FINAL	White Marsh Joint Venture	70459	04-12-77	HUD
	Harford	FINAL	Box Hill Development	70471	04-14-77	HUD
	Montgomery	SUPPLE	Metro A Route, Shady Grove (S-2)	70487	04-20-77	DOT
Michigan	Huron	DRAFT	Beadle Bay Development, Saginaw Bay	70426	04-01-77	COE
	Kalkaska	SUPPLE	M-66 Relocation, Phelps Rd.-Smithville (S-1)	70425	04-01-77	DOT
	Missaukee	SUPPLE	M-66 Relocation, Phelps Rd.-Smithville (S-1)	70425	04-01-77	DOT
	Wayne	FINAL	E. Market Wholesale Dist. Ctr, Railroad Spur	70435	04-05-77	DOC
Minnesota	Hennepin	FINAL	Loring 100 Apts., Loring Park Dev. District	70428	04-01-77	HUD
		FINAL	I-94, U.S. 12/I-394 to T.H. 152	70437	04-06-77	DOT
	Mille Lacs	FINAL	U.S. 169, Princeton-Onamia, Minnesota	70466	04-13-77	DOT
	Sherburne	FINAL	U.S. 169, Princeton-Onamia, Minnesota	70466	04-13-77	DOT
	Washington	FINAL	I-94, I-494/694 Interchange-T.H. 95	70476	04-15-77	DOT

STATEMENT TITLE INDEX - BY STATE AND COUNTY

STATE	COUNTY	STATUS	STATEMENT TITLE	ACCESSION NUMBER	DATE FILED	ORIG AGENCY NUMBER
Mississippi	several	DRAFT	DeSoto N.F. Timber Plan	70507	04-22-77	USDA
Missouri	Greene	DRAFT	Route M, Springfield, Mo.	70420	04-01-77	DOT
	Scott	FINAL	Sikeston Memorial Airport, Missouri	70461	04-12-77	DOT
Montana	Lincoln	DRAFT	Hornet Unit Plan, Kootenai N.F.	70474	04-15-77	USDA
	Sanders	FINAL	Prospect Unit Plan, Lolo N.F.	70518	04-25-77	USDA
Nebraska	Douglas	FINAL	Center St. and Mercy Rd. Intersection	70462	04-12-77	DOT
	Harrison	DRAFT	Fort Calhoun Station, Unit 2	70472	04-14-77	NRC
	Washington	DRAFT	Fort Calhoun Station, Unit 2	70472	04-14-77	NRC
Nevada		FINAL	TPWC Range Complex, Nellis AFB	70312	04-01-77	USAF
New Jersey	Hudson	FINAL	Routes 169 and 440, Bayonne Bridge to Bayview Ave.	70520	04-25-77	DOT
	Middlesex	DRAFT	The Oaks at Glenwood	70482	04-18-77	HUD
New York		FINAL	Gowanus Creek Channel, Brooklyn	70458	04-12-77	COE
		FINAL	Downtown Yonkers Commercial Complex (Preliminary)	70465	04-12-77	HUD
	Manhattan	FINAL	Manhattan P.S. Vehicle Maintenance Facility	70469	04-13-77	USPS
	Ontario	SUPPLE	Canandaigua SW Bypass, N.Y. Route 5&20 (S-1)	70421	04-01-77	DOT
North Carolina		DRAFT	Raleigh-Durham Airport Improvements	70458	04-12-77	DOT
	Dare	DRAFT	Manteo (Shallowbag) Bay Project	70506	04-22-77	COE
		FINAL	Wanchese Harbor Development Project	70510	04-22-77	COE
	Mecklenburg	SUPPLE	Douglas Municipal Airport, Runway (S-1)	70445	04-11-77	DOT
	New Hanover	DRAFT	Wilmington S.E. Outer Loop, New Hanover Co.	70447	04-12-77	DOT
		DRAFT	Smith Creek Pkwy., U.S. 117 - U.S. 74	70448	04-12-77	DOT
North Dakota	Mercer	DRAFT	Coyotte Generating Sta., 440 MW Unit	70463	04-12-77	USDA
	Oliver	DRAFT	Coyotte Generating Sta., 440 MW Unit	70463	04-12-77	USDA

FROM 04-01-77
TO 04-30-77

COUNCIL ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

05-07-77

STATEMENT TITLE INDEX - BY STATE AND COUNTY

STATE	COUNTY	STATUS	STATEMENT TITLE	ACCESSION NUMBER	DATE FILED	ORIG AGENCY NUMBER
Ohio	Erie	FINAL	Old Woman Creek Estuarine Sanctuary	70433	04-04-77	DOC
	Hamilton	DRAFT	Eastwood Village Disposition	70547	04-29-77	HUD
Oklahoma		DRAFT	Lawton, Oklahoma - Industrial Waterline	70444	04-08-77	HUD
	Lincoln	DRAFT	Kickapoo Nations Watershed Project	70493	04-21-77	USDA
	Noble	DRAFT	Sooner Generating Sta., Units 1 & 2	70519	04-25-77	EPA
	Oklahoma	DRAFT	Kickapoo Nations Watershed Project	70493	04-21-77	USDA
	Pawnee	DRAFT	Sooner Generating Sta., Units 1 & 2	70519	04-25-77	EPA
Oregon		FINAL	Commercial Troll & Recreat'l Salmon Fisheries, FMP	70495	04-21-77	DOC
		FINAL	Willamette N.F., Land Use and Timber Management	70496	04-21-77	USDA
	several	DRAFT	California Coastal Management Program (2)	70443	04-07-77	DOC
		DRAFT	Siuslaw River Jetty Extension (2)	70517	04-25-77	COE
	Jackson	DRAFT	McLoughlin-Klamath Unit Plan	70452	04-12-77	USDA
	Klamath	DRAFT	McLoughlin-Klamath Unit Plan	70452	04-12-77	USDA
Puerto Rico		FINAL	Islote Site, N. Coast Nuclear Plant, Unit 1	70526	04-27-77	NRC
		FINAL	Monte Brisas Development, Fajardo, Puerto Rico	70431	04-05-77	HUD
Rhode Island	Kent	DRAFT	S.R. 4 Extension, S.R. 2 - S.R. 4	70475	04-15-77	DOT
	Washington	DRAFT	S.R. 4 Extension, S.R. 2 - S.R. 4	70475	04-15-77	DOT
South Carolina	Berkely	DRAFT	Francis Marion N.F. Land Management Plan	70439	04-06-77	USDA
	Charleston	DRAFT	Francis Marion N.F. Land Management Plan	70439	04-06-77	USDA
Tennessee	Bledsoe	DRAFT	Appalachian Corridor J, Soddy-Daisy to Dunlap	70422	04-01-77	DOT
	Carter	FINAL	State Route 36, Rock Creek to Johnson City	70501	04-22-77	DOT
	Hamilton	DRAFT	Appalachian Corridor J, Soddy-Daisy to Dunlap	70422	04-01-77	DOT
	Hawkins	FINAL	Phipps Bend Nuclear Plant, Units 1 & 2	70467	04-13-77	TVA
	Sequatchie	DRAFT	Appalachian Corridor J, Soddy-Daisy to Dunlap	70422	04-01-77	DOT
	Unicoi	FINAL	State Route 36, Rock Creek to Johnson City	70501	04-22-77	DOT
	Washington	FINAL	State Route 36, Rock Creek to Johnson City	70501	04-22-77	DOT
Texas		DRAFT	1977 OCS Sale #45, Gulf of Mexico	70485	04-19-77	DOI
	Denton	SUPPLEI.	H. 35E/Timber Creek Construction (S-1)	70533	04-28-77	DOT

FROM 04-01-77
TO 04-30-77

COUNCIL ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

05-07-77

STATEMENT TITLE INDEX - BY STATE AND COUNTY

STATE	COUNTY	STATUS	STATEMENT TITLE	ACCESSION NUMBER	DATE FILED	ORIG AGENCY NUMBER
Texas	Harris	DRAFT	Bonaire Subdivision, Harris Co.	70450	04-12-77	HUD
		FINAL	Pine Trails Subdivision	70523	04-27-77	HUD
Utah		DRAFT	F-16 Beddown at Hill AFB, Utah	70442	04-07-77	USAF
		FINAL	TFWC Range Complex, Nellis AFB	70312	04-01-77	USAF
	Tooele	FINAL	Operation DTS, Dugway Proving Ground-Tooele Depot	70529	04-28-77	USA
		FINAL	Operation TTS, North-South Tooele Depot	70531	04-28-77	USA
Vermont	Chittenden	FINAL	U.S. 2, Chittenden County	70488	04-21-77	DOT
Virginia	Fairfax	DRAFT	Newington Forest Development	70535	04-29-77	HUD
Washington		FINAL	Commercial Troll & Recreat'l Salmon Fisheries, FMP	70495	04-21-77	DOC
		FINAL	Willamette N.F., Land Use and Timber Management	70496	04-21-77	USDA
	Chelan	FINAL	Wenatchee N.F. Off-Road Vehicle Policy	70491	04-21-77	USDA
	Kittitas	FINAL	Wenatchee N.F. Off-Road Vehicle Policy	70491	04-21-77	USDA
	Okanogan	FINAL	Omak Urban Levee Improvements	70528	04-28-77	COE
	Skagit	SUPPLE	Skagit Nuclear Power Project (S-2)	70494	04-21-77	NRC
	Yakima	FINAL	Wenatchee N.F. Off-Road Vehicle Policy	70491	04-21-77	USDA
Wisconsin	Dunn	FINAL	Tyrone Energy Park Unit 1	70498	04-21-77	NRC
	Portage	FINAL	Wautoma-Waupaca Road (STH 22, PAP 38)	70532	04-28-77	DOT
	Waupaca	FINAL	Wautoma-Waupaca Road (STH 22, PAP 38)	70532	04-28-77	DOT
Wyoming	Campbell	DRAFT	N. Gillette Mine, Kerr-McGee Corp.	70417	04-01-77	DOI
	Teton	SUPPLE	115 kV Transmission Line, Teton to Jackson (S-1)	70430	04-04-77	USDA

STATEMENT TITLE INDEX - BY AGENCY, BUREAU, & STATUS

AGENCY / BUREAU	STATUS	STATEMENT TITLE	ACCESSION NUMBER	DATE FILED	NTIS ORDER NUMBER
DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE					
Forest Service	FINAL	Aquaculture Facilities, Hidden Falls Lake/Sandy Bay	70536	04-29-77	(none)
	FINAL	Big Game Habitat Improvement, N. Idaho	70423	04-01-77	(none)
		South Fourche Unit Plan, Ouachita N.F.	70438	04-06-77	(none)
	DRAFT	Francis Marion N.F. Land Management Plan	70439	04-06-77	(none)
		Eagle Aspen Unit Plan, White River N.F.	70441	04-06-77	(none)
	FINAL	King Unit Plan, Klamath N.F.	70449	04-12-77	(none)
	DRAFT	McLoughlin-Klamath Unit Plan	70452	04-12-77	(none)
		Tongass N.F. Resource Allocation	70455	04-12-77	(none)
		Eldorado N.F. Timber Plan	70457	04-12-77	(none)
		Hornet Unit Plan, Kootenai N.F.	70474	04-15-77	(none)
	FINAL	Wenatchee N.F. Off-Road Vehicle Policy	70491	04-21-77	(none)
		Willamette N.F., Land Use and Timber Management	70496	04-21-77	(none)
		Deer Island Unit Plan, Gallatin N.F.	70500	04-22-77	(none)
	DRAFT	Ozark N.F. Timber Plan	70505	04-22-77	(none)
		DeSoto N.F. Timber Plan	70507	04-22-77	(none)
	FINAL	Prospect Unit Plan, Lolo N.F.	70518	04-25-77	(none)
		1977 Gypsy Moth Suppression & Reg. Program	70521	04-26-77	(none)
		Coop Spruce Budworm Suppression - Maine 1977	70527	04-28-77	(none)
Rural Electrification Administration	SUPPLEM	115 kV Transmission Line, Teton to Jackson (S-1)	70430	04-04-77	(none)
	DRAFT	Coyotte Generating Sta., 440 MW Unit	70463	04-12-77	(none)
Soil Conservation Service	DRAFT	Kickapoo Nations Watershed Project	70493	04-21-77	(none)
		Middle Creek Watershed Project	70515	04-25-77	(none)
DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE					
Economic Development Administration	DRAFT	California Coastal Management Program (2)	70443	04-07-77	(none)
Nat'l Oceanic and Atmospheric Admin.	FINAL	E. Market Wholesale Dist. Ctr, Railroad Spur	70435	04-05-77	(none)
	FINAL	Old Woman Creek Estuarine Sanctuary	70433	04-04-77	(none)
		Commercial Troll & Recreat'l Salmon Fisheries, FMP	70495	04-21-77	(none)
DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE, Air Force	FINAL	TFWC Range Complex, Nellis AFB	70312	04-01-77	(none)
	DRAFT	Loring AFB Closure (2)	70434	04-05-77	(none)
		F-16 Beddown at Hill AFB, Utah	70442	04-07-77	(none)
DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE, Army	DRAFT	Chemical Agent Identification Set Disposal	70470	04-13-77	(none)

FROM 04-01-77
TO 04-30-77

COUNCIL ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

05-07-77

STATEMENT TITLE INDEX - BY AGENCY, BUREAU, & STATUS

AGENCY / BUREAU	STATUS	STATEMENT TITLE	ACCESSION NUMBER	DATE FILED	NTIS ORDER NUMBER
DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE, Army					
	DRAFT	Ft. Stewart Complex, Mission Change	70486	04-20-77	(none)
		Rocky Mt. Arsenal, Pilot Containment Operations	70511	04-22-77	(none)
	FINAL	Operation DTS, Dugway Proving Ground-Tooele Depot	70529	04-28-77	(none)
		Operation TNS, North-South Tooele Depot	70531	04-28-77	(none)
DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE, Army Corps					
	DRAFT	Little Wood R. Flood Damage Reduction (2)	70424	04-01-77	(none)
		Headle Bay Development, Saginaw Bay	70426	04-01-77	(none)
	FINAL	Gowanus Creek Channel, Brooklyn	70458	04-12-77	(none)
		White Bluff Steam Electric Station	70473	04-14-77	(none)
	DRAFT	Marysville Lake Project, Yuba River	70490	04-21-77	(none)
		Manteo (Shallowbag) Bay Project	70506	04-22-77	(none)
	FINAL	George's R. Maintenance Dredging, Thomaston	70508	04-22-77	(none)
		Wanchese Harbor Development Project	70510	04-22-77	(none)
	DRAFT	Isle of Hope Marina Expansion	70514	04-25-77	(none)
		Siuslaw River Jetty Extension (2)	70517	04-25-77	(none)
		Nassau Co. Beach Erosion Control	70525	04-27-77	(none)
	FINAL	Oak Urban Levee Improvements	70528	04-28-77	(none)
	DRAFT	Carrabelle Harbor Maintenance Dredging	70530	04-28-77	(none)
DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE, Navy					
	DRAFT	USN Petrol Reserve #1, Crude Oil Transport	70497	04-21-77	(none)
DEPARTMENT OF HUD					
	FINAL	Loring 100 Apts., Loring Park Dev. District	70428	04-01-77	(none)
	DRAFT	Bonaire Subdivision, Harris Co.	70450	04-12-77	(none)
	FINAL	White Marsh Joint Venture	70459	04-12-77	(none)
		Box Hill Development	70471	04-14-77	(none)
	DRAFT	The Oaks at Glenwood	70482	04-18-77	(none)
		Carmelitos Housing Complex	70492	04-21-77	(none)
	FINAL	Pine Trails Subdivision	70523	04-27-77	(none)
	DRAFT	Newington Forest Development	70535	04-29-77	(none)
		Bunker Hill Elderly Housing Project	70538	04-29-77	(none)
		Eastwood Village Disposition	70547	04-29-77	(none)
Section 104(h)					
	FINAL	Castleberry, Ala., Water Systems Improvement	70432	04-04-77	(none)
		Carbondale, Illinois - Street Improvements	70436	04-06-77	(none)

STATEMENT TITLE INDEX - BY AGENCY, BUREAU, & STATUS

AGENCY / BUREAU	STATUS	STATEMENT TITLE	ACCESSION NUMBER	DATE FILED	EIS ORDER NUMBER
DEPARTMENT OF HUD					
Section 104(h)	FINAL	Monte Brisas Development, Fajardo, Puerto Rico	70431	04-05-77	(none)
	DRAFT	Lawton, Oklahoma - Industrial Waterline	70444	04-08-77	
	FINAL	Downtown Yonkers Commercial Complex (Preliminary)	70465	04-12-77	(none)
		Pine Bluff Southeast Sanitary Sewer	70478	04-15-77	(none)
DEPARTMENT OF INTERIOR					
Bureau of Land Management	DRAFT	OCS Oil & Gas Sale #46, W. Gulf of Alaska	70456	04-12-77	(none)
		1977 OCS Sale #45, Gulf of Mexico	70485	04-19-77	(none)
Geological Survey	DRAFT	E. Gillette Mine, Kerr-McGee Corp.	70417	04-01-77	(none)
DEPARTMENT OF LABOR					
	DRAFT	Sulfur Dioxide, Occupational Exp. Standard	70479	04-15-77	(none)
DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION					
Federal Aviation Administration	SUPPLEM	Douglas Municipal Airport, Runway (S-1)	70445	04-11-77	(none)
	DRAFT	Raleigh-Durham Airport Improvements	70458	04-12-77	(none)
	FINAL	Sikeston Memorial Airport, Missouri	70461	04-12-77	(none)
	DRAFT	Civil SST Aircraft, Noise Reg. & Type Certificatio	70481	04-18-77	(none)
	FINAL	Wm. B. Hartsfield Atlanta International Airport	70484	04-20-77	(none)
		Reedley Municipal Airport, California	70504	04-22-77	(none)
		San Francisco International Airport, Development	70512	04-25-77	(none)
	DRAFT	Shelbyville Municipal Airport Improvement	70524	04-27-77	(none)
	FINAL	Clark Co. Airport, Jeffersonville, Indiana	70537	04-29-77	(none)
Federal Highway Administration	DRAFT	F.A.E. 595, Ill. Rte. 2 - I-80	70418	04-01-77	(none)
		Coeur d'Alene-East, Proj.I-90-1(35)16	70419	04-01-77	(none)
		Route M, Springfield, Mo.	70420	04-01-77	(none)
	SUPPLEM	Canandaigua SW Bypass, N.Y. Route 5&20 (S-1)	70421	04-01-77	(none)
	DRAFT	Appalachian Corridor J, Soddy-Daisy to Dunlap	70422	04-01-77	(none)
	SUPPLEM	M-66 Relocation, Phelps Rd.-Saithville (S-1)	70425	04-01-77	(none)
	FINAL	U.S. 95, Cox's Ranch - Goff Bridge	70427	04-01-77	(none)
		I-94, U.S. 12/I-394 to T.H. 152	70437	04-06-77	(none)
	DRAFT	FAP Rte. 56, Kalihiwai to Haena	70440	04-06-77	(none)
		Wilmington S.E. Outer Loop, New Hanover Co.	70447	04-12-77	(none)
		Smith Creek Pkwy., U.S. 117 - U.S. 74	70448	04-12-77	(none)
	FINAL	State Route 3, Noble, DeKalb Counties	70453	04-12-77	(none)

STATEMENT TITLE INDEX - BY AGENCY, BUREAU, & STATUS

AGENCY / BUREAU	STATUS	STATEMENT TITLE	ACCESSION NUMBER	DATE FILED	NTIS ORDER NUMBER
DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION					
Federal Highway Administration					
	DRAFT	Wheaton-Naperville Rd. Extension	70460	04-12-77	(none)
	FINAL	Center St. and Mercy Rd. Intersection	70462	04-12-77	(none)
	DRAFT	California Route 131 Improve, Tiburon	70464	04-12-77	(none)
	FINAL	U.S. 169, Princeton-Onania, Minnesota	70466	04-13-77	(none)
	DRAFT	S.R. 4 Extension, S.R. 2 - S.R. 4	70475	04-15-77	(none)
	FINAL	I-94, I-494/694 Interchange-T.H. 95	70476	04-15-77	(none)
		State Road 5 (U.S. 1)	70483	04-19-77	(none
		U.S. 2, Chittenden County	70488	04-21-77	(none)
		Ky 461, Pulaski and Rockcastle Counties	70489	04-21-77	(none)
		State Route 36, Rock Creek to Johnson City	70501	04-22-77	(none)
	DRAFT	S. Santa Fe Drive, Florida-Church	70502	04-22-77	(none)
	FINAL	I-15W Raft River-Rockland Jct.	70503	04-22-77	(none)
		Routes 169 and 440, Bayonne Bridge to Bayview Ave.	70520	04-25-77	(none)
	DRAFT	Lakeport Project, Lincoln Way - Gordon Drive	70522	04-27-77	(none)
	FINAL	Wautoma-Waupaca Road (STH 22, PAP 38)	70532	04-28-77	(none)
	SUPPLEM	I.H. 35E/Timber Creek Construction (S-1)	70533	04-28-77	(none)
	DRAFT	I-380, Waterloo - Cedar Falls	70534	04-28-77	(none)
Urban Mass Transportation Administration					
	SUPPLEM	Metro A Route, Shady Grove (S-2)	70487	04-20-77	(none)
ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY					
	DRAFT	Tunnel Component, Lower Des Plaines TARP	70429	04-01-77	(none)
		Des Moines 208 Waste Treatment	70468	04-13-77	(none)
	FINAL	Jerome Wastewater Facilities Project	70477	04-15-77	(none)
	DRAFT	Upper Eagle Valley & Vail Wastewater Plan	70480	04-18-77	(none)
		N. Monterey County Facilities Plan	70499	04-22-77	(none)
		Sooner Generating Sta., Units 1 & 2	70519	04-25-77	(none)
FEDERAL ENERGY ADMINISTRATION					
	SUPPLEM	West Hackberry Salt Dome - SPR (S-1)	70509	04-22-77	(none)
NATIONAL AERONAUTICS AND SPACE ADMIN.					
	DRAFT	Michoud Assembly Facility, New Orleans	70451	04-12-77	(none)
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION					
	DRAFT	Fort Calhoun Station, Unit 2	70472	04-14-77	(none)

STATEMENT TITLE INDEX - BY AGENCY, BUREAU, & STATUS

AGENCY / BUREAU	STATUS	STATEMENT TITLE	ACCESSION NUMBER	DATE FILED	NTIS ORDER NUMBER
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION	SUPPLEM	Skagit Nuclear Power Project (S-2)	70494	04-21-77	(none)
	FINAL	Tyrone Energy Park Unit 1	70498	04-21-77	(none)
		Islote Site, N. Coast Nuclear Plant, Unit 1	70526	04-27-77	(none)
	DRAFT	Edwin I. Hatch Nuclear Plant, Unit 2	70540	04-29-77	(none)
TENNESSEE VALLEY AUTHORITY	FINAL	Phipps Bend Nuclear Plant, Units 1 & 2	70467	04-13-77	(none)
U. S. POSTAL SERVICE	FINAL	Manhattan P.S. Vehicle Maintenance Facility	70469	04-13-77	(none)

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Contact: Mr. Errett Deck
Coordinator
Environmental Quality Activities
U.S.Department of Agriculture
Room 359-A,
Washington, D.C. 20250
(202) 447-6827

Forest Service

Draft — Date

Tongass N.F. Resource Allocation — 04/12

Alaska
Proposed is a Resource Allocation Direction Plan for southeast Alaska's 16 million-acre Tongass National Forest. This direction has a range of annual timber harvest of 535-660 MMBF, fish and wildlife habitat protection and improvement opportunities, 2.3-3.5 million acres of wilderness, and provision for dispersed and developed recreation. A total of five resource allocation alternative groupings representing varying timber harvest levels and wildland availability levels are currently under consideration.
(ELR ORDER # 70455)

Ozark N.F. Timber Plan — 04/22

Arkansas
The proposed action is the implementation of a 10-year Management Plan for the Ozark National Forest in northwest Arkansas. An estimated 9,140 acres will be site prepared and reforested annually, with the possibility of an additional 2,360 acres being reforested annually. Other annual proposed actions provide for 6,000 acres of noncommercial thinning in hardwood poletimber stands, 2,000 acres of precommercial thinning in young pine stands and 5,500 acres of release treatment (mostly in young pine stands). Shifts in wildlife populations will occur.
(ELR ORDER # 70505)

Eldorado N.F. Timber Plan — 04/12

California
County: several
Proposed is the implementation of the 10-year Eldorado National Forest Timber Management Plan. The plan summarizes the present timber situation, interprets policy on a local basis, identifies and classifies lands as to suitability for timber production and sets harvest priorities. Timber would be harvested from El Dorado, Amador, Placer, and Alpine Counties, with a feasible average yearly harvest ranging from 174.0 M Cunits to 207.4 M Cunits.
(ELR ORDER # 70457)

Draft Date

Eagle Aspen Unit Plan, White River N.F. 04/06

Colorado
County: Eagle Pitkin
Proposed is the implementation of a revised Land Management plan for the 550,000 acre Eagle-Aspen Unit in White River National Forest in Colorado. Opportunities to be provided include 172,000 visitor days of developed recreation and 452,000 visitor days of dispersed recreation. Management of 20,000 acres of forest stand for wildlife habitat would contribute to an estimated annual yield of 4.0 MMBF. Primary impacts will result from construction and reconstruction of 253 miles of road, protection of 70,150 acres for wilderness study, harvesting of trees, and the utilization of forage.

(ELR ORDER # 70441)

DeSoto N.F. Timber Plan 04/22

Mississippi
County: several
Proposed is the implementation of a new 10-year Timber Management Plan for the DeSoto National Forest. The Forest contains 501,391 acres of National Forest land in Jones, Wayne, Greene, Porrest, Perry, Pearl River, Stone, George, Harrison, and Jackson Counties, Mississippi. An estimated 4431 acres will be site prepared and reforested annually. This plan will replace the current timber management plans which expire September 30, 1977.
(ELR ORDER # 70507)

Hornet Unit Plan, Kootenai N.F. 04/15

Montana
County: Lincoln
Proposed is a revised land management plan for the 27,444-acre Hornet Planning Unit, Fisher River Ranger District, Kootenai Forest, Montana. The eight unit plan will provide: recreational opportunities and facilites for the public; help in a continuing flow of a balanced supply of winter forage; cover and security for big game; important contributions to sustained yield of renewal timber resources; contributions to economic stability of the local communities; and reduction of fuel buildups. Development activity will result in increased erosion and sediment levels.
(ELR ORDER # 70474)

McLoughlin-Klamath Unit Plan 04/12

Oregon

County: Klamath Jackson
The proposed action provides for the designation of 414,445 acres (net) of National Forest land on the Winema and Rogue River National Forests. The allocation would result in an additional 6,354 acres of wilderness study in addition to the 106,371 acres already allocated; 8,447 acres as proposed special interest areas; 3,100 acres for possible ski development on Pelican Butte; 23,071 acres for Mountain Lakes Wilderness; 11,825 acres for water quality protection in Big Butte Springs Watershed; and 255,277 acres for multi-resource use.
(ELR ORDER # 70452)

Francis Marion N.F. Land Management Plan 04/06

South Carolina
County: Berkely Charleston
The proposed action is the implementation of a ten year management plan for the 249,412 acre Francis Marion National Forest in South Carolina. The plan provides for suitable public access as well as sustained yield of timber through silvicultural activities. Adverse effects include soil compaction, visual changes, periodic lowered air quality, increased noise levels and impacts related to limited use of pesticides.
(ELR ORDER # 70439)

Final Date

1977 Gypsy Moth Suppression & Reg. Program 04/26

The Forest Service and Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service cooperate with responsible state agencies for the suppresssion and/or regulation of the gypsy moth, Lymantria dispar. In 1977, regulatory activities are proposed in California, Connecticut, Illinois, Maine, Maryland, Massachusetts, Michigan, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New York, Ohio, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, Vermont, Virginia, and Wisconsin. Suppression activities are proposed in New Jersey, New York, and Pennsylvania.
COMMENTS MADE BY: EPA USDA HEW DOC
interested parties
(ELR ORDER # 70521)

Deer Island Unit Plan, Gallatin N.F. 04/22

Alaska
Proposed is a land management plan for the 9,100-acre Deer Island Management Unit, Tongass N.F., on Ernest Sound, Alaska. The plan calls for construction of 6.5 miles of access road and initiation of timber harvest within 8,090 acres of forest containing an estimated 200 million board feet of timber. For the 5-year period of 1977 through 1981, 11 million board feet of timber is to be harvested, followed by a rotating harvesting period averaging

from 90 to 120 years. The conversion of old-growth stands to second growth stands will modify the wildlife habitat, and the area will no longer be suitable for Wilderness classification.
COMMENTS MADE BY: USDA EPA HUD DOI DOC
state agencies
concerned citizens
(ELR ORDER # 70500)

Aquaculture Facilities, Hidden Falls Lake/Sandy Bay — Date 04/29

Alaska
Proposed is the granting of two Special Use Permits that grant permission to use National Forest lands for salmon hatchery building sites. Both sites are located on Baranof Island, a major island in southeast Alaska. The Tlingit and Haida Fisheries Development Corporation has requested permission to build its hatchery in Sandy Bay; the Alaska Department of Fish and Game has requested the use of Kasnyku Bay. The hatcheries are expected to contribute to the rebuilding of Southeast Alaska salmon stock, thereby increasing harvest by 5 to 10 percent.
COMMENTS MADE BY: DOI EPA HEW DOC HUD
(ELR ORDER #70536)

South Fourche Unit Plan, Quachita N.F. 04/06

Arkansas
County: Saline Yell Perry
Proposed is a plan for the management of the 98,275 acre South Fourche Planning Unit, Quachita National Forest, from October 1, 1976 to September 30, 1986. Major actions include regenerating commercial timber stands on 11,945 acres, thinning timber on 19,000 acres, improving wildlife habitat, and constructing 23 miles and reconstructing 72 miles of road. The degree of negative impact varies according to the nature and location of the many activities.

COMMENTS MADE BY: USDA DOD DOI DOC EPA
(ELR ORDER # 70438)

King Unit Plan, Klamath N.F. 04/12

California
County: Siskiyou
Proposed is a comprehensive land use management plan for the King Planning Unit of the Klamath National Forest. The proposal affects approximately 49,000 acres, including an 11,100-acre portion of the 214,000-acre Marble Mountain Wilderness, a 900-acre portion of the 4,000 -acre Johnson Wilderness Study Area, and all of the 13,000-acre Ten Bear Inventoried Roadless Area. Activities in portions of the Unit will result in soil and vegetation disturbance

which will cause temporary increases in soil erosion and stream sediment levels.
COMMENTS MADE BY: USDA DOT COE EPA FPC HEW DOI AHP
state and local agencies
concerned citizens
(ELR ORDER # 70449)

Big Game Habitat Improvement, N. Idaho 04/01

Idaho
Proposed is the prescribed burning of brushfields in northern Idaho from the present to the completion of land management planning. The purpose of the habitat improvement program is to maintain the brushfield state of plant succession to provide forage for big game species, primarily elk, at a level nearer the productive capabilities of the land. The proposed project areas are located in the Clearwater, Idaho Panhandle, and Nezperce National Forests. This program willl alter the environment by maintaining selected areas in the brushfield stage of plant succession.
COMMENTS MADE BY: AHP DOI COE EPA FPC
state agencies
concerned citizens
(ELR ORDER # 70423)

Coop Spruce Budworm Suppression - Maine 1977 04/28

Maine
County: several
Proposed is the treatment of about 930,000 acres of land in Aroostook, Penobscot, Washington, Franklin, Piscataquis, Oxford, and Somerset Counties, Maine, for the reduction of the spruce budworm population. The preferred action is the aerial application of the registered insecticides Sevin 4 Oil, Dylox 4, and Orthene Forest Spray. Sevin 4 Oil and Dylox 4 will be applied at 3/4 pound/acre, Orthene at 1/2 pound/acre. These insecticides are not expected to have long-term detrimental effects on non-target organisms. An integrated pest management system that seeks a permanent solution to the chronic spruce budworm problems in Maine is now underway.
COMMENTS MADE BY: EPA DOC HEW
state and local agencies
interested parties
(ELR ORDER # 70527)

Prospect Unit Plan, Lolo N.F. 04/25

Montana
County: Sanders
Proposed is the implementation of a revised Multiple Use Plan for the Prospect Planning Unit, located on the Thompson Falls Ranger District, Lolo National Forest. The plan recommends that 124,920 acres be managed in various combinations for timber, esthetics, recreation, watershed, wild-

life, fisheries, and range. An area of 14,080 acres which will remain unroaded will be managed for wildlife, esthetics recreation, and watershed. The primary adverse effects involve the modification of natural conditions on 62,830 acres that are presently roadless.
COMMENTS MADE BY: FPC USDA DOI DOT EPA
state and local agencies
concerned citizens
(ELR ORDER # 70518)

Willamette N.F., Land Use and Timber Management 04/21

Oregon Washington
County: several
Proposed is the implementation of a multiple land use plan for the Willamette National Forest in Clackamas, Douglas, Jefferson, Lane, Linn, and Marion Counties, Oregon. The plan contains five unit plans and also revises the Ten-Year Timber Management Plan. Over all, about 61 percent (1,025,608 acres) of Willamette National Forest is available for intensive timber management. Harvesting activity will result in soil disturbance and erosion.
COMMENTS MADE BY: EPA DOC DOI HUD USDA COE
state and local agencies
concerned citizens
(ELR ORDER # 70496)

Wenatchee N.F. Off-Road Vehicle Policy 04/21

Washington
County: Chelan Kittitas Yakima
Proposed is the development of regulations governing the use of off-road vehicles (ORV) on the Wenatchee National Forest, Washington State. Five alternatives for off road vehicle management have been developed. The recommended plan would permit motor vehicle use on 991.5 miles of trail on the Forest. Cross country travel would be discouraged and 44,716 acres would be closed during the summer, as well as 9,310 during the winter. The major effect of the plan would be the reduction of environmental impacts and user conflicts.
COMMENTS MADE BY: USDA EPA
state and local agencies
concerned citizens
(ELR ORDER # 70491)

Rural Electrification Administration

Draft Date

Coyotte Generating Sta., 440 MW Unit 04/12

North Dakota
County: Mercer Oliver
Proposed is the granting of loan funds to the Minnkota Power

Cooperative for the financing of a 30 percent interest in the proposed new Coyotte Station. The project consists of a 440 MW lignite-fired steam electric generating plant. A new 33 mile, 345 kV transmission line will be built to interconnect the prop osed plant with the substation at the Center Plant. Cooling tower blow down and small amounts of boiler blow down will be discharged to the Missouri River. Other major adverse effects include intrusion on the landscape and minor amounts of soil disturbance.

(ELR ORDER # 70463)

Supplement	Date
115 kV Transmission Line, Teton to Jackson (S-1)	04/04

Wyoming
County: Teton
This statement supplements a final EIS filed with CEQ in March, 1976. The proposed action is the construction of a 115 kV transmission line by Lower Valley Power & Light Inc., from Teton to Jackson. Subsequent to the issuance of the Rural Electrification Administration's final EIS, the Department of Interior denied rights of way to cross lands controlled by the Bureau of Land Management. This supplement discusses three alternative routes and their associated impacts.
(ELR ORDER # 70430)

Soil Conservation Service

Draft	Date
Middle Creek Watershed Project	04/25

Kansas
County: Linn Miami
Proposed is a project for watershed protection, flood prevention, water supply, and public recreation in Linn and Miami Counties, Kansas. Plans include conservation land treatment, log jam removal, and construction of floodwater retarding dams and a multipurpose structure for floodwater retardation. Provisions will be made for water supply and public recreation. Average annual flood damage will be reduced 63 percent in the watershed. Adverse impacts include loss of 1042 acres of agricultural land, inundation of 9.6 miles of streams, and loss of wildlife habitat.
(ELR ORDER # 70515)

Kickapoo Nations Watershed Project 04/21

Oklahoma
County: Oklahoma Lincoln
Proposed is the implementation of the Kickapoo Nations Watershed Plan in Oklahoma and Lincoln Counties, Oklahoma. The project provides for flood prevention, municipal water supply, and recreation. The works of improvement include land treatment supplemented by 19 floodwater retarding structures, one flood water retarding-municipal water and recreation structure, and recreational facilities. Construction related impacts will result.
(ELR ORDER # 70493)

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Contact: Dr. Sidney R. Galler
Deputy Assistant Secretary for
Environmental Affairs
Department of Commerce
Washington, D.C. 20230
(202) 377-2186

Draft — Date

California Coastal Management Program (2) — 04/07

Oregon
County: several
This statement is a revision of a draft EIS filed with CEQ in September, 1976. Proposed is the approval of the Coastal Management Program for the State of California pursuant to P.L. 92-583. The program would restrict or prohibit certain land and water uses in parts of the California Coast, while promoting and encouraging development and use activities in other parts. The revised draft EIS reflects changes made by the California Legislature in the course of adoption of the California Coastal Act of 1976.
(ELR ORDER # 70443)

Economic Development Administration

Final — Date

E. Market Wholesale Dist. Ctr, Railroad Spur — 04/05

Michigan
County: Wayne
The proposed action calls for construction of a railroad spur to be located within the Eastern Market Wholesale Distribution Center in Detroit, Michigan. The project consists of constructing 3,600 ft. of track connected to the maintrack of the Grand Trunk and Western Railroad, a 40' X 200' public shed, and related street reconstruction and signalization. Adverse impacts include increased auto and truck traffic volumes, and increased levels of noise and air pollution.
COMMENTS MADE BY: EPA DOT DOI DLAB AHP GSA
state agencies
concerned citizens
(ELR ORDER # 70435)

Nat'l Oceanic and Atmospheric Admin.

Final — Date

Old Woman Creek Estuarine Sanctuary — 04/04

Ohio
County: Erie

The statement proposes that a grant be awarded to the State of Ohio to acquire, develop, and operate an estuarine sanctuary in Erie County. About 637 acres of land and water in Old Woman Creek and surrounding lands would be acquired and protected. Land and water uses would be restricted and mineral exploitation prohibited within sanctuary boundaries. Agriculture within the proposed sanctuary, if allowed, would be limited in extent and strictly controlled.
COMMENTS MADE BY: USDA DOD DOI DOT EPA NRC
state and local agencies
concerned citizens
(ELR ORDER # 70433)

Commercial Troll & Recreat'l Salmon Fisheries, FMP 04/21

Washington Oregon California
The proposed action is to adopt and implement a fishery management plan for commercial troll and recreational salmon fisheries off the coasts of Washington, Oregon, and California. The plan recommends significantly more restrictive ocean salmon fishery regulations during 1977 for waters off the Washington coast and Columbia River mouth. For Oregon and California ocean waters south of Tillamook Head, a regulatory pattern similar to that of 1976 is recommended for continuance during 1977.
COMMENTS MADE BY: DOT STAT EPA
state and regional agencies
concerned citizens
(ELR ORDER # 70495)

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE, Air Force

Contact: Col. Luis F. Dominguez
Room 5D 431, Pentagon
Washington, D.C. 20330
(202) 697-7795

Draft — Date

Loring AFB Closure (2) — 04/05

Maine
This statement represents a revision of a draft EIS filed with CEQ in September, 1976. The proposed action is to reduce Loring AFB, in Maine, to a forward operating base by inactivating the 42nd Bombardment Wing and its supporting operational and maintenance squadrons. The following selected tenant organizations would be retained because of special mission requirements: specifically, the 4000 Aerospace Applications Detachment and the 49th Fighter Interceptor Squadron Detachment of the Aerospace Defense Command. The major adverse impacts are socioeconomic, resulting from the elimination of approximately 3,560 personnel positions.
(ELR ORDER # 70434)

F-16 Beddown at Hill AFB, Utah — 04/07

Utah
The proposed action is to beddown a F-16 Tactical Fighter Wing at Hill Air Force Base (AFB), Utah during the period from January 1979 to September 1980. During this 21 month period the 388th Tactical Fighter Wing (TFW) will phase out 54 F-4D Phantom aircraft. The action will result in a decrease in the area impacted by the noise associated with F-16 operations. Carbon monoxide, total hydrocarbons, and total particulates due to aircraft operation will decrease during the beddown.
(ELR ORDER # 70442)

Final — Date

TFWC Range Complex, Nellis AFB — 04/01

Nevada Utah
A draft EIS was submitted to CEQ in June, 1974 concerning the Continental Operations Range (COR), a proposal which was subsequently cancelled. However, proposed air space changes and additional radar sites peculiar to the Tactical Fighter Weapons Center Range (TRC) are still required. The environmental impacts associated with the proposals for the TRC in the COR draft EIS remain valid and have been carried forward in this final EIS. Proposed is the redefinition and designation of certain restricted areas, the establishment of 2 special rule areas, and the construction of roads and instrument trailer pads for additional ground

based mobile electromagnetic radiators.
COMMENTS MADE BY: USDA HEW DOI DOT EPA
state and local agencies
concerned citizens
(ELR ORDER # 70312)

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE, Army

Contact: Col. Charles Sell
Chief, Environmental Office
Department of the Army
Room 1E673, Pentagon Chief of Staff
Washington, D.C. 20310
(202) 694-4269

Draft — Date

Chemical Agent Identification Set Disposal — 04/13

Colorado
Proposed is the disposal of Chemical Agent Identification sets. The plan entails movement of the 21,500 obsolete sets out of 33 storage sets to Rocky Mountain Arsenal, Colorado, for disposal. The two phases are 1) movement of 1,500 sets from 19 sites to support the pilot test phase, and, 2) movement of the remaining 20,000 sets from 16 storage sets. No adverse impacts are anticipated.
(ELR ORDER # 70470)

Rocky Mt. Arsenal, Pilot Containment Operations — 04/22

Colorado
County: Adams
The proposed action is the construction and operation of a pilot system for contaminated ground-water containment at Rocky Mountain Arsenal, Colorado. The proposed system consists of a ground-water collection subsystem, a water purification subsystem, and a ground-water recharge subsystem. This will be the first step taken toward compliance with Cease and Desist Orders issued by the State of Colorado against Rocky Mountain Arsenal. Alternatives to the action include no action and delayed action.
(ELR ORDER # 70511)

Ft. Stewart Complex, Mission Change — 04/20

Georgia
County: several
Proposed is the changing of the mission at the Fort Stewart/Hunter Army Airfield Complex to include the permanent stationing of the 24th Infantry Division (-). Activation of units of the 24th Infantry Division (-) was initiated at the Fort Stewart Complex in Fiscal Year 1975 and is scheduled to be completed in Fiscal Year 1977. The 24th Infantry Division (-) will have approximately 12,000 troops and 2,000 vehicles. This action will particularly affect Bryan, Chatham, Evans, Long, Liberty, and Tattnall Counties in Georgia.
(ELR ORDER # 70486)

Final | Date

Operation DTS, Dugway Proving Ground-Tooele Depot 04/28

Utah
County: Tooele
Proposed is the movement of approximately 115 tons of chemical munitions and storage containers filled with toxic chemicals in 13 cargo vehicles accompanied by 12 additional escort/support vehicles. This motor convoy will travel the 55 miles from the Carr Facility, Dugway Proving Ground to the Tooele Army Depot, South Area. The primary environmental impact is the removal of all toxic chemicals from storage facilities at Dugway Proving Ground to existing storage facilities at Tooele Army Depot.

COMMENTS MADE BY: EPA
(ELR ORDER # 70529)

Operation TWS, North-South Tooele Depot 04/28

Utah
County: Tooele
Proposed is the transporting of approximately 770 tons of cargo, of which 175 tons is toxic chemicals. This material will be unloaded onto 16 cars at Tooele Army Depot (North Area) and moved to Tooele Army Depot (South Area) where it will be unloaded and placed in storage. The movement will involve travel of approximately 15 miles on Union Pacific Railroad outside the boundaries of the depot through a sparsely populated area. The primary environmental impact is the removal of all toxic chemicals from storage facilities at Tooele Army Depot, North Area.

COMMENTS MADE BY: EPA
(ELR ORDER # 70531)

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE, Army Corps

Contact: Dr. C. Grant Ash
Office of Environmental Policy Department
Attn: DAEN-CWR-P
Office of the Chief of Engineers
U. S. Army Corps of Engineers
1000 Independence Avenue, S. W.
Washington, D. C. 20314
(202) 693-6795

Draft — Date

Marysville Lake Project, Yuba River — 04/21

California
County: Yuba Sutter Nevada
Proposed is the construction of the Marysville Lake Project at the Parks Bar site, California. The project would include two main dams and an afterbay dam. A 420-foot high concrete gravity dam with earth abutments would be located on the Yuba River, and a 360-foot earthfill dam would be located on Dry Creek. The main initial power installation would consist of one 450 MW conventional turbine and two 450 MW pump turbines, for a total of 1,350 MW. The project would provide power, water conservation, flood control, recreation, and fishery enhancement.
(ELR ORDER # 70490)

Nassau Co. Beach Erosion Control — 04/27

Florida
County: Nassau
Proposed is the implementation of a beach erosion control project for Nassau County, Florida.The action provides for the rebuilding of six existing groins, construction of a training groin at profile 17 along the ocean beach, and placement of 361,350,000 cubic yards of fill along both beaches. About 70,000 cubic yards of initial beach fill would be placed at Fort Clinch. Adverse impacts include destruction of benthic organisms at the borrow site, at regions of discharge along the beach, and at the sites of groin rebuilding or construction. (Jacksonville District)
(ELR ORDER # 70525)

Carrabelle Harbor Maintenance Dredging — 04/28

Florida
County: Franklin
Proposed is the continued maintenance of the Federal navigation channel within the Carrabelle Harbor project in Franklin County, Florida, to authorized dimensions. The project runs from the turning basin to 3.0 miles north of the Highway 98 bridge. The channel would be dredged to GIWW dimensions for the entrance channel and harbor chan-

nel through the turning basin. Primary adverse effects include loss of benthos within the disturbed areas, temporary degradation of water quality in the area of the cutterhead and open water disposal sites, possible temporary mud flows, and degradation of esthetic qualities of previously used diked upland disposal sites. (Mobile District)
(ELR ORDER # 70530)

Isle of Hope Marina Expansion 04/25

Georgia
County: Chatham
The proposed action is the granting of two permits for the expansion of the existing Isle of Hope Marina on Skidaway River, in Georgia. Proposed work includes construction of additional floating docks, dredging, construction of bulkhead with fill, and construction of appurtenant structures. Dredging activities will cause an increase in suspended solids and turbidity, and .431 acre of marsh will be eliminated by the bulkhead and backfill. (Savannah District)
(ELR ORDER # 70514)

Little Wood R. Flood Damage Reduction (2) 04/01

Idaho
County: Lincoln
Proposed is a flood damage reduction project which would improve the existing system for diverting floodwater from the Little Wood River into nearby lava fields. The two proposed diversion projects would be located near Shoshone and Dietrich in Lincoln County, Idaho, and would reduce present flood damage potential by 86 percent. The Dietrich Canal diversion would increase the existing diversion capacity from 500 to 1,500 cubic feet per second. The Milner-Gooding Canal diversion would permit the diversion of an additional 600 cfs into adjacent lava fields. Flood protection for 2,560 acres would be provided.
(Walla Walla District)
(ELR ORDER # 70424)

Beadle Bay Development, Saginaw Bay 04/01

Michigan
County: Huron
Proposed is the issuance of a permit for the construction of boat channels in Saginaw Bay, Lake Huron, Michigan. The project requires dredging a combined total of 2600 cubic yards of material to make connections in two locations on the existing channel off Wild Fowl Bay. Excavation of the connection will result in turbidity in the water, and additional travel by vehicles to the area and through the waterways by boats will cause increased congestion, noise, and exhaust emissions. (Detroit District)
(ELR ORDER # 70426)

Manteo (Shallowbag) Bay Project 04/22

North Carolina
County: Dare
The proposed action involves deepening the ocean bar channel, the Manteo-Oregon Inlet Channel from the inlet to the side channel to Wanchese and the side channel to Wanchese, enlarging and deepening the basin at Wanchese, and maintaining old House Channel. In addition, Oregon Inlet will be stabilized with a dual jetty system including means for sand transfer to the downdrift beach, and bottom protection for the Bonner Bridge across Oregon Inlet. Dredging activities are to be accomplished primarily by hydraulic pipeline dredge. (Wilmington District)
(ELR ORDER # 70506)

Siuslaw River Jetty Extension (2) 04/25

Oregon
County: several
This revised draft EIS proposes improvements to jetties on the Siuslaw River in Florence, Oregon. Plans call for extension of the existing south jetty 2,500 feet beyond its presently authorized length to improve bar conditions, and extension of the existing north jetty 1400 feet beyond the 600 foot extension presently authorized to provide jetties of approximately equal length. Adverse impacts include altered shoaling conditions at mouth, potential coastal erosion, coverage of 23.8 acres of sandy bottom area, and induced pressure for land use change potentially affecting upstream wetlands near Florence.
(Portland District)
(ELR ORDER # 70517)

Final Date

White Bluff Steam Electric Station 04/14

Arkansas
The statement discusses the issuance of a Department of the Army permit for construction of a water intake structure, a clean water holding pond dike and a clean water holding pond spillway as required for the proposed coal-fired White Bluff Steam Electric Station to be located near Redfield, Arkansas. Negative effects include increased levels of atmospheric pollution, increased traffic during construction, loss of wildlife, and discharge of heated water containing concentrated levels of dissolved solids to the Arkansas River. (Little Rock District)
COMMENTS MADE BY: EPA DOC USDA DOT DOI HUD FPC AHP
state and local agencies
concerned groups and persons
(ELR ORDER # 70473)

George's R. Maintenance Dredging, Thomaston 04/22

Maine
The proposed action calls for maintenance dredging on George's River along the entire project length, restoring the channel to a depth of 10 feet mean low water, to accomodate present navigational needs. Approximately 11,000 cubic yards of material will be removed by hydraulic dredge. Adverse effects include the killing of immobile and slow moving biota in the immediate area to be dredged. Disturbance of the estuary bottom and suspension of sediments will also result.
(New England Division)
COMMENTS MADE BY: DOC EPA DOI
state agencies
concerned citizens
(ELR ORDER # 70508)

Gowanus Creek Channel, Brooklyn 04/12

New York
Proposed is the maintenance dredging of Gowaus Creek Channel to Federal project dimensions. The dredge spoil will be disposed of in approved dumping grounds in the New York Bight. The marine environment of the New York Bight would be further degraded by the project.
(New York District)
COMMENTS MADE BY: USDA DOC DOD DOT DOI EPA HEW HUD AHP
state and city agencies
concerned citizens
(ELR ORDER # 70458)

Wanchese Harbor Development Project 04/22

North Carolina
County: Dare
Proposed is the enlargement and deepening of the existing boat basin at Wanchese Harbor, North Carolina. The project consists of excavating and disposing of an estimated 332,000 cubic yards of material with a hydraulic pipeline dredge and placing it in a 35.88-acre diked disposal area. The enlargement will result in the loss of 50 acres of primarily irregularly-flooded marsh, loss of terrestial and bottom fauna, and localized increases in turbidity levels. (Wilmington District)
COMMENTS MADE BY: EPA USDA HUD DOC DOI USCG HEW
state and local agencies
concerned citizens
(ELR ORDER # 70510)

Omak Urban Levee Improvements 04/28

Washington
County: Okanogan

The proposed action is the improvement of an existing levee system in Okanogan County, Washington. Plans call for a total of 14,100 feet of levee, including 550 feet of flood wall, to be constructed on both banks of the Okanogan River at Omak. The project provides protection from floods having a one percent chance of occurring in any one year. Adverse effects include increased turbidity and total vegetation loss on 19.7 acres. (Seattle District)
COMMENTS MADE BY: EPA DOT HUD DOI USDA DOC
state agencies
concerned citizens
(ELR ORDER # 70528)

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE, Navy

Contact: Mr. Ed Johnson
Department of the Navy
Room 4B466, Pentagon
Washington, D.C. 20350
(202) 697-3639

Draft | Date

USN Petrol Reserve #1, Crude Oil Transport | 04/21

California
County: several

This statement discusses three different transport alternatives for construction of a new conveyance system to move 200,000 B/D of crude oil from Naval Petroleum Reserve No.1 in Tupman, California. These alternatives consist of: (1) the Elk Hills/Coalinga Conveyance System, (2) the Elk Hills/Port Hueneme Conveyance System, and, (3) the Elk Hills/Coalinga Conveyance System. The three systems are discussed at length in separate volumes, and it is anticipated that a decision on which route (or routes) to be constructed will be made prior to September 1, 1977. (ELR ORDER # 70497)

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

Contact: Ms. Rebecca W. Hanner
Director, Office of Federal Activities
Room WSMW 537, 401 M Street, S.W.
Washington D.C. 20460
(202) 755-0777

Draft — Date

N. Monterey County Facilities Plan — 04/22

California
County: Monterey
The proposed Facilities Plan is designed to improve water quality by improving wastewater treatment service to residents in the southern Monterey Bay-lower Salinas Valley region of Monterey County, California. The major objective of the project is to eliminate public health hazards and water quality problems being caused by existing wastewater discharges to Monterey Bay, the Salinas River, Tembladero Slough, and Elkhorn Slough. In addition, the plan seeks to supply the additional wastewater treatment capacity needed to accomodate anticipated growth in the area. (Region IX)
(ELR ORDER # 70499)

Upper Eagle Valley & Vail Wastewater Plan — 04/18

Colorado
County: Eagle
Proposed is a 201 Wastewater Facilities Plan for the Upper Eagle Valley and Vail Region, Colorado. The plan has two component parts, one for 1985 and one for 1995. This statement relates to funding of the 1985 plan, which involves the following actions: upgrade of the Vail Treatment Plant and provision of flow equalization; enlargement of the Vail plant from 1.5 to 3.0 mgd capacity; upgrade of the Avon Plant (UEVSD); enlargement of the Avon Plant (UEVSD) from 1.65 to 3.5 mgd capacity; and replacement of interceptors. Construction impacts include minor disturbance and/or destruction of vegetation and wildlife. (Region VIII)
(ELR ORDER # 70480)

Tunnel Component, Lower Des Plaines TARP — 04/01

Illinois
County: Cook
This statement relates to the tunnel component of the Tunnel and Reservoir Plan, Lower Des Plaines Tunnel System, proposed by the Metropolitan Sanitary District of Greater Chicago. The proposed action identified and defined for this EIS is the Phase I conveyance tunnel systems and their associ-

ated subsystems only. The following information is included in the statement: alternative plans, plan selector, TARP Tunnel Systems, TARP Subsystems, Des Plaines Tunnel Segments & Branches, cost of Tunnel System & Subsystems, and TARP financing. (Region 5)
(ELR ORDER # 70429)

Des Moines 208 Waste Treatment 04/13

Iowa
County: Dallas Polk Warren
Proposed is the implementation of the Des Moines Metropolitan Areawide Waste Treatment Management Plan. The proposed plan includes structural and nonstructural programs for the control of point, intermittent point and nonpoint pollution sources. Temporary adverse effects resulting from construction activities will be the disruption and relocation of wildlife, augmented construction noise levels, disturbance of existing vegetation, and disruption of existing land uses. (Region VII)
(ELR ORDER # 70468)

Sooner Generating Sta., Units 1 & 2 04/25

Oklahoma
County: Noble Pawnee
Proposed is the issuance by EPA of a New Source NPDES permit to the Oklahoma Gas and Electric Company for Sooner Generating Station. The proposed receiving water body is Greasy Creek, a tributary of the Arkansas River, in Pawnee County, Oklahoma. The station contains 2 coal-fired generating units and associated facilities that include an area for coal storage and handling, and associated facilities. Adverse effects include soil erosion, impacts to acquatic organisms, and the elimination or alteration of approximately 8090 acres of terrestrial habitat. (Region VI)
(ELR ORDER # 70519)

Final Date

Jerome Wasterwater Facilities Project 04/15

Idaho
County: Jerome
Proposed is the provision of an adequate wastewater treatment and disposal program for the city of Jerome, Idaho. The plan would expand the service area from 1,170 acres to 5,030 acres to accomodate the projected increase in population from 5,625 to 12,000 residents by the year 1995. Negative impacts include temporary loss of vegetation, disruption of wildlife, soil erosion, and air pollution from particulates. (Region X)
COMMENTS MADE BY: USDA AHP HUD EPA
state and local agencies
concerned citizens
(ELR ORDER # 70477)

FEDERAL ENERGY ADMINISTRATION

Contact: Mr. Robert Stern
Director, Office of Environmental Impact
Federal Energy Administration
New Post Office Building, Room 7119
12th and Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, D.C. 20461
(202) 566-9760

Supplement	Date
West Hackberry Salt Dome - SPR (S-1)	04/22

Louisiana
County: Cameron
This statement supplements a final EIS filed with CEQ in January, 1977. Since the FEIS was published, the availability of the Sun Terminal in Nederland, Texas, and the Amoco Barge Dock on the SE branch of the Alkali Ditch has prompted a reevaluation of the proposed oil distribution system. The system assessed in this supplement involves construction of a temporary surface pipeline from the site (at the West Hackberry salt dome) to the Amoco dock and use of the dock for interim fill, and construction of a permanent buried pipeline from the site to the Sun Terminal.
(ELR ORDER # 70509)

DEPARTMENT OF HUD

Contact: Mr. Richard H. Broun
Director, Office of Environmental Quality
Department of Housing and Urban Development
451 7th Street, S.W.
Washington, D.C. 20410
(202) 755-6308

Draft — Date

Carmelitos Housing Complex — 04/21

California
County: Los Angeles
Proposed is the redevelopment of the Carmelitos Housing Complex in Long Beach, California. Plans call for demolition of the 716 residential units and reconstruction of 500 subsidized rental units and 160 privately financed and owned single family residences. The project will reduce opportunities for subsidized housing in the area and will require some off-site relocation of tenants.
(ELR ORDER # 70492)

Bunker Hill Elderly Housing Project — 04/29

California
County: Los Angeles
Proposed is the development of 1,100 units of housing for low income elderly and handicapped in Los Angeles, California. Development will include a multipurpose service center, retail commercial space, and parking garages for an estimated population of 1500. The project is located in the Central Business District of Los Angeles and within the Bunker Hill Urban Development Project. Increased levels of air pollution from fugitive dust emissions and increased levels of noise may be expected during construction.
(ELR ORDER # 70538)

The Oaks at Glenwood — 04/18

New Jersey
County: Middlesex
Proposed is the issuance of FHA mortgage insurance pursuant to Section 221d4 of the U.S. Housing and Urban Development Act of 1965 for the development of a 1224 unit garden apartment development, to include on-site recreation facilities and parking for 2065 automobiles, located in Madison Township. Adverse effects include degradation of air and water quality. Thirty percent clearance of existing vegetation in the project area will result, with subsequent partial replacement by landscaping.
(ELR ORDER # 70482)

Eastwood Village Disposition 04/29

Ohio
County: Hamilton
Proposed is the disposition of Secretary owned property of Eastwood Village as prescribed by the Department of HUD regulations. Eastwood Village consists of two sections of property, each having a separate deed. Section One contains 540 units on 39.3 acres and Section Two contains 370 units on 46.9 acres. The primary impact as a result of the disposition of Eastwood Village is the demolition of 910 housing units and the subsequent redevelopment of the land for different uses.
(ELR ORDER # 70547)

Bonaire Subdivision, Harris Co. 04/12

Texas
County: Harris
Proposed is the development of 340 acres into a planned community composed of single-family homes, apartments, patio homes and townhouses with some commercial reserves in Harris County, California. This development provides for the planning and controlling of a wide range of living accomodations for approximately 6,300 people. Adverse effects include the loss of agricultural land and an increased demand for fossil fuels through heavy dependence on the automobile for transportation.
(ELR ORDER # 70450)

Newington Forest Development 04/29

Virginia
County: Fairfax
Proposed is the residential development of a 734-acre tract of land located in Fairfax County, Virginia, over a 6 to 7 year period. Development will include construction of 917 townhouses, 200 garden apartments, and 791 single family units. The site will be developed in four phases. A total of 170 acres will be conveyed to Fairfax County Park Authority, and 15.6 acres will be conveyed to the County for one and one-half elementary school sites.
(ELR ORDER # 70535)

Final Date

White Marsh Joint Venture 04/12

Maryland
County: Baltimore
Proposed is a subdivision of 430 acres with local planning and zoning approval for 3,000 mixed housing units, in White Marsh, Maryland. The residential community is part of a larger 1,500 acre development which will include a 200 acre shopping mall, an office park, and industrial de-

velopment. Negative impacts include conversion of woodland to urban use, some increase in air pollution and noise levels and increased traffic congestion on local roads. (Region 3)
COMMENTS MADE BY: USDA HEW AHP DOC EPA HUD
state agencies
(ELR ORDER # 70459)

Box Hill Development 04/14

Maryland
County: Harford
Proposed is the construction of a planned new residential community of adjoining 348 and 233 acre sites located between the City of Bel Air and Interstate Highway 95, on Maryland State Route 24. Development will involve construction of 3,353 dwelling units, and community centers. Adverse effects include conversion of agricultural land to urban use, some increase in air pollution and community noise levels, and increased water runoff.
COMMENTS MADE BY: USDA EPA FPC
city agencies
(ELR ORDER # 70471)

Loring 100 Apts., Loring Park Dev. District 04/01

Minnesota
County: Hennepin
This statement concerns a mortgage insurance application for the Loring 100 Apartment in Minneapolis, Minnesota. The 102-unit project will provide housing and a community/recreation facility for elderly persons. The Loring 100 project is part of the Loring Park Development, a 2000-unit planned urban redevelopment project. Major adverse impacts include the demolition of existing business and residential structures with attendant displacement of the indigenous population. The erection of tall and massive structures may result in shadow and wind effects.

COMMENTS MADE BY: EPA DOT DOI
state and regional agencies
concerned citizens
(ELR ORDER # 70428)

Pine Trails Subdivision 04/27

Texas
County: Harris
The proposed action is the acceptance of the 542-acre Pine Trails Subdivision for HUD/FHA mortgage insurance purposes. When completed in approximately six years, the subdivision will contain approximately 1,600 single family homes plus some attached single-family and multi-family housing and shopping and recreational facilities. Adverse effects include the removal of land as open space and an

increased demand for fossil fuels through heavy dependence on the automobile for transportation.
COMMENTS MADE BY: EPA COE USDA AHP DOI
state and local agencies
concerned citizens
(ELR ORDER # 70523)

Section 104(h)

Draft — Date

Lawton, Oklahoma - Industrial Waterline — 04/08

Oklahoma
Proposed is the construction of industrial utilities in the City of Lawton, Oklahoma. The project includes an industrial water storage tower, a water pump station at the existing seven million gallon tank, an industrial water line, and an industrial sewer line. Short term construction impacts will result.
(ELR ORDER # 70444)

Final — Date

Castleberry, Ala., Water Systems Improvement — 04/04

Alabama
County: Conecuh
Proposed is the granting of HUD funds for replacement of an existing water system in the town of Castleberry. Project plans include construction of a new 250 gallon per minute well in the north-central section of town and an additional elevated water storage tank in the northwestern portion of the community. Short run impacts include increasesin noise and dust, as well as the occurence of soil erosion.
COMMENTS MADE BY: EPA HEW
state agencies
(ELR ORDER # 70432)

Pine Bluff Southeast Sanitary Sewer — 04/15

Arkansas
County: Jefferson
Proposed is the installation of a sanitary sewer system to serve several hundred acres of the southeast section of the city of Pine Bluff. The statement also refers to the reconstruction of a major arterial street and the installation of an underground drainage system. Adverse impacts include clearing of woodlands, erosion and siltation, cuts through street paving, noise, dust, traffic disruption, and economic impact on businesses.
COMMENTS MADE BY: COE EPA
Neighborhood groups
concerned citizens
(ELR ORDER # 70478)

Carbondale, Illinois - Street Improvements 04/06

Illinois
County: Jackson
Proposed is the widening and resurfacing of 6 streets in the Springmore area, Carbondale, Illinois. The project calls for reconstruction of curbs and gutters; improvement of base course and pavement surface material; construction of new 4-feet wide sidewalks, storm sewers, and culverts; and improvements in sanitary sewer and water line systems. Estimated costs of Bridge and Rigdon Street improvements are $990,300. Depending on the alternative selected, adverse impacts include removal of 97-246 trees, and loss of 3-5 acres of land surface to sidewalks and streets.

COMMENTS MADE BY: EPA
state and local agencies
concerned citizens
(ELR ORDER # 70436)

Downtown Yonkers Commercial Complex (Preliminary) 04/12

New York
Proposed is the construction and operation of a commercial complex located in the central business district of Yonkers, New York. The project includes 1.2 million square feet (GLA) of retail space, 100,000 square feet of office space and a 300-room hotel, and it is considered a key element in the City's plan to re-vitalize the socio-economic structure of the central city. Three alternatives are also examined: the no-build alternative; a reduction in the size of the retail portion of the development to 860,000 square feet (GLA); and the elimination of plans for the office building and hotel.
COMMENTS MADE BY: EPA HUD
state and local agencies
concerned citizens
(ELR ORDER # 70465)

Monte Brisas Development, Fajardo, Puerto Rico 04/05

Puerto Rico
Proposed is the approval of the third section of the Monte Brisas Development, Fajardo. This third and final section calls for the construction of 396 units on a 63.85 acre tract of land over a period of approximately 3 years. The project will also contain active and passive park areas, cultural and recreational facilities, and supporting community commercial facilities. Adverse effects include construction disruption, and increased solid waste collection and disposal activity.
COMMENTS MADE BY: FPC GSA FAA USDA HEW DOT
DOI EPA
commonwealth agencies
(ELR ORDER #70431)

DEPARTMENT OF INTERIOR

Contact: Mr. Bruce Blanchard
Director, Environmental Project Review
Room 5311
Department of the Interior
Washington, D.C. 20240
(202) 343-3891

Bureau of Land Management

Draft — Date

OCS Oil & Gas Sale #46, W. Gulf of Alaska — 04/12

Alaska
Proposed is the leasing of 564 blocks (1.3 million hectares; 3.2 million acres) of OCS lands. The tracts are located offshore of Kodiak, Afognah, and the Trinity Islands with distance to shore ranging from 4 to 115 miles, and are situated in water depths of from 4 to 115 miles. The risk potential is related to adverse effects on the environment and other resource uses which may result principally from accidental or chronic oil spillage. Onshore development will result in socioeconomic impacts. (ELR ORDER # 70456)

1977 OCS Sale #45, Gulf of Mexico — 04/19

Texas Louisiana
Proposed is the leasing of 120 tracts comprising 235,875 hectares (582,856 acres) located offshore Texas and Louisiana in water depths ranging from 4 m to 183 m and a distance from shore from 5 km to 293 km. If implemented, this sale is tentatively scheduled to be held in December, 1977. All tracts offered pose some degree of pollution risk to the environment. The risk potential is related to adverse effects on the environment and other resource uses which may result from accidental or chronic oil spillage. (ELR ORDER # 70485)

Geological Survey

Draft — Date

E. Gillette Mine, Kerr-McGee Corp. — 04/01

Wyoming
County: Campbell
Proposed is approval of a surface mining and reclamation plan for East Gillette Mine, Campbell County, Wyoming. The Kerr-McGee Corporation plans include mining of about 340 million tons of coal at a fuel production rate of about 8 million tons per year through 1992, 12 million tons per year until 2003, and 9.6 million tons

per year until 2013. Adverse impacts include the removal of 636.6 million cubic yards of overburden, permanent reshaping of the 2,679-acre mining area, and destruction of shallow aquifers, vegetation, soils, and wildlife habitat.
(ELR ORDER # 70417)

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Contact: Mr. David R. Bell
Chief, Technical Studies Branch
200 Constitution Avenue
Room N3669
Washington, D.C. 20210
(202) 523-7076

Draft	Date
Sulfur Dioxide, Occupational Exp. Standard	04/15

The Occupational Safety and Health Administration proposes to regulate employee exposure to sulfur dioxide emissions by setting a permissable exposure limit of 2 parts sulfur dioxide per million parts air (2 ppm), together with a ceiling limit of 10 ppm, as measured over a sampling period of 15 minutes. The proposal provides for employee exposure measurements, methods of compliance, personal protective clothing and equipment, training, medical surveillance, employee observation of exposure measurements, and recordkeeping. Beneficial impacts are expected. (ELR ORDER # 70479)

NATIONAL AERONAUTICS AND SPACE ADMIN.

Contact: Mr. Nathaniel Cohen
Director, Office of Policy Analysis
National Aeronautics and Space Administration
400 Maryland Avenue
Washington. D.C. 20546

Draft — Date

Michoud Assembly Facility, New Orleans — 04/12

Louisiana
This statement examines the environmental effects of the present and impending activities, versus the cessation of activities, at the Michoud Assembly Facility of George C. Marshall Space Flight Center in New Orleans, Louisiana. The facility has a dual role. First, it provides support for the NASA Space Shuttle Program by design and manufacture of External Tanks which will contain liquid fuel for the Orbiter. Second, it provides support and facilities for operations of other governmental agencies and/or their contractors. Other alternatives relate to modifications in certain facilities and activities.
(ELR ORDER # 70451)

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

Contact: Mr. Voss A. Moore
Division of Reactor Licensing
P-722, NRC
Washington, D.C. 20555
(301) 443-6965

Draft — Date

Edwin I. Hatch Nuclear Plant, Unit 2 — 04/29

Georgia
County: Appling
Proposed is the issuance of an operation license to the Georgia Power Company for the startup and operation of the Edwin I. Hatch Nuclear Plant, Unit No. 2, located on the Altamaha River. The facility will employ a boiling-water reactor to produce a nominal rating of 2436 MWt. A steam turbine-generator will use this heat to provide up to 820 (803 net) MWe of electrical power capacity. The plant will be licensed for operations with a thermal output up to 3550 MWt which corresponds to a gross electrical output of 835 MWe.
(ELR ORDER # 70540)

Port Calhoun Station, Unit 2 — 04/14

Nebraska
County: Washington Harrison
The proposed action is the determination of the suitability of the site of the Port Calhoun Station for eventual construction of a second nuclear unit by the Omaha Public Power District. The proposed site is located on the west bank of the Missouri River, approximately 20 miles north of Omaha, Nebraska. The station will produce 342 MWt, which will be used by a steam turbine generator to produce 1150 MWe (net) of electric power capacity. Adverse effects include the impingement of 247,000 juvenile fish annually, and the removal of 60 acres of forest
(ELR ORDER # 70472)

Final — Date

Islote Site, N. Coast Nuclear Plant, Unit 1 — 04/27

Puerto Rico
The proposed action is the determination of the suitability of the 520-acre Islote site for eventual construction by the Puerto Rico Resource Authority of the North Coast Nuclear Station. This site analysis is based on the assumption that the North Coast Station will employ a pressurized water reactor to produce an output of approximately 1785 MWt. A steam turbine generator will use this heat to provide

approximately 600 MWe. Exhaust steam will be cooled by a once-through flow of water obtained from and discharged to the Atlantic Ocean. Adverse effects include the destruction of small aquatic organisms.
COMMENTS MADE BY: AHP USDA DOC ERDA EPA HEW HUD DOI
commonwealth agencies
interested parties
(ELR ORDER # 70526)

Tyrone Energy Park Unit 1 04/21

Wisconsin
County: Dunn
Proposed is the issuance of a construction permit to the Northern States Power Company for the construction of Tyrone Energy Park located in Dunn County, Wisconsin. The plant will employ a pressurized-water reactor to produce a warranted output of 3411 MWt. A steam turbine generator will use this heat to provide 1194 MWe (net) of electrical power capacity. The exhaust steam will be cooled by a flow of water in a closed-cycle system incorporating mechanical-draft wet cooling towers using makeup water from the Chippewa River. Adverse effects include displacement of 55 residents.
COMMENTS MADE BY: AHP USDA COE DOC HEW ERDA DOI EPA
state and local agencies
concerned groups and persons
(ELR ORDER # 70498)

Supplement Date

Skagit Nuclear Power Project (S-2) 04/21

Washington
County: Skagit
This statement supplements a final EIS filed with CEQ in May, 1975. The proposed action is the issuance of construction permits to the Puget Sound Power and Light Company, Pacific Power and Light Company, Washington Water Power Company, and the Portland General Electric Company for the construction of Skagit Nuclear Power Project units 1 and 2. The purpose of the supplement is to identify and evaluate the potential effects of the proposed action on those values for which the Skagit River was named as a study river in the Wild and Scenic Rivers Act.
(ELR ORDER # 70494)

TENNESSEE VALLEY AUTHORITY

Contact: Dr. Peter Krenkel
Director of Environmental Planning
720 Edney Building
Chattanooga, Tennessee 37401
(615) 755-3161

Final — Date

Phipps Bend Nuclear Plant, Units 1 & 2 — 04/13

Tennessee
County: Hawkins
Proposed is the construction and operation of a 2-unit nuclear plant in Hawkins County, Tennessee. Operation of the closed-cycle cooling towers will result in evaporation of water and release of heat and minor amounts of particulates into the air. A small amount of land will be converted from agricultural to industrial use.
COMMENTS MADE BY: AHP ERDA USDA HUD COE EPA DOI TVA
state and local agencies
concerned citizens
(EIR ORDER # 70467)

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Contact: Mr. Martin Convisser, Director
Office of Environmental Affairs
U.S. Department of Transportation
400 7th Street, S.W.
Washington, D.C. 20590
(202) 426-4357

Federal Aviation Administration

Draft **Date**

Civil SST Aircraft, Noise Reg. & Type Certification 04/18

Proposed are noise regulation and type certification alternatives for Civil Supersonic Transport Aircraft. The considered actions include: (1) promulgation of a noise type certification rule applicable to current and/or future supersonic aircraft, (2) promulgation of operational regulations applicable to supersonic aircraft, (3) issuance or nonissuance of a U.S. type certificate for the Concorde SST, (4) granting or denying of operations specifications amendment requests concerning supersonic aircraft, (5) promulgation of airport noise regulations, and (6) no Federal action.
(ELR ORDER # 70481)

Shelbyville Municipal Airport Improvement 04/27

Indiana
County: Shelby
The proposed action is the improvement of the Shelbyville Municipal Airport in Shelbyville, Indiana. The project entails the acquisition of 254 acres of land and 50 acres of clear zone easement for the construction of 2 new runways and installation of related facilities. The proposed improvements to the airport will result in increased noise and air pollution, loss of agricultural land, relocation of two homesteads, and loss of wildlife.
(ELR ORDER # 70524)

Raleigh-Durham Airport Improvements 04/12

North Carolina
Proposed is the expansion and improvement of Raleigh-Durham Airport, North Carolina. Project plans include: construction of a new parallel paved, marked and lighted Category II runway, with a parallel and connecting taxiway system; overlay and necessary repairs to existing 5/23 and 14/32 runways; construction of new access roads as well as provision in-kind relocation of existing roads; and construction of a new terminal building and associated facilities. Approximately 700-800 acres of land will be cleared, thereby reducing county woodlands and wildlife areas.
(ELR ORDER # 70454)

Final Date

Reedley Municipal Airport, California 04/22

California
County: Fresno Tulare
The proposed action includes the establishment of a new municipal airport on a site now partially occupied by the Great Western Airport. It is proposed to develop a Basic Utility Airport - Stage I, primarily intended to serve as a low-activity, rural facility for small piston aircraft. Adverse impacts include the decrease of existing vegetation on the project site. The recommended site also has the disadvantage of being adjacent to the Great Western Elementary School.
COMMENTS MADE BY: DOT EPA DOI USDA DOC
(ELR ORDER # 70504)

San Francisco International Airport, Development 04/25

California
County: San Francisco
Proposed is a major expansion of San Francisco International Airport, in order to accomodate the current and future air passenger demands of that airport. The expansion consists of the following major elements to be completed over the next ten years: improvements to the existing terminal building and the addition of the new North Terminal; addition of new boarding areas and modification of existing boarding areas; additions to the existing parking garage; and modifications to the airfield apron and taxiway system. Adverse effects include an increase in energy consumption and short-term construction impacts.
COMMENTS MADE BY: DOT EPA DOI FEA
state and local agencies
concerned citizens
(ELR ORDER # 70512)

Wm. B. Hartsfield Atlanta International Airport 04/20

Georgia
Proposed is the construction of a new central air passenger terminal complex at William B. Hartsfield Atlanta International Airport, in Atlanta, Georgia. The new facilities will be located between the existing 3 east-west parallel runways and will include aircraft parking area, taxiways, terminal buildings, and access roadways. Although a temporary increase in stream sedimentation will occur, no major environmental impacts are expected to result from the project.
COMMENTS MADE BY: DOT EPA DOI HEW HUD
state and local agencies
concerned citizens
(ELR ORDER # 70484)

Clark Co. Airport, Jeffersonville, Indiana 04/29

Indiana
County: Clark
The statement concerns the acquisition of 519 acres of land and a new airport for Clark County. The project will include the construction of a runway, entrance road and vehicle parking, administration building, airplane hangars and aprons, navigation and landing aids, and site improvements. The statement includes a description of construction in four phases, the last of which will end in 1998. Adverse impacts of the project include the loss of 600 acres to other uses, increased noise pollution, relocation of 6 households and increased air pollution.
COMMENTS MADE BY: USDA DOC HUD EPA DOT COE AHP
(ELR ORDER # 70537)

Sikeston Memorial Airport, Missouri 04/12

Missouri
County: Scott
Proposed are various improvements at Sikeston Memorial Airport in Scott County, Missouri. Project plans include the following: acquisition of 26 acres in fee; extension of Runway 220 to 48 x 7500', and lighting and marking of this extension; extension and marking of taxiways to 600 x 40'; and relocation of Vasi and Reil for Runway 20. Slight increases in air and noise pollution are anticipated.
COMMENTS MADE BY: DOI EPA AHP COE USDA
state and local agencies
concerned citizens
(ELR ORDER # 70461)

Supplement **Date**

Douglas Municipal Airport, Runway (S-1) 04/11

North Carolina.
County: Mecklenburg
This statement supplements a draft EIS filed with CEQ in April, 1976. The proposed action is the completion of Runway 18R/36L at Douglas Municipal Airport in Charlotte, North Carolina. The project plans call for paving and lighting of the runways and associated taxiways. The supplement was prepared in response to an order of U.S. District Court for the Western District of North Carolina. The aircraft noise impacts will be shifted from high population density areas to low population density areas.
(ELR ORDER # 70445)

Federal Highway Administration

Draft	Date
California Route 131 Improve, Tiburon	04/12

California
County: Marin
Proposed is the improvement of a 0.6-mile segment of State Route 131, located on the Tiburon Peninsula in Marin County. The proposed work area is from post mile 1.5 at Reed Ranch Road to post mile 2.1 east of Stewart Drive. Within the project limits, the existing highway is a conventional two-lane road. The four-lane segment of Route 131 ends at Reed Ranch Road. The proposed work involves mitigation of several safety problems including a high accident rate and operational difficulties. (Region 9)
(ELR ORDER # 70464)

S. Santa Fe Drive, Florida-Church 04/22

Colorado
County: Arapahoe Denver
The proposed project consists of the widening of South Santa Fe Drive to a six lane arterial for approximately 5.5 miles. The project would begin at Florida Avenue in the City and County of Denver and terminate at Church Street in Arapahoe County. A left turn lane would be provided throughout the length of the project and sidewalks, curb, and gutter would be provided for a majority of its length. Adverse effects include the displacement of 372 housing units. A 4(f) statement is included concerning Overland Park. (Region 8)
(ELR ORDER # 70502)

FAP Rte. 56, Kalihiwai to Haena 04/06

Hawaii
Proposed are improvements to 12.5 miles of FAP Rte. 56, the Kuhio Highway, in the Hanalei District of the Island of Kauai, Hawaii. The action consists of : realigning and/or widening the highway from Kalihiwai to the Hanalei Bridge (3.6 miles); constructing shoulders and resurfacing the existing highway from the Hanalei Bridge to the outskirts of Hanalei Town (1.2 miles); and replacing or repairing eleven one-lane bridge structures, including the Hanalei Bridge (Hanalei River to Linahuli Stream, 8.9 miles). Adverse effects are alteration of landscape, loss of wildlife habitat and impacts to historical and archeological resources. (Hawaii Division)
(ELR ORDER # 70440)

Coeur d'Alene-East, Proj.I-90-1(35)16 04/01

Idaho
County: Kootenai
Proposed is the designation of an area north of Coeur d'Alene Lake in Kootenai County, Idaho, as the location for a new section of Interstate 90. Geographically, the study area is bounded by the City of Coeur d'Alene on the west, Fourth of July Summit on the east, and Coeur d'Alene Lake on the south, and its north boundary extends into National Forest property. Twelve alternatives are currently under consideration, each either overlaying or bypassing the existing section of Temporary I-90 which currently serves the vicinity. A 4(f) statement is included concerning the Mullen Trail. (Region 10)
(ELR ORDER # 70419)

F.A.R. 595, Ill. Rte. 2 - I-80 04/01

Illinois
County: Rock Island Henry
Proposed is the construction of a segment of Federal Aid Route 595 in Rock Island County, Illinois. The project begins at the intersection of John Deere and Colona Roads and continues 3.5 miles in an easterly direction to the I-80 - Cleveland Road interchange. The new facility is planned as a 4-lane expressway with a 22-foot median. Adverse impacts include increased levels of noise and water pollution.
(Region 5)
(ELR ORDER # 70418)

Wheaton Naperville Rd. Extension 04/12

Illino
County: Du Page Will
The proposed project is located in a north-south predominantly unincorporated corridor between the City of Naperville and the Village of Lisle, Illinois. The overall highway section extends from the intersection of Warrenville and Naperville Roads in Du Page County southerly a distance of 6.9 miles to a connection with Washington Street approximately 0.5 miles north of Royce Road in Will County. The project will consist of a basic four-lane divided arterial roadway with all cross-street intersections at grade.
(Region 5)
(ELR ORDER # 70460)

Lakeport Project, Lincoln Way - Gordon Drive 04/27

Iowa
County: Woodbury
Proposed is the improvement of a segment of the existing Federal-Aid Urban System (FAUS) in Sioux City, Iowa. The facility, to be known as Lakeport Project, consists of the one way paired South Lakeport Street and South Palmetto Street between Gordon Drive (U.S. Highway 20) and

Morningside Avenue, and South Lakeport Street between Morningside Avenue and Freeway No. 520 (Outer Belt Drive). Plans call for widening of lanes, channelization, signalization, and lighting improvements. Many existing trees within the existing and proposed project right-of-way would be removed. (Region 7)
(ELR ORDER # 70522)

I-380, Waterloo - Cedar Falls 04/28

Iowa
County: Black Hawk
The proposed action is the extension of I-380 in Black Hawk County, Iowa. The facility would begin at the junction of proposed Freeway 520 and U.S. Highway 218 in the southeast portion of Waterloo, and proceed northwesterly approximately 7.7 miles to the junction of U.S. Highway 20 and proposed Freeway 518 in the vicinity of the Waterloo Metropolitan Airport. All of the alternatives will require urban developed and undeveloped land, and some alternatives will require land from publicly owned parks. Adverse impacts include the relocation of people and businesses and the acquisition of land for right-of-way. (Region 7)
(ELR ORDER # 70534)

Route M, Springfield, Mo. 04/01

Missouri
County: Greene
Proposed is the construction of 9.5 miles of limited access highway on new location across the southern limits of the Springfield metropolitan area. The four-lane freeway with a 60-foot wide median, interchanges, and separations will extend from Route 60-13 near the town of Brookline east to the existing interchange of routes 60 and 65 located in the southeast corner of the city. It will parallel the existing Route M on Republic Street and serve as another link in the Springfield freeway and thoroughfare system. Approximately 300 acres of pastureland will be taken from farm production. (Region 7)
(ELR ORDER # 70420)

Wilmington S.E. Outer Loop, New Hanover Co. 04/12

North Carolina
County: New Hanover
Proposed is the right-of-way acquisition and construction of a 10-mile segment of the Wilmington Outer Loop from Shipyard Boulevard to U.S. 17 North in New Hanover County, North Carolina. The facility as proposed is a four-lane freeway. The most significant resultant adverse impact would be the loss of open space and natural habitat. Some erosion and subsequent sedimentation can be expected to occur in study

area creeks during construction. (Region 4)
(ELR ORDER # 70447)

Smith Creek Pkwy., U.S. 117 - U.S. 74 04/12

North Carolina
County: New Hanover
Proposed is the construction of a four-lane divided roadway extending from U.S. 117 (Castle Hayne Road) on the west, to U.S. 74 (Eastwood Road) east of the City of Wilmington, North Carolina. This 5.8-mile east-west connector would provide a much needed route through the northern area of the city. The Downtown Spur, also a four-lane divided facility, would connect Smith Creek Parkway with the downtown area. Adverse effects include the displacement of 32 households and 11 businesses. (Region 4)
(ELR ORDER # 70448)

S.R. 4 Extension, S.R. 2 - S.R. 4 04/15

Rhode Island
County: Kent Washington
The proposed action is the extension of new Route 4 from its existing terminus at Route 2 in East Greenwich, Rhode Island, southerly to intersect existing Route 4 approximately 6,000 feet south of Route 102 in North Kingstown. The project will connect two 4 lane, fully access controlled highways with a 3.5 mile similar facility, thereby by-passing a section of existing 4 lane Route 2 that currently provides a temporary connection. Adverse impacts vary according to the alternative selected. (Region 1)
(ELR ORDER # 70475)

Appalachian Corridor J, Soddy-Daisy to Dunlap 04/01

Tennessee
County: Hamilton Bledsoe Sequatchie
Proposed is the construction of approximately 19 miles of a section of Appalachian Corridor "J" from Soddy-Daisy to Dunlap, Tennessee. The proposed project extends in a northwesterly direction from a point near PAS 4340 approximately 0.5 mile east of State Route 29 in the city of Soddy-Daisy, to a western terminus at State Route 28 approximately 1.0 mile north of the City of Dunlap. The highway is classified as a Principal Arterial in Tennessee's "1985 Statewide Functional Highway Classification Plan" and will be a four-lane facility with full control of access on new location.
(ELR ORDER # 70422)

State Road 5 (U.S. 1) 04/19

Florida
County: Broward
The statement considers three alternatives for upgrading a 2.3 miles segment of SR 5 between Dania Beach Boulevard in Bania, and SE 32nd Street in Fort Lauderdale. An unspecified number of families and businesses will be displaced. (Region 4)

COMMENTS MADE BY: EPA DOI HUD HEW
(ELR ORDER # 70483)

U.S. 95, Cox's Ranch - Goff Bridge 04/01

Idaho
County: Idaho
Proposed is the improvement and realignment of U.S. Highway 95 in the vicinity of Riggins, Idaho. The study corridor area begins at Cox's Ranch south of Riggins, passes through Riggins, and ends at Goff Bridge north of Riggins. Project length is approximately seven miles. Adverse effects include the commitment of up to 140 acres of land, and the displacement of up to four residences.
COMMENTS MADE BY: HUD COE USDA EPA
state and local agencies
concerned citizens
(ELR ORDER # 70427)

I-15W Raft River-Rockland Jct. 04/22

Idaho
County: Cassia Power
The proposed project is the upgrading to 4 lanes of I-15W from the Raft River Interchange in Cassia County to the Rockland Junction about 2 miles southwest of American Falls in Power County. Total length of the project is 21 miles. Construction would require the displacement of two utility pipelines. A 4(f) statement is included for two situations: 1) frontage road and stock trail and construction of an Interstate Safety Rest Area on former BLM lands currently patented to the Idaho Department of Parks and Recreation, and 2) historic sites (Oregon Trail). The preferred alternative requires great amounts of cut and fill to establish a new corridor.
COMMENTS MADE BY: USDA EPA AHP COE HUD DOI
state and local agencies
concerned citizens
(ELR ORDER # 70503)

State Route 3, Noble, DeKalb Counties 04/12

Indiana
County: Mobile DeKalb

Proposed is a highway improvement which would upgrade Indiana State Route 3 from the existing divided dual-lane roadway near the Allen-DeKalb County line to a point approximately one mile south of Kendallville. Depending on the alternate selected, the improvement would have a length of approximately 11.9 miles to 12.2 miles. Adverse effects include alteration of land use patterns, destruction of some wildlife habitat, and displacement of several residents.
COMMENTS MADE BY: USDA DOI EPA DOT
state and local agencies
concerned citizens
(ELR ORDER # 70453)

Ky 461, Pulaski and Rockcastle Counties 04/21

Kentucky
County: Pulaski Rockcastle
The statement concerns a proposed highway improvement project for KY 461 beginning at the end of the KY 461 connector under construction in Pulaski County and ending at the junction with US 25 near the Renfro Valley I-75 interchange, a distance of 3.9 miles. Displacement of businesses, homes, and farm buildings vary in number subject to the alternate selected.
COMMENTS MADE BY: EPA DOI USDA
state agencies
concerned citizens
(ELR ORDER # 70489)

I-94, U.S. 12/I-394 to T.H. 152 04/06

Minnesota
County: Hennepin
This final EIS combines two earlier draft EISs on the construction of I-94. The project runs between inplace I-94 at U.S. 12/I-394 on the west side of the Minneapolis Central Business District, and T.H. 152 in Brooklyn Center. The length of new construction is 6.2 miles and the length of reconstruction is 1.8 miles.A 4(f) statement is included for the parklands in Minneapolis and Brooklyn Center. Adverse impacts will be displacement of dwelling units and businesses, increased noise levels, and disruption of Shingle Creek due to a channel change. (Region 5)

COMMENTS MADE BY: EPA USDA DOT DOI HUD DOC
(ELR ORDER # 70437)

U.S. 169, Princeton-Onamia, Minnesota 04/13

Minnesota
County: Mille Lacs Sherburne
The proposed improvement involves the upgrading to freeway standards(4 lane, divided roadway) of about 35 miles

of US 169 from Princeton to Onamia and the relocation of T.H. 95 for two miles at Princeton. Adverse environmental effects include:the taking of 1245 acres, including some wildlife and waterfowl habitat; the displacement of 28 residences and 6 businesses; increased noise levels at several residential land use areas; and property severance and circuit-out travel due to controlled access.

COMMENTS MADE BY: DOC DOI EPA COE USCG HEW USDA
state and local agencies
concerned citizens
(EIR ORDER # 70466)

I-94, I-494/694 Interchange-T.H. 95 04/15

Minnesota
County: Washington
The proposed action is the construction of a 10 mile highway section on Interstate 94 in Washington County, Minnesota. The link under consideration extends between an existing interchange with I-494/694 and an interchange with Trunk Highway 95. The proposed action will provide a basic four-lane freeway with additional lanes added at various locations. The preferred alternate consists of the reconstruction of existing U.S. 12 to freeway standards. The improvement will displace homes and commercial buildings and will alter the landscape surface drainage, vegetation, and wildlife habitat. (Region 5)

COMMENTS MADE BY: USDA COE HEW HUD DOI DOT AHP
state and local agencies
concerned citizens
(ELR ORDER # 70476)

Center St. and Mercy Rd. Intersection 04/12

Nebraska
County: Douglas
The proposed project calls for the improvement of the intersection of Center Street and Mercy Road and also provides access to the Ak-Sar-Ben grounds, Douglas County, Nebraska. The construction includes a viaduct over the Chicago and Northwestern Railroad tracks and Little Papillion Creek on Center Street, a bridge over Little Papillion Creek on Mercy Road with an at-grade crossing at the Chicago and Northwestern tracks, and an intersection providing access to the Ak-Sar-Ben grounds. Adverse effects include the relocation of four mobile homes and five businesses. (Region 7)
COMMENTS MADE BY: DOT COE HUD DOI EPA USCG
state and local agencies
concerned citizens
(ELR ORDER # 70462)

Routes 169 and 440, Bayonne Bridge to Bayview Ave. 04/25

New Jersey
County: Hudson
The proposed action is the construction of a combination of roadway facilities including a freeway (four lanes) or land service road (four lanes), and feeder arterial sections (two and four lanes) totalling approximately 7.5 miles in length. The improvement would run from the Bayonne Bridge north through Bayonne to existing Route 440 with a feeder extension into Jersey City to the vicinity of Bayview Avenue. Adverse impacts of the project include the acquisition of 100 acres for right-of-way, and displacement of some businesses. A 4(f) statement is included for the architecturally historic CCR of NJ West 8th Street Passenger Depot.
COMMENTS MADE BY: USDA DOC DOI HUD EPA
state and regional agencies
interested parties
(ELR ORDER # 70520)

State Route 36, Rock Creek to Johnson City 04/22

Tennessee
County: Unicoi Carter Washington
The proposed project is an improvement of State Route 36 between Rock Creek north of Erwin to south of Johnson City, Tennessee. This project extends approximately 12.0 miles through three counties, and is proposed to provide four traffic-carrying lanes throughout the entire length of the project. Adverse effects include some inevitable soil erosion and siltation of streams as a result of construction. A total of 161 families and one business will be displaced.
COMMENTS MADE BY: HUD DOI USDA TVA HEW EPA
(ELR ORDER # 70501)

U.S. 2, Chittenden County 04/21

Vermont
County: Chittenden
Proposed is the reconstruction of Route U.S. 2 in the Towns of Milton and Colchester, Vermont. The project commences at a point on U.S. 2 in the Town of Milton, approximately 1.2 miles north of Milton-Colchester Town Line, and extends southeasterly approximately 3.2 miles to a point on U.S. 2 in the Town of Colchester at the intersection of U.S. 2 with Route I-89. Project plans include replacement of the present bridge over the Lamoille River with a new structure. Adverse effects include acquisition of 50-odd acres presently in private ownership, and the displacement of eight families.
COMMENTS MADE BY: EPA DOI COE USCG USDA HEW DOC HUD
state groups
concerned citizens
(ELR ORDER # 70488)

Wautoma-Waupaca Road (STH 22, PAP 38) 04/28

Wisconsin
County: Portage Waupaca
Proposed is the construction of a segment of State Highway 22, between the cities of Wautoma and Waupaca, Wisconsin. The project involves construction and reconstruction of 12.3 miles of S.T.H. 22 in Portage and Waupaca Counties. The facility will be a two-lane, bituminous surface roadway beginning at the Portage-Waushara County line and extending northeasterly to the city of Waupaca. Adverse effects include acquisition of 190 acres of land and the displacement of homes and businesses. A 4(f) statement is included. (Region 5)
COMMENTS MADE BY: DOT DOI EPA USDA COE
state and local agencies
concerned citizens
(ELR ORDER # 70532)

Supplement Date

M-66 Relocation, Phelps Rd.-Smithville (S-1) 04/01

Michigan
County: Missaukee Kalkaska
Proposed is the relocation of State Trunkline M-66 from Phelps Road north approximately 5.9 miles to 2900 feet north of Smithville, Michigan. The major design features of this project consist of a two-lane free access roadway having a 24-foot pavement and 10-foot paved shoulders within a 150-foot right-of-way. A new bridge structure crossing the Manistee River will be located approximately 400 feet east of the existing bridge which will be removed. Adverse effects include the acquisition of approximately 107 acres, 90 percent of which is wooded. (Region 5)
(ELR ORDER # 70425)

Canandaigua SW Bypass, N.Y. Route 5&20 (S-1) 04/01

New York
County: Ontario
Proposed is the construction, on new location, of a 2.2-mile highway which would bypass the central business district of the City of Canandaigua, New York. The highway would begin on West Avenue (Route 5/20), 0.6 mile west of the city line in the Town of Canandigua, pass through the southwestern portion of the city and terminate at the intersection of South Main Street and Eastern Boulevard (Route 5/20) within the city. Two 12-foot travel lanes will be provided. A limited number of families and businesses will be relocated. (Region 1)
(ELR ORDER # 70421)

I.H. 35E/Timber Creek Construction (S-1) 04/28

Texas
County: Denton
This statement supplements a final EIS filed with CEQ in June 1972, and concerns the impact of proposed construction at I.H. 35E and Timber Creek in Denton County, Texas. The purpose of the proposed construction will be to provide structures at the Creek which are of sufficient size and number to pass the anticipated design flood without inundating the highway. This supplement contains further refinements of alternative plans originally discussed in the FEIS, namely, revisions and modifications to ramps, ramp tapers, and certain portions of frontage roads; and widening of mainland structures and construction of two frontage road structures at Timber Creek.
(ELR ORDER # 70533)

Urban Mass Transportation Administration

Supplement Date

Metro A Route, Shady Grove (S-2) 04/20

Maryland
County: Montgomery
The supplement discusses the following changes to the plan for Metro Route A: elimination of the terminal station and service and inspection facility at Rockville, Maryland; extension of Route 1 to Shady Grove, Maryland (2.66 miles of at-grade track); and, addition of terminal station and service and inspection yard at Shady Grove, Maryland. A permanent adverse impact will be the transformation from a rural to an industrial landscape which will involve clearing, regrading, building, and paving on a 70-acre tract in the headwaters of Crabbs Branch.
(ELR ORDER # 70487)

U. S. POSTAL SERVICE

Contact: Emerson Smith, Director
Office of Buildings Analysis
and Design
Real Estate and Buildings Department
U.S. Postal Service
Washington, D.C. 20260
(202) 245-4242

Final Date

Manhattan P.S. Vehicle Maintenance Facility 04/13

New York
County: Manhattan
The proposed development consists of a major U.S. Postal Service vehicle maintenance facility (VMF) in combination with a multi-story housing project in the lower West Side of the Borough of Manhattan, New York City. Features of the proposed action are a multi-story VMF, a housing project utilizing air-rights space above the VMF, and the closure of 29th Street between Ninth and Tenth Avenues to non-postal traffic, except during the evening rush hour. No major adverse effects are anticipated.
COMMENTS MADE BY: EPA DOI DOT USPS
state and local agencies
concerned citizens
(EIR ORDER # 70469)

Summary of 102 Statements Filed with the CEQ Through

04/30/77 (By Agency)

Agency	Draft 102's for actions on which no final 102's have yet been received	Final 102's on legislation and actions	Supplements	Total actions on which draft or final statements have been received
Agriculture, Department of	187	803	5	995
Appalachian Regional Commission	1	0	0	1
Architect of the Capitol	0	1	0	1
Atomic Energy Commission	42	144	0	186
Canal Zone Government	0	1	0	1
Civil Aeronautics Board	0	2	0	2
Commerce, Department of	23	74	3	100
Consumer Product Safety Commission	1	0	0	1
Defense, Department of	10	8	1	19
Air Force	11	27	2	40
Army	4	28	2	34
Army Corps of Engineers	565	1115	29	1709
Navy	15	41	2	58
Deleware River Basin Commission	2	8	0	10
Energy Policy Office	0	1	0	1
Energy Research & Development Admin.	10	8	0	18
Environmental Protection Agency	48	108	2	158
Federal Energy Administration	10	8	1	19
Federal Maritime Commission	3	0	0	3
Federal Power Commission	81	68	5	154
Federal Trade Commission	1	0	0	1
General Services Administration	19	108	2	129
HEW, Department of	3	18	0	21
HUD, Department of	77	255	4	336
Interior, Department of	160	390	6	556
International Boundary and Water Commission -- U.S. and Mexico	0	10	0	10
Interstate Commerce Commission	10	14	0	24
Justice, Department of	2	3	0	5
Labor, Department of	5	5	0	10
National Aeronautics and Space Adm.	11	25	3	39
National Capitol Planning Comm.	5	8	0	13
National Science Foundation	0	7	0	7
New England River Basins Commission	3	0	0	3
Nuclear Regulatory Commission	8	42	9	59
Office of Science and Technology	0	1	0	1
Ohio River Basin Commission	1	0	0	1
Pacific Northwest River Basins Comm.	3	0	0	3
Pennsylvania Ave. Devel. Corp.	0	1	0	1
Souris-Red-Rainy River Basins Comm.	1	0	0	1
State Department	4	5	0	9
Tennessee Valley Authority	8	29	0	37
Transportation, Department of	10221	2294	22	3338
Treasury, Department of	4	7	0	11
Upper Mississippi River Basin Comm.	1	0	0	1
U.S. Postal Service	4	3	0	7
U.S. Water Resources Council	7	9	0	16
Veterans Administration	3	9	0	12
TOTALS	2375	5688	98	8161

AVAILABILITY OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY COMMENTS ON ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENTS AND OTHER ACTIONS IMPACTING THE ENVIRONMENT

Pursuant to the requirements of section 102(2) (C) of the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969, and section 309 of the Clean Air Act, as amended, the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) has reviewed and commented in writing on Federal agency actions impacting the environment contained in the following appendices during the period of February 1, 1977 and February 30, 1977.

Appendix I contains a listing of draft environmental impact statements reviewed and commented upon in writing during this review period. The list includes the Federal agency responsible for the statement, the number and title of the statement, the classification of the nature of EPA's comments as defined in Appendix II, and the EPA source for copies of the comments as set forth in Appendix VI.

Appendix II contains the definitions of the classifications of EPA's comments on the draft environmental impact statements as set forth in Appendix I.

Appendix III contains a listing of final environmental impact statements reviewed and commented upon in writing during this review period. The listing includes the Federal agency responsible for the statement, the number and title of the statement, a summary of the nature of EPA's comments, and the EPA source for copies of the comments as set forth in Appendix VI.

Appendix IV contains a listing of final environmental impact statements reviewed but not commented upon by EPA during this review period. The listing includes the Federal agency responsible for the statement, the number and title of the statement, and the source of the EPA review as set forth in Appendix VI.

Appendix V contains a listing of proposed Federal agency regulations, legislation proposed by Federal agencies, and any other proposed actions reviewed and commented upon in writing pursuant to section 309(a) of the Clean Air Act, as amended, during the referenced reviewing period. The listing includes the Federal agency responsible for the proposed action, the title of the action, a summary of the nature of EPA's comments, and the source for copies of the comments as set forth in Appendix VI.

Appendix VI contains a listing of the names and addresses of the sources of EPA reviews and comments listed in Appendices I, III, IV, and V.

Copies of the EPA Manual setting forth the policies and procedures for EPA's review of agency actions may be obtained by writing the Public Information Reference Unit, Environmental Protection Agency, Room 2922, Waterside Mall SW, Washington, DC 20460, telephone 202/755-2808. Copies of the draft and final environmental impact statements referenced herein are available from the originating Federal department or agency.

for Rebecca W. Hanmer
Director
Office of Federal Activities

Dated: 18 MAY 1977

APPENDIX I

DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENT WHICH
COMMENTS WERE ISSUED BETWEEN

MARCH 1, 1977 AND MARCH 31, 1977

IDENTIFYING NUMBER	TITLE	GENERAL NATURE OF COMMENTS	SOURCE FOR COPIES OF COMMENTS
CORPS OF ENGINEERS			
DS-COE-A32037-MO:	SMITHVILLE LAKE, LITTLE PLATTE RIVER, REPLACEMENT OF THE TRIMBLE WILDLIFE AREA, MISSOURI	LO-1	H
D-COE-B36013-MA:	KNIGHTVILLE DAM MODIFICATION PROJECT, HUNTINGTON AND CHESTERFIELD, HAMPSHIRE COUNTY, MASSACHUSETTS	LO-1	B
D-COE-B36014-MA:	LEOMINSTER LOCAL PROTECTION PROJECT, MONOOSNOC BROOK, WORCESTER COUNTY, MASSACHUSETTS	ER-1	B
D-COE-C36024-00:	FLOOD CONTROL PROJECT, MAMARONECK AND SHELDRAKE RIVER BASINS, MAMARONECK, NEW YORK AND BYRAM RIVER BASIN, GREENWICH, CONNECTICUT AND PORT CHESTER, NEW YORK	ER-2	C
D-COE-C39002-NY:	HUDSON RIVER PROJECT, NORTHEAST US WATER SUPPLY STUDY, METRO AREA, NEW YORK	3	C
DS-COE-E30004-FL:	BEACH EROSION CONTROL AND HURRICANE PROTECTION, PANAMA CITY BEACHES, BAY COUNTY, FLORIDA	LO-2	E
D-COE-E30005-FL:	BEACH EROSION CONTROL AND SHORELINE PROTECTION, SURVEY REPORT, INDIAN RIVER COUNTY, FLORIDA	LO-2	E
D-COE-F08004-OH:	COAL TRANSFER FACILITY, OHIO RIVER MILE 314.5, LAWRENCE COUNTY, OHIO	ER-2	F
DS-COE-F32035-00:	INTERIM SURVEY REPORT, GREAT LAKES-ST. LAWRENCE SEAWAY NAVIGATION SEASON EXTENSION	3	F
D-COE-H34014-KS:	WILSON LAKE, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, RUSSELL AND LINCOLN COUNTIES, KANSAS	LO-1	H

IDENTIFYING NUMBER	TITLE	GENERAL NATURE OF COMMENTS	SOURCE FOR COPIES OF COMMENTS
D-COE-J39005-00:	MISSOURI RIVER MAIN STEM SYSTEM, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, MONTANA, NORTH DAKOTA, SOUTH DAKOTA, NEBRASKA, COLORADO, WYOMING, KANSAS, IOWA, MISSISSIPPI AND MINNESOTA	ER-3	I
D-COE-L36045-ID:	LUCKY PEAK MODIFICATION, BOISE RIVER, IDAHO	LO-2	K
DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE			
D-AFS-B61007-VT:	TIMBER MANAGEMENT PLAN, GREEN MOUNTAIN NATIONAL FOREST, VERMONT	LO-1	B
D-AFS-B82003-ME:	COOPERATIVE SPRUCE BUDWORM SUPPRESSION PROJECT, 1977 MAINE	ER-2	B
D-AFS-D65005-WV:	MONONGAHELA NATIONAL FOREST, LAND MANAGEMENT PLAN, WEST VIRGINIA	LO-2	D
D-AFS-J65058-CO:	BOULDER-GRAND DIVIDE UNIT, ARAPAHO AND ROOSEVELT NATIONAL FORESTS, COLORADO	ER-2	I
D-AFS-L61086-OR:	BADGER-JORDAN LAND USE PLAN, MT. HOOD NATIONAL FOREST, WASCO AND HOOD RIVER COUNTIES, OREGON (USDA-FS-R6-DES-ADM-77-5)	LO-1	K
D-AFS-L67003-AK:	US BORA MINING ACCESS ROAD, QUARTZ HILL PROSPECT, EAST OF KETCHIKAN, ALASKA (USDA-FS-R10-DES-ADM-77-04)	3	K
D-REA-D08001-MD:	CHALK POINT TO LEXINGTON PARK, TRANSMISSION LINE 230 KV, ST. MARYS COUNTY, MARYLAND	LO-2	D
DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE			
D-NOA-B91006-00:	FISHERY MANAGEMENT PLAN, GROUNDFISH, HADDOCK, COD, YELLOWTAIL FLOUNDER	LO-1	B
D-NOA-L90010-00:	PROPOSED IMPLEMENTATION OF THE FISHERY MANAGEMENT PLAN FOR COMMERCIAL TROLL AND RECREATIONAL SALMON FISHERIES OFF THE COASTS OF WASHINGTON, OREGON AND CALIFORNIA	LO-1	K
DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE			
D-USA-K82000-CA:	GROUND SQUIRREL CONTROL, FORT ORD COMPLEX, CALIFORNIA	ER-2	J
DEPARTMENT OF INTERIOR			
D-BLM-J99002-CO:	SAN LUIS RESOURCE AREA, GRAZING MANAGEMENT, COLORADO	LO-2	I

IDENTIFYING NUMBER	TITLE	GENERAL NATURE OF COMMENTS	SOURCE FOR COPIES OF COMMENTS
DS-IBR-G07001-NM:	EL PASO COAL GASIFICATION, EL PASO COUNTY, NEW MEXICO	LO-1	G
D-IBR-L36049-00:	TOUCHET DIVISION, WALLA WALLA PROJECT, WASHINGTON AND OREGON	LO-2	K
D-IBR-L36050-WA:	BUMPING LAKE ENLARGEMENT, SUPPLEMENTAL STORAGE DIVISION, YAKIMA PROJECT, WASHINGTON	LO-1	K
D-NPS-E61019-AL:	GENERAL MANAGEMENT PLAN, TUSKEGEE INSTITUTE NATIONAL HISTORIC SITE, ALABAMA	LO-1	E
DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION			
D-FAA-D51008-PA:	PROPOSED RADAR FACILITY, (ARSR/JSS), JOINT SURVEILLANCE SYSTEM, PINE TOWNSHIP, CLEARFIELD COUNTY, PENNSYLVANIA	LO-2	D
D-FHW-C40029-NJ:	OCEAN BOULEVARD, MORRIS TO OCEAN AVENUE, LONG BRANCH, MONMOUTH COUNTY, NEW JERSEY	LO-2	C
DS-FHW-D40028-MD:	AIR QUALITY ANALYSIS, MD-51, CUMBERLAND TO NORTH BRANCH, ALLEGHENY COUNTY, MARYLAND	LO-2	D
D-FHW-E40096-GA:	I-85 IMPROVEMENTS, FULTON-DEKALB COUNTY LINE TO I-285, DEKALB COUNTY, GEORGIA (FHWA-GA-EIS-76-06-D)	ER-2	E
D-FHW-E40097-NC:	RELOCATED US 321, DALLAS TO HICKORY, GASTON, LINCOLN AND CATAWBA COUNTIES, NORTH CAROLINA	ER-2	E
D-FHW-F40083-OH:	I-675, MONTGOMERY AND GREENE COUNTIES, OHIO	ER-2	F
D-FHW-F40084-IN:	US 24 RELOCATION, US 24 BYPASS TO IN-37, WABASH AND HUNTINGTON COUNTIES, INDIANA	LO-2	F
D-FHW-F40085-WI:	UNIVERSITY AVENUE, CEDAR STREET TO HUMBOLDT ROAD, BROWN COUNTY, WISCONSIN	LO-2	F
D-FHW-F40086-OH:	AKRON INTERBELT, OH-59, I-76/77 TO OH-8, SUMMIT COUNTY, OHIO	ER-2	F
D-FHW-H40066-IA:	ARTERIAL HIGHWAY 500, IA-5 TO I-80, POLK COUNTY, IOWA (FHWA-IA-EIS-77-02-D)	LO-2	H
D-FHW-K[illegible]049-AZ:	ROOSEVELT-PAYSON HIGHWAY, AZ-188, JUNCTION OF AZ-88 AND AZ-87, GILA COUNTY, ARIZONA	LO-1	J

IDENTIFYING NUMBER	TITLE	GENERAL NATURE OF COMMENTS	SOURCE FOR COPIES OF COMMENTS
FEDERAL ENERGY ADMINISTRATION			
D-FEA-F01001-OH:	STRATEGIC PETROLEUM RESERVE, IRONTON MINE, LAWRENCE COUNTY, OHIO	ER-2	F
D-FEA-G03008-TX:	BRYAN MOUND SALT DOME, STRATEGIC PETROLEUM RESERVE, BRAZORIA, TEXAS	LO-2	G
DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT			
D-HUD-F85014-IL:	PROPOSED COMPLEX, OAK TOWER, OAK PARK, COOK COUNTY, ILLINOIS	LO-2	F
D-HUD-F85015-IN:	MERRILLVILLE TERRACE MULTIFAMILY PROJECT, LAKE COUNTY, INDIANA (HUD-RO5-EIS-77-02-D)	3	F
D-HUD-F85016-IL:	FINGER LAKE ESTATES, UNINCORPORATED, WILL COUNTY, ILLINOIS	ER-2	F
D-HUD-F85018-IL:	INDIAN OAKS SUBDIVISION, WILL COUNTY, ILLINOIS	LO-2	F
D-HUD-G85023-TX:	WILLIAMSBURG SUBDIVISION, HARRIS COUNTY, TEXAS	LO-1	G
D-HUD-G85024-TX:	SETTLERS VILLAGE SUBDIVISION, HARRIS COUNTY, TEXAS	LO-2	G
D-HUD-K85006-CA:	CLOVIS HEIGHTS AND COUGAR ESTATES DEVELOPMENT, CLOVIS, FRESNO COUNTY, CALIFORNIA	LO-2	J
DS-HUD-K88001-CA:	MERCED, ATWATER, CASTLE AIR FORCE BASE AND ENVIRONS, MERCED COUNTY, CALIFORNIA	LO-1	J
D-HUD-K89017-CA:	IRVINGTON REDEVELOPMENT PROJECT, FREMONT, CALIFORNIA	ER-2	J
DEPARTMENT OF LABOR			
RD-OSH-A99130-00:	PROPOSED STANDARD FOR OCCUPATIONAL EXPOSURE TO COTTON DUST (41 FR 56498)	LO-1	A
NATIONAL AERONAUTICS AND SPACE ADMINISTRATION			
D-NAS-D09004-VA:	REFUSE-FIRE STEAM GENERATING FACILITY, LANGLEY RESEARCH CENTER, HAMPTON, YORK COUNTY, VIRGINIA	LO-1	D

APPENDIX II

DEFINITIONS OF CODES FOR THE GENERAL NATURE
OF EPA COMMENTS

ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT OF THE ACTION

LO--Lack of Objection

EPA has no objections to the proposed action as described in the draft impact statement; or suggests only minor changes in the proposed action.

ER--Environmental Reservations

EPA has reservations concerning the environmental effects of certain aspects of the proposed action. EPA believes that further study of suggested alternatives or modifications is required and has asked the originating Federal agency to reassess these impacts.

EU-Environmentally Unsatisfactory

EPA believes that the proposed action is unsatisfactory because of its potentially harmful effect on the environment. Furthermore, the Agency believes that the potential safeguards which might be utilized may not adequately protect the environment from hazards arising from this action. The Agency recommends that alternatives to the action be analyzed further (including the possibility of no action at all).

ADEQUACY OF THE IMPACT STATEMENT

Category 1--Adequate

The draft impact statement adequately sets forth the environmental impact of the proposed project or action as well as alternatives reasonably available to the project or action.

Category 2--Insufficient Information

EPA believes that the draft impact statement does not contain sufficient information to assess fully the environmental impact of the proposed project or

action. However, from the information submitted, the Agency is able to make a preliminary determination of the impact on the environment. EPA has requested that the originator provide the information that was not included in the draft statement.

Category 3--Inadequate

EPA believes that the draft impact statement does not adequately assess the environmental impact of the proposed project or action, or that the statement inadequately analyzes reasonable available alternatives. The Agency has requested more information and analysis concerning the potential environmental hazards and has asked that substantial revision be made to the impact statement.

APPENDIX III

FINAL ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENTS FOR WHICH COMMENTS WERE ISSUED BETWEEN

MARCH 1, 1977 AND MARCH 31, 1977

IDENTIFYING NUMBER	TITLE	GENERAL NATURE OF COMMENTS	SOURCE FOR COPIES OF COMMENTS
CORPS OF ENGINEERS			
F-COE-E35001-FL:	PANACEA HARBOR PROJECT, MAINTENANCE DREDGING, WAKULLA COUNTY, FLORIDA	EPA'S CONCERNS WERE ADEQUATELY ADDRESSED IN THE FINAL EIS. HOWEVER, EPA BELIEVES THAT FUTURE DREDGING OPERATIONS SHOULD BE PLANNED AROUND IMPROVED ENVIRONMENTALLY ORIENTED EQUIPMENT.	E
F-COE-E36007-00:	NONCONNAH CREEK, SHELBY COUNTY, TENNESSEE AND DESOTO AND MARSHALL COUNTIES, MISSISSIPPI	EPA'S CONCERNS WERE ADEQUATELY ADDRESSED IN THE FINAL EIS. HOWEVER, EPA SUGGESTED THAT THE LAND TREATMENT TECHNIQUES FOR AGRICULTURAL LAND WILL NEED TO BE SUPPLEMENTED WITH APPROPRIATE CONTROLS FOR STORM WATER RETENTION AND CONTROL OF EROSION AND SILTATION FROM CONSTRUCTION ACTIVITIES.	E
F-COE-F32036-MI:	CONFINED DISPOSAL FACILITY, CLINTON RIVER, MAINTENANCE DREDGING, MACOMB COUNTY, MICHIGAN	EPA'S CONCERNS WERE ADEQUATELY ADDRESSED IN THE FINAL EIS.	F
F-COE-K32008-CA:	NAVIGATION IMPROVEMENT PROJECT HUMBOLT HARBOR AND BAY, HUMBOLDT COUNTY, CALIFORNIA	EPA'S CONCERNS WERE ADEQUATELY ADDRESSED IN THE FINAL EIS.	J
F-COE-K35007-HI:	HONOLULU HARBOR, OAHU COUNTY, HAWAII	EPA'S CONCERNS WERE ADEQUATELY ADDRESSED IN THE FINAL EIS.	J
F-COE-K39004-CA:	PORT SONOMA DEVELOPMENT PROJECT, PERMIT, SONOMA COUNTY,	EPA'S CONCERNS WERE ADEQUATELY ADDRESSED IN THE FINAL EIS.	J

IDENTIFYING NUMBER	TITLE	GENERAL NATURE OF COMMENTS	SOURCE FOR COPIES OF COMMENTS
F-COE-K80003-CA:	REDWOOD SHORES REGIONAL SHOPPING CENTER, PERMIT, SONOMA COUNTY, CALIFORNIA	EPA'S CONCERNS WERE ADEQUATELY ADDRESSED IN THE FINAL EIS.	J
DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE			
F-AFS-J65044-WY:	SAVAGE RUN PLANNING UNIT, MEDICINE BOW NATIONAL FOREST ALBANY COUNTY, WYOMING	EPA CONTINUES TO HAVE ENVIRONMENTAL RESERVATIONS ON THE PROPOSED PROJECT. EPA EXPRESSED CONCERN THAT THE CONVERSION OF MUCH OF THE ROADLESS AREA WITHIN THE PLANNING UNIT TO TIMBERING ACTIVITIES DOES NOT SEEM TO BE CONSISTENT WITH THE GOAL OF MAINTAINING WATER QUALITY.	I
F-AFS-K65013-AZ:	WOODS PLANNING UNIT, COCONINO NATIONAL FOREST, YAVAPAI COUNTY, ARIZONA	EPA'S CONCERNS WERE ADEQUATELY ADDRESSED IN THE FINAL EIS.	J
DEPARTMENT OF INTERIOR			
F-IPR-G07001-NM:	EP PASO COAL GASIFICATION, EL PASO COUNTY, NEW MEXICO	IN GENERAL, EPA'S CONCERNS WERE ADEQUATELY ADDRESSED IN THE FINAL EIS, HOWEVER, EPA RECOMMENDED THAT ADEQUATE PLANS BE DEVELOPED TO MITIGATE THE POTENTIAL ADVERSE SECONDARY IMPACTS.	G
FS-SFW-A64021-WI:	GOOSE OBSERVATION DEVELOPMENT PLAN, HORICON NATIONAL WILDLIFE REFUGE, WISCONSIN	EPA'S CONCERNS WERE ADEQUATELY ADDRESSED IN THE FINAL EIS.	F
DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION			
F-DOT-A41193-MI:	MI-21, RELOCATION, MI-24 TO NEAR WADHAMS, LAPEER AND ST. CLAIR COUNTIES, MICHIGAN (FHWA-MI-EIS 75-04-F)	IN GENERAL, EPA'S CONCERNS WERE ADEQUATELY ADDRESSED. HOWEVER, EPA RECOMMENDED THAT APPROPRIATE MITIGATION BE CONSIDERED FOR THOSE NOISE SENSITIVE RECEPTORS WHICH MAY EXPERIENCE SIGNIFICANT INCREASED NOISE LEVELS, EVEN THOUGH THE FHWA RECOMMENDED STANDARD MAY NOT BE EXCEEDED.	F

IDENTIFYING NUMBER	TITLE	GENERAL NATURE OF COMMENTS	SOURCE FOR COPIES OF COMMENTS
F-FAA-F51008-WI:	DEVELOPMENT AT RHINELANDER-ONEIDA AIRPORT, WISCONSIN	EPA'S CONCERNS WERE ADEQUATELY ADDRESSED IN THE FINAL EIS.	F
F-FHW-A42417-NY:	NY-209, SPRING GLEN TO HURLEY ULSTER COUNTY, NEW YORK	EPA'S CONCERNS WERE ADEQUATELY ADDRESSED IN THE FINAL EIS.	C
F-FHW-E40086-NC:	US 74, LAURINBURG BYPASS, SCOTLAND AND ROBESON COUNTIES, NORTH CAROLINA (FHWA-NC-EIS-76-18-F)	IN GENERAL, EPA'S CONCERNS WERE ADEQUATELY ADDRESSED IN THE FINAL EIS. HOWEVER, EPA SUGGESTED TWO CATEGORIES OF NOISE IMPACTED SITES SHOULD BE CATALOGUED; THOSE WHICH RECEIVE LEVELS IN EXCESS OF THE FHWA DESIGN MAXIMUMS AND THOSE THAT RECEIVE AN INCREASE OF 10 DBA OR GREATER OVER EXISTING LEVELS.	E
F-FHW-F40055-OH:	OH-5, SOUTHEASTERLY TO OH-82, TRUMBELL COUNTY, OHIO	EPA'S REVIEW OF THE FINAL EIS INDICATES THE FHWA WAS UNRESPONSIVE TO EPA'S COMMENTS ON THE DRAFT EIS. FURTHERMORE, EPA CONTINUES TO HAVE ENVIRONMENTAL RESERVATIONS BASED UPON ADVERSE WETLAND IMPACTS AND THE LACK OF CONSIDERATION GIVEN TO ALTERNATIVES THAT WOULD MINIMIZE FLOOD PLAIN AND WETLAND IMPACTS.	F
F-FHW-F40056-WI:	WI-35, RIVER FALLS TO HUDSON ROAD, PIERCE AND ST. CROIX COUNTIES, WISCONSIN	EPA'S CONCERNS WERE ADEQUATELY ADDRESSED IN THE FINAL EIS.	F
F-FHW-H40034-NB:	I-580, OMAHA, DOUGLAS COUNTY, NEBRASKA	EPA CONTINUES TO HAVE ENVIRONMENTAL RESERVATIONS ON THE PROPOSED PROJECT. SPECIFICALLY, THE PROJECTED NOISE LEVELS IF UNABATED, WILL EXCEED THE NOISE STANDARDS CONTAINED IN THE FEDERAL AID HIGHWAY PROGRAM MANUAL (FHPM) 7-7-3. IN ADDITION, INFORMATION CONTAINED IN THE AIR POLLUTION ASSESSMENT SUGGESTS CARBON MONOXIDE CONDITIONS MAY BE HIGHER THAN CURRENTLY PREDICTED.	H
F-FHW-K40003-HI:	PIILANI HIGHWAY, KIHEI TO MAKENA ROAD, KULA HIGHWAY, MAUI COUNTY, HAWAII	EPA'S CONCERNS WERE ADEQUATELY ADDRESSED IN THE FINAL EIS.	J

IDENTIFYING NUMBER	TITLE	GENERAL NATURE OF COMMENTS	SOURCE FOR COPIES OF COMMENTS
F-FHW-K40009-HI:	SALT LAKE BOULEVARD, PUULOA ROAD TO HALAWA HEIGHTS ROAD EXTENSION, HONOLULU COUNTY, HAWAII	EPA'S CONCERNS WERE ADEQUATELY ADDRESSED IN THE FINAL EIS.	J
DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT			
F-HUD-A61246-GA:	LAKE ALMA PROJECT, ALMA-BACON COUNTY, GEORGIA (HUD-R04-EIS-76-PE-01-F)	THE PROPOSED ACTION WAS DETERMINED TO BE ENVIRONMENTALLY UNSATISFACTORY BECAUSE THE LAKE, ONCE CREATED, WOULD BE OF QUESTIONABLE WATER QUALITY AND WOULD BE EUTROPIC AND SHORT-LIVED. PURSUANT TO EPA'S RESPONSIBILITY UNDER SECTION 309(B) OF THE CLEAN AIR ACT, THE MATTER IS BEING REFERRED TO THE COUNCIL ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY WITH A RECOMMENDATION THAT CEQ APPOINT AND CHAIR A STUDY TEAM TO REVIEW THE PROJECT AND THAT THE RELEASE OF CDBG FUNDS FOR THE PROJECT BE POSTPONED. FURTHER, WHEN THE SECTION 404 PROCESS IS ACTIVATED BY AN APPLICATION FOR A PERMIT TO DREDGE AND FILL, IT WILL BE THE RECOMMENDATION OF THE AGENCY TO DENY ISSUANCE OF THE PERMIT.	A
F-HUD-C85012-PR:	LOS CAOBOS DEVELOPMENT, PONCE, PUERTO RICO	IN GENERAL, EPA'S CONCERNS WERE ADEQUATELY ADDRESSED IN THE FINAL EIS.	C
F-HUD-J85009-CO:	HABITAT, PLANNED UNIT DEVELOPMENT, BOULDER COUNTY, COLORADO	EPA CONTINUES TO HAVE ENVIRONMENTAL RESERVATIONS ON THE PROPOSED PROJECT CONCERNING AIR QUALITY IMPACTS. INDIVIDUALLY THESE PROJECTS MAY BE INSIGNIFICANT, HOWEVER, THE CUMULATIVE IMPACTS MAY BE ADVERSE.	I
NATIONAL AERONAUTICS AND SPACE ADMINSITRATION			
F-NAS-D84003-VA:	LANGLEY RESEARCH CENTER INSTITUTION, NATIONAL TRANSONIC FACILITY, HAMPTON, VIRGINIA	EPA'S CONCERNS WERE ADEQUATELY ADDRESSED IN THE FINAL EIS. HOWEVER, EPA SUGGESTED THAT MITIGATING MEASURES BE INCORPORATED INTO THE PROJECT IF ANY UNEXPECTED PROBLEMS DEVELOP DURING OPERATION.	D

IDENTIFYING NUMBER	TITLE	GENERAL NATURE OF COMMENTS	SOURCE FO COPIES OF COMMENTS
F-NAS-J99001-UT:	SPACE SHUTTLE SOLID ROCKET MOTOR DDT & PROGRAM, THIOKOL/WASATCH	EPA'S CONCERNS WERE ADEQUATELY ADDRESSED IN THE FINAL EIS.	I
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION			
F-NRC-B06000-MA:	MONTAGUE NUCLEAR POWER STATION UNITS 1 AND 2, NORTHEAST NUCLEAR ENERGY COMPANY, FRANKLIN COUNTY, MASSACHUSETTS (NUREG-0084)	IN GENERAL, THE FINAL EIS WAS FOUND TO BE RESPONSIVE TO EPA'S CONCERNS AND PRESENTLY EPA IS DRAFTING THE DISCHARGE PERMIT UNDER SECTION 402 OF THE FEDERAL WATER POLLUTION CONTROL, ACT, AS AMENDED.	B
F-AEC-A09018-00:	USE OF RECYCLE PLUTONIUM IN MIXED OXIDE FUEL IN LIGHT WATER COOLED REACTORS, GESMO (NUREG--0002)	EPA INDICATED THAT, SONCE ALL THE NECESSARY TECHNOLOGY TO CLOSE THE MIXED-OXIDE FUEL CYCLE HAD NOT BEEN DEMONSTRATED, THE INCENTIVE FOR RECYCLE AND THE ADVISABILITY OF A PROMPT COMMITMENT TO FULL-SCALE COMMERCIAL IMPLEMENTATION ARE IN QUESTION. HOWEVER, EPA SAW NO ENVIRONMENTAL REASON FOR NOT PROCEEDING WITH ESSENTIAL PILOT OR LIMITED DEMONSTRATION EFFORTS TO RESOLVE VARIOUS TECHNICAL QUESTIONS. EPA ADVOCATED ANALYSES OF TECHNOLOGICAL ALTERNATIVES TO MIXED-OXIDE UTILIZATION AND CONSIDERATION OF OTHER FEASIBLE OPTIONS TO ASSURE DOMESTIC SAFEGUARDS AND AVOID FOREIGN PROLIFERATION. FURTHER, EPA INDICATED THAT PRIOR TO FINAL DECISIONS ON COMMERCIALIZATION A HIGH-LEVEL WASTE MANAGEMENT PLAN SHOULD BE PUT INTO EFFECT. FINALLY, EPA, IN REVIEWING THE COST/BENEFIT ASPECTS OF THE STATEMENT, FOUND THAT THE ECONOMIC VIABILITY OF PLUTONIUM RECYCLE CANNOT BE JUDGED WITH ANY CERTAINTY.	A

APPENDIX IV

FINAL ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENTS WHICH
WERE REVIEWED AND NOT COMMENTED ON BETWEEN
MARCH 1, 1977 AND MARCH 31, 1977

IDENTIFYING NUMBER	TITLE	SOURCE OF REVIEW
CORPS OF ENGINEERS		
FS-COE-A32043-00:	RED RIVER WATERWAY, LOUISIANA, ARKANSAS, TEXAS AND OKLAHOMA	G
FS-COE-A32312-LA:	ATCHAFALAYA RIVER AND BAYOUS CHENE BOEUF, AND BLACK, LOUISIANA	G
F-COE-E36020-NC:	DEEP CREEK FLOOD CONTROL PROJECT, EDGECOMBE COUNTY, NORTH CAROLINA	E
F-COE-E36027-MS:	GORDONS CREEK PROJECT, FLOOD CONTROL, FOREST COUNTY, MISSISSIPPI	E
F-COE-G34018-LA:	GULF INTRACOASTAL WATERWAY, (GIWW), PETIT ANSE, TIGRE AND CARLIN BAYOUS, AND BAYOU GROSSE TETE, LOUISIANA	G
F-COE-G34023-LA:	OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, BAYOU TECHE, BAYOU TECHE AND VERMILION RIVER AND FRESHWATER BAYOU, LOUISIANA	G
F-COE-L36007-00:	MCNARY SECOND POWERHOUSE, COLUMBIA RIVER, OREGON AND WASHINGTON	K
DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE		
F-AFS-J65056-MT:	WARM SPRING-MEDICINE TREE PLANNING UNIT, BITTERROOT NATIONAL FOREST, MONTANA	I
F-AFS-L61061-OR:	CHEMULT PLANNING UNIT, WINEMA NATIONAL FOREST, KLAMATH AND LAKE COUNTIES, OREGON (USDA-FS-R6-FES(ADM)-76-6)	B
F-AFS-L61070-ID:	SIWASH PLANNING UNIT, ST. JOE NATIONAL FOREST, IDAHO (USDA-FS-R1-OR-FES-ADM-76-14)	K

IDENTIFYING NUMBER	TITLE	SOURCE OF REVIEW
F-AFS-L65024-AK:	NORTH IRISH CREEK TIMBER SALE, TONGASS NATIONAL FOREST, ALASKA (USDA-FS-R10-FES-ADM-76-04)	K
DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE		
F-UAF-G11002-00:	CLOSURE OF CRAIG AIR FORCE BASE, ALABAMA AND WEBB AIR FORCE BASE, TEXAS	G
F-UAF-G81008-OK:	AWACS BEDDOWN, TINKER AFB, OKLAHOMA	G
F-USN-D03002-VA:	POL BULK PIPELINES, NAVAL SUPPLY CENTER, NORFOLK, VIRGINIA	D
DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION		
F-FAA-J51001-UT:	ADAP PROJECT, VERNAL AIRPORT, VERNAL, UTAH	I
F-FHW-A40619-KY:	US 31E AND US 231, TENNESSEE STATE LINE TO SCOTTSVILLE AND GLOSGOW ROADS, ALLEN COUNTY, KENTUCKY (FHWA-KY-EIS-75-04-F)	E
F-FHW-A50125-CT:	CT-156, BRIDGE AND APPROACHES, EAST LYME TO WATERFORD, CONNECTICUT (FHWA-CT-EIS-73-01-F)	B
F-FHW-E40052-00:	LOUISA-FORT GAY BRIDGE, LAWRENCE COUNTY, KENTUCKY AND WAYNE COUNTY, WEST VIRGINIA (FHWA-KY-EIS-75-03-F, FHWA-CT-EIS-75-02-F)	E
F-FHW-G40041-TX:	LOOP 201, TX-146, HARRIS COUNTY, TEXAS	G
F-FHW-G40045-TX:	US 90, I-10 TO TX-146, HARRIS AND LIBERTY COUNTIES, TEXAS	G
F-FHW-G40050-NM:	BRIDGE STADIUM OVERPASS, BERNALILLO COUNTY, NEW MEXICO	G
F-FHW-H40045-NB:	WEST CORNHUSKER HIGHWAY, LINCOLN, LANCASTER COUNTY, NEBRASKA	H
GENERAL SERVICES ADMINISTRATION		
F-GSA-L81006-AK:	HAINES BORDER STATION, HAINES BOROUGH, ALSKA DALTON CACHE AT US AND CANADIAN BORDER, MILE 42 ALASKA (EAK 77001)	K

IDENTIFYING NUMBER	TITLE	SOURCE OF REVIEW
DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT		
F-HUD-E28018-AL:	CASTLEBERRY WATER SYSTEM IMPROVEMENTS, ALABAMA	E
F-HUD-E61018-GA:	DUBLIN PARK, NEIGHBORHOOD PARK FACILITY, AUGUSTA, GEORGIA (CDBG)	E
F-HUD-E85015-FL:	LESLIE ESTATES, DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA	E
F-HUD-G85018-TX:	TRAILWOOD SUBDIVISION, DALLAS COUNTY, TEXAS	G
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION		
FS-NRC-A00121-00:	PERSONNEL NEUTRON DOSIMETERS THAT CONTAIN NATURAL THORIUM, DOCKET PRM 40-19 (NUREG-0137)	A

APPENDIX V

REGULATIONS, LEGISLATION AND OTHER FEDERAL AGENCY ACTIONS FOR WHICH COMMENTS WERE ISSUED BETWEEN MARCH 1, 1977 AND MARCH 31, 1977

IDENTIFYING NUMBER	TITLE	GENERAL NATURE OF COMMENTS	SOURCE FOR COPIES OF COMMENTS
DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE			
R-NOA-A99127-00:	59 CFR PARTS 217, 223, ENDANGERED AND THREATENED FISH AND WILDLIFE, INTERAGENCY COOPERATION	EPA BELIEVES THE PROPOSED REGULATIONS NEED FURTHER CLARIFICATION OF WHAT ACTIONS CONSTITUTE FEDERAL CONTROL, SHOULD INTEGRATE NEPA MORE FULLY INTO SECTION 7 PROCEDURES, AND SHOULD ALLOW FEDERAL AGENCIES TO DELEGATE ADMINISTRATIVE RESPONSIBILITIES UNDER SECTION 7.	A
DEPARTMENT OF INTERIOR			
R-BLM-A65125-00:	43 CFR PARTS 4100, 4200, 4300, 4700, 9230, RANGE MANAGEMENT AND TECHNICAL SERVICES, GRAZING ADMINISTRATION AND TREPASS	EPA DOES NOT BELIEVE THAT BLM'S PROPOSED REGULATIONS GIVE PROPER RECOGNITION TO "NO GRAZING" ALTERNATIVES AS INTEGRAL PARTS OF AN OVERALL RANGE MANAGEMENT STRATEGY. SINCE THE EIS "LIVESTOCK GRAZING MANAGEMENT ON NATIONAL RESOURCE LANDS" LISTED A NO GRAZING OPTION AS ONE OF THE TOOLS AVAILABLE TO BLM, EPA SUGGESTS THIS TOOL BE INCORPORATED INTO SECTION 4120.2.	A
R-SFW-A99128-00:	50 CFR PART 17, ENDANGERED AND THREATENED WILDLIFE AND PLANTS, PROPOSED PROVISIONS FOR INTERAGENCY COOPERATION (42 FR 4868)	EPA BELIEVES THE PROPOSED REGULATIONS NEED FURTHER CLARIFICATION OF WHAT ACTIONS CONSTITUTE FEDERAL "CONTROL", SHOULD INTEGRATE NEPA MORE FULLY INTO SECTION 7 PROCEDURES, AND SHOULD ALLOW FEDERAL AGENCIES TO DELEGATE ADMINISTRATIVE RESPONSIBILITIES UNDER SECTION 7.	A

IDENTIFYING NUMBER	TITLE	GENERAL NATURE OF COMMENTS	SOURCE FOR COPIES OF COMMENTS
DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION			
R-CGD-A52107-00:	33 CFR PART 164, PROPOSED NAVIGATION SAFETY REQUIREMENTS LORAN-C ON VESSELS OF 1600 GROSS TONS OR MORE (CGD-77-002) (42 FR 5966)	EPA EXPRESSED NO COMMENTS ON THE PROPOSED RULE AT THIS TIME.	A
A-DOT-A52106-00:	INTERNATIONAL AIR TRANSPORTATION POLICY STATEMENT	EPA INDICATED NO OBJECTIONS TO THE CONTENTS OF THE PLAN. HOWEVER, EPA RECOMMENDED AN ADDITIONAL ITEM CALLING FOR THE ASSISTED DEVELOPMENT OF INTERNATIONAL AIR EMISSION STANDARDS, PRACTICES, AND GUIDANCE MATERIALS. ALSO, THE STATEMENT WAS MADE THAT IF NOISE OR AIR STANDARDS ARE ADOPTED BY ICAO WHICH ARE LESS STRINGENT THAN EPA BELIEVES NECESSARY TO MEET THE HEALTH AND WELFARE PROTECTION REQUIREMENTS OF THE U.S. DOMESTICALLY THESE STANDARDS SHOULD NOT BE USED TO JUSTIFY THE ADOPTION OF SIMILARLY LESS STRINGENT DOMESTIC STANDARDS.	A
R-FAA-A52100-00:	CFR PART 36, NOISE STANDARD AIRCRAFT TYPE AND AIRWORTHINESS CERTIFICATION, PROPOSED ALTERNATIVE NOISE REDUCTION STAGES AND ACOUSTICAL CHANGE REQUIREMENTS	EPA STATED THAT IT HAD NO OBJECTIONS TO THE PROPOSED RULE'S PROMULGATION, BUT THE FAA SHOULD COMPLEMENT IT WITH REQUIREMENTS SUCH AS THOSE CONTAINED IN EPA'S EARLIER PROPOSAL (NPRM76-22) FOR AIRCRAFT TO BE CERTIFICATED IN 1980 AND BEYOND.	A
A-FRA-A53042-00:	PROCEDURES FOR CONSIDERING ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS NOTICE OF PROPOSED PROCEDURES (FRA DOCKET NO. EP-1) (42 FR 5171)	EPA FOUND THAT THE PROPOSED PROCEDURES GENERALLY ADDRESSED THE REQUISITE PROCEDURAL AND SUBSTANTIVE AREAS APPROPRIATE TO NEPA REGULATIONS. EPA DID OFFER A NUMBER OF SUGGESTIONS, HOWEVER, WHICH IT BELIEVED WOULD STRENGTHEN THE PROCEDURES FROM AN ENVIRONMENTAL PERSPECTIVE.	A

IDENTIFYING NUMBER	TITLE	GENERAL NATURE OF COMMENTS	SOURCE FOR COPIES OF COMMENTS
E			
DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE			
R-SCS-A86101-00:	7 CFR 650 COMPLIANCE WITH NEPA PREPARATION OF ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENTS, GUIDELINES	EPA'S COMMENTS EXPRESSED CONCERN THAT THE REVISED REGULATIONS DELETE IMPORTANT CRITERIA CONTAINED IN THE CURRENT SCS NEPA REGULATIONS CONCERNING THE NEED TO PREPARE AN EIS.	A

APPENDIX VI

SOURCE FOR COPIES OF EPA COMMENTS

A. Public Information Reference Unit (PM-213)
Environmental Protection Agency
Room 2922, Waterside Mall, SW
Washington, D.C. 20460

B. Director of Public Affairs, Region 1
Environmental Protection Agency
John F. Kennedy Federal Building
Boston, Massachusetts 02203

C. Director of Public Affairs, Region 2
Environmental Protection Agency
26 Federal Plaza
New York, New York 10007

D. Director of Public Affairs, Region 3
Environmental Protection Agency
Curtis Building, 6th and Walnut Streets
Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19106

E. Director of Public Affairs, Region 4
Environmental Protection Agency
345 Courtland Street NE
Atlanta, Georgia 30308

F. Director of Public Affairs, Region 5
Environmental Protection Agency
230 South Dearborn Street
Chicago, Illinois 60604

G. Director of Public Affairs, Region 6
Environmental Protection Agency
1201 Elm Street
Dallas, Texas 75270

H. Director of Public Affairs, Region 7
Environmental Protection Agency
1735 Baltimore Street
Kansas City, Missouri 64108

I. Director of Public Affairs, Region 8
Environmental Protection Agency
1860 Lincoln Street
Denver, Colorado 80203

J. Director of Public Affairs, Region 9
Environmental Protection Agency
100 California Street
San Francisco, California 94111

K. Director of Public Affairs, Region 10
Environmental Protection Agency
1200 Sixth Avenue
Seattle, Washington 98101

EXECUTIVE OFFICE OF THE PRESIDENT
COUNCIL ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY
722 JACKSON PLACE, N. W.
WASHINGTON, D. C. 20006

102 Monitor

The 102 Monitor is the monthly publication of the Council on Environmental Quality. In addition to its listing of environmental impact statements which have been filed with the Council under the provisions of the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA), the Monitor contains a list of EPA's comments, filed under the provisions of section 309 of the Clean Air Act, as amended; items of current concern in NEPA case law; and information on the environmental studies of the Council.

The 102 Monitor is printed and distributed by the Government Printing Office. The subscription rate for the Monitor is $21.50 annually, with an additional $5.40 charge for foreign mailing; individual copies of the Monitor are $1.80 from GPO.

Superintendent of Documents
Government Printing Office
Washington, D.C. 20402

(Difficulties in obtaining copies of the 102 Monitor should be referred to GPO's Service Section, (SSOS)
Washington, D.C. 20402)

SUBSCRIPTION ORDER FORM

ENTER MY SUBSCRIPTION TO: 102 MONITOR (MNT)

@ $21.50 Domestic; @ $26.90 Foreign.

NAME—FIRST, LAST

COMPANY NAME OR ADDITIONAL ADDRESS LINE

STREET ADDRESS

CITY | STATE | ZIP CODE

PLEASE PRINT

(or) COUNTRY

☐ Remittance Enclosed (Make checks payable to Superintendent of Documents)

☐ Charge to my Deposit Account No. .....

MAIL ORDER FORM TO:
Superintendent of Documents
Government Printing Office
Washington, D.C. 20402